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## Abbreviations Used in the Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Book/Text</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Aṅguttaranikāya</td>
<td>Miln</td>
<td>Milindapañña</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
<td>Nd1</td>
<td>Mahāniddesa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abhp</td>
<td>Abhidhānappadīpikā</td>
<td>Nd2</td>
<td>Cūlaniddesa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ap</td>
<td>Apadāna</td>
<td>Netti</td>
<td>Nettippakarana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dukp</td>
<td>Dukapāṭṭhāna</td>
<td>Ps</td>
<td>Paṭisambhidāmagga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu</td>
<td>Buddhavaṃsa</td>
<td>Pug</td>
<td>Puggalapaññatti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BuA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
<td>Pug</td>
<td>Puggalapaññatti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp</td>
<td>Cariyāpiṭaka</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Saṃyuttanikāya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Dīghanikāya</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Suttanipāta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>Suttanipāta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhp</td>
<td>Dhammapada</td>
<td>SnA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DhpA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
<td>Thag</td>
<td>Theragāthā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhs</td>
<td>Dhammasaṅgaṇī</td>
<td>ThagA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dpvś</td>
<td>Dipavaṃsa</td>
<td>Thig</td>
<td>Therīgāthā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iti</td>
<td>Itivuttaka</td>
<td>ThigA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Jātaka</td>
<td>Tikp</td>
<td>Tikapaṭṭhāna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
<td>Ud</td>
<td>Udāna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khp</td>
<td>Khuddakapāṭha</td>
<td>UdA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvū</td>
<td>Kathāvatthu</td>
<td>Vbh</td>
<td>Vibhaṅga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Majjhimanikāya</td>
<td>VbhA</td>
<td>Sammohavinodanī</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>° Aṭṭhakathā</td>
<td>Vin</td>
<td>Vinaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mhvś</td>
<td>Mahābodhivamśa</td>
<td>Vism</td>
<td>Visuddhimagga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mhvś</td>
<td>Mahāvamśa</td>
<td>Vv</td>
<td>Vimanavatthu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Editor’s Preface

This book was given to me over twenty years ago by James Patrick Stuart Ross, an American who travelled to Burma several times to engage the help of able translators to make the works by Ledi Sayādaw available to Buddhists outside of Burma who were unable to read them in Burmese.

Due to its complexity, I have not, until now, found the time and energy to complete the necessary editorial work to make it worth publishing. The original manuscript would be hard for the average reader to follow, due to the extensive use of quotations from the Pāḷi texts, Commentaries, Subcommentaries, and other works. This work was written in reply to questions from his teacher. As it says in the Ledi Sayādaw’s brief biography (published in the Maggaṅga Dīpanī):–

“About 2,000 students attended the lectures delivered daily by the Venerable Sankyaung Sayādaw. One day the Venerable Sayādaw set in Pāḷi 20 questions on the perfections (pāramī) and asked all the students to answer them. None of them except Bhikkhu Nyāṇa could answer those questions satisfactorily.” He collected all these answers and when he attained 14 vassa, and while he was still in San-kyaung monastery, he published his first book, A Manual of the Perfections (Pāramī Dīpanī).”

I have reduced the use of Pāḷi wherever I can, and moved some footnotes into the text. I have checked many of the quotations against the Chaṭṭha Saṅgāyana Tipiṭaka and corrected spellings. In many places, I have given the PTS Roman script edition cross-references. More work remains to be done in checking the accuracy of these references.

The Ledi Sayādaw concluded the work in Burmese Era 1241, which would have been 1880, when he was 34 years of age. That matches what he says in his concluding remarks that he had completed 14 Rains (vassa) of monkhood. The name given at his ordination, according to his biography, was Nāṇadhaja, but the name he uses for himself in the conclusion is Nāṇābhivāṃsa. The suffix “Abhivāṃsa is a title appended to his name. The meaning is something like, “Of great repute.”

I hope that this first edition will go at least some way to making the late Sayādaw’s writings accessible. I realise that it still has many defects, but I will endeavour to fix those as time permits.

Bhikkhu Pesala
London, December, 2015
A Manual of the Perfections

Pāramī Dīpanī

by
Ledi Sayādaw Mahāthera, Aggamahāpaṇḍita, D.Litt.

Namo Tassa Bhagavato Arahanto Sammāsambuddhassa

Veneration to the Exalted One, the Worthy One,
The Perfectly Self-Enlightened Buddha.

Object of the Questioner

Pucchaka ganthārambha¹

“Pañhā byākaraṇecchekaṃ, Buddhaṃ paṇamya pucchisaṃ.
Pañhā byākaraṇecchekaṃ, taṃ taṃ bodhisusaṃyuttaṃ.”

“Homage to the Buddha,
The Adept in questioning and answering!
Worshipping thus done,
I now address myself to the task
Of posing questions –
Intended to foster skilful answering thereto –
On matters pertaining particularly
To the various classes of Enlightened Ones.

¹ Please see the Pāḷi Text References. Hereafter all references to the Pāḷi texts in the endnotes are given as 001, 002, 003 etc.
The Twenty Questions

The First Question

Someone fulfils meritorious deeds, such as giving, with a view to perfection through sufficiency of merit, wishing for both mundane and supramundane benefits. Will he reap benefits thereof in the mundane field such as high birth and material prosperity; or will he attain to Path and Fruition Knowledge culminating in the bliss of nibbāna? Or will he reap benefits both ways, i.e., mundane as well as supramundane? Or will he miss both?

The Second Question

Someone, on the other hand, fulfils meritorious deeds, such as giving and so on, wishing solely for mundane merit. Will he enjoy the fruit thereof in the mundane field only or will he also attain to supramundane bliss (nibbāna)?

The Third Question

Further, someone fulfils meritorious deeds, such as giving and so on, wishing solely for supramundane knowledge (nibbāna). Will he attain to such knowledge (nibbāna), or will he also enjoy mundane benefits thereof?

The Fourth Question

(a) Now, the Perfectly Enlightened Ones, the All-seeing Buddhas, attain Buddhahood after going through a process of perfecting themselves in merit, such as giving and so on, over periods of four incalculable aeons (asaṅkhyeyya)\(^1\) and a hundred thousand great aeons (mahā-kappa),\(^2\) or eight incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, or sixteen incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons.

(b) Solitary Buddhas (Paccekabuddhas) attain enlightenment by themselves after going through a process of perfecting themselves in merit, such as giving, and so on, over two incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons.

---

\(^1\) **Asaṅkhyeyya**: incalculable, innumerable; an immense period.

\(^2\) **Kappa**: a cycle of time, reckoned with reference to individual and cosmic life. 

Aeons (kappa) are of three principal classes, namely; antara-kappa, asaṅkhyeyya-kappa, and mahā-kappa. The interval during which human life-span increases from ten years (due to good conduct and kind heartedness) to infinity and then decreases (due to immorality and wickedness) to ten years, is reckoned as one intermediate aeon (antara-kappa). Sixty-four antara-kappa of the human world is called one incalculable aeon (asaṅkhyeyya-kappa); four incalculable aeons make one great aeon (mahā-kappa). In this context mahā-kappa is meant.
(c) And the Chief Disciples (aggasāvaka) attain enlightenment after going through a process of perfecting themselves in merit such as giving, and so on, over one incalculable and a hundred thousand great aeons.

(d) And the Great Disciples (mahāsāvaka) attain enlightenment after going through a process of perfecting themselves in merit, such as giving and so on, over a hundred thousand great aeons.

(e) And the ordinary disciples (pakatisāvaka) attain enlightenment after going through a process of perfecting themselves in merit, such as giving and so on, over a hundred great aeons, or over a thousand great aeons.

As regards each of the five classes of Enlightened Ones, i.e., the Perfectly Enlightened Buddha, the Paccekabuddha, the Chief Disciples, the Great Disciples and the Ordinary disciple, are the requisite periods of fulfilling their perfections to be counted beginning from the time of their expressing their respective wishes for the particular kind of enlightenment; or are they to be predicted (vyākaraṇa)\(^1\) from the Buddha concerned?

**The Fifth Question**

Do all those various Enlightened Ones win enlightenment by fulfilling the perfections only after receiving the prediction, or do they win enlightenment by fulfilling the perfections without receiving the prediction?

**The Sixth Question**

Further, do those future Enlightened Ones, once having been blessed with the prediction, again wish for a different class of enlightenment other than the one assured for? Or don’t they?

**The Seventh Question**

Someone fulfils meritorious deeds such as giving and so on, without wishing for any specific class of enlightenment, but simply wishing for realising Path Knowledge, its Fruition and nibbāna; what type or class of enlightenment is he entitled to (katamāya bodhiyā bujjheyya)?

If it is the wish of the future Enlightened One to gain enlightenment as a Disciple on fulfilling the necessary perfections, does he attain enlightenment as he wished for (i.e., as a Disciple)? If it is the wish of the future Enlightened One to gain enlightenment as a Paccekabuddha on fulfilling the necessary perfections, does he attain enlightenment as he wished for (i.e., as a Pacceka-

---

\(^1\) *Vyākaraṇa*: Whenever an earnest Truth seeker reaches a certain stage of spiritual development, the Buddha of the day is wont to prophesy when and under what circumstances he will gain enlightenment; this is infallible and gives assurance to the future Enlightened ones.
buddha)? If it is the wish of the future Enlightened One to gain enlightenment as a Perfectly Enlightened One, or Buddha, on fulfilling the necessary perfections, does he attain enlightenment as he wished for (i.e., as a Buddha)?

**The Eighth Question**

Someone fulfils meritorious deeds such as giving and so on, wishing for enlightenment as a Disciple. Would he, either in the course of fulfilling the necessary perfections, or on having fulfilled the necessary perfections, wish for any other class of enlightenment (i.e., other than that of a Disciple)? Or would he not? Supposing he does wish for some other class, would his wish be fulfilled or would it not? If his alternative wish be allowable, would it be as the result of partial, i.e., supplementary, fulfilment of the perfections instead of the whole that would have been necessary? Or else, would the attainment be conditioned by a fresh undertaking of fulfilling the necessary perfections?

**The Ninth Question**

Someone fulfils meritorious deeds such as giving and so on, wishing for enlightenment as a Paccekabuddha. Would he, either in the course of fulfilling the necessary perfections, or on having fulfilled the necessary perfections, wish for any other class of enlightenment (i.e., other than that of a Disciple)? Or would he not? Supposing he does wish for some other class, would his wish be fulfilled? Or would it not? If his alternative wish be allowable, would it be as the result of partial, i.e., supplementary, fulfilment of the perfections instead of the whole that would have been necessary? Or also would the attainment be conditioned by a fresh undertaking of fulfilling the necessary perfections?

**The Tenth Question**

Someone fulfils meritorious deeds such as giving and so on, wishing for enlightenment as a Perfectly Enlightened One, the Buddha. Would he, either in the course of fulfilling the necessary perfections, or on having fulfilled the necessary perfections, wish for any other class of enlightenment (i.e., other than that of a Disciple)? Or would he not? Supposing he does wish for some other class, would his wish be fulfilled? Or would it not? If his alternative wish be allowable, would it be as the result of partial, i.e., supplementary, fulfilment of the perfections instead of the whole that would have been necessary? Or else would the attainment be conditioned by a fresh undertaking of fulfilling the necessary perfections?
The Eleventh Question

Do Paccekabuddhas and Disciples of the Buddha attain enlightenment after fulfilling the full thirty kinds of perfection; or do they attain enlightenment after fulfilling something less than that?

The Twelfth Question

What is the minimum period for fulfilling the perfections for enlightenment as a Buddha’s Disciple? Does one have to fulfil perfections for that minimum period so as to attain enlightenment?

The Thirteenth Question

Do those future disciples (i.e., the Chief Disciples, the Great Disciples and the Ordinary Disciples) who have fulfilled their respective perfections and who happen to be born in a Great aeon devoid of a Buddha (Buddha-suñña-kappa) attain enlightenment on their own? Or do they have to mark time until a great aeon wherein the Buddha arises (Buddhuppāda-kappa) comes round?

The Fourteenth Question

Do the Buddha, the Paccekabuddha and the Disciples of the Buddha receive their respective predictions that are of uniform factors; or are the various predictions of varying factors?

The Fifteenth Question

Do the Perfectly Enlightened Ones, the Buddhas, only personally receive the prediction? Do the Paccekabuddhas and the Disciples of the Buddha also personally receive the prediction?

The Sixteenth Question

Do all men or Devas or Brahmās need to fulfil the perfections covering the whole period allotted for the Disciples of the Buddha (Buddhasāvaka) i.e., Elders (thera), Elder Nuns (therī), Novices (sāmañera), Female Novices (sāmañeri), and Female Probationers (sikkhamānas)? Or do they attain enlightenment after fulfilling the predictions for some lesser periods?

The Seventeenth Question

Do the Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas, and Disciples of the Buddha arise only in this world-system? Or do they arise in other world-systems as well?
The Eighteenth Question

Further, do the Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas, and Disciples of the Buddha, having been born in this world-system, fulfil the perfections just here? Or do they take birth and fulfil the perfections in other world-systems as well?

The Nineteenth Question

Moreover, do the Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas, and Disciples of the Buddha take birth and fulfil the perfections in the Southern continent of Jambudīpa only; or do they take birth and fulfil the perfections elsewhere, i.e., in the other (three) continents and the surrounding lesser islands thereto, and the lesser islands of the Southern continent itself such as Sīhala Island, Nāga Island, etc.?

The Twentieth Question

Further, in the Southern continent itself, do the Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas, and Disciples of the Buddha, take birth and fulfil the perfections in the Middle Country (Majjhimadesa) only; or do they take birth and fulfil the perfections in the outer regions (paccanta desa) as well?

The Way of the Wise

(a) It is usual with questioners to concern themselves with one aspect of the matter only; for if they were to deal with the full aspects to a subject there would be no case for framing a question at all. No question would then arise. However, now that a series of questions are hereby framed it now behoves someone to tackle them.

(b) Why the need for tackling them? Because every question calls for an answer: where there is a question there always is some answer. As the Saddasāratthajālinī puts it:

"Where there is obviously an answer,
   It is up to the wise man to raise a (fitting) question to it."

And then the complementary stanza which says:

"Where there is obviously a question,
   It is up to the wise man to come up with the answer."

(c) Now that there are this series of questions and that they demand answers, I call upon those who feel themselves competent, that is, who are standard-bearers of the Buddha’s Teaching (sāsanadhajūpamā), the pennons of the proud continent of Jambudīpa, and within whose

---

1 Jambudīpa: the auspicious continent where the Rose Apple (Jambu) tree grows. It is one of the four great continents, constituting the world-system, the three others being Uttarakuru, the Northern, Pubbavideha, the Eastern, and Aparagoyana, the Western continent.
province the task lies (this not being the province of charlatans), who, citing good authority from their encyclopedic knowledge of the canonical texts and commentaries thereon, will interpret the inherent, natural meaning of the questions and satisfy the questioner beyond a shred of doubt, to answer these twenty questions.

(d) If one should be incompetent, that is, not able to answer clearly and well in the aforementioned manner, one had better remain silent, with shoulders drooped and mouth shut, looking downcast, like the tree-stump at the cross-roads, or the door-slab.

(e) However, why should the incompetent keep their silence? It is because certain competent persons will rise to the occasion: they being far superior to you are quite able to acquit themselves well (in the task of answering). Like the maned lion (kesarasīha), the noblest of the four kinds of lion — the other three being the brown lion (paṇḍu-sīha) the grass[-eating] lion (tiṇa-sīha) and the human-bodied lion (nara-sīha or manussīha) — who is the king of beasts, the wise one renowned for his learning in the Three Baskets of scriptures having nine divisions, together with commentaries, and subcommentaries thereon, will spell out the answers with the greatest confidence and mastery just as the king of beasts makes his roar that reverberates in the whole forest of three thousand leagues. When such a man of distinction takes upon the fitting task in elaborate skillfulness let the mediocre keep their silence!

Here ends the Twenty Questions posed by
the late Sankyaung Sayādaw of Mandalay
(a) May the Buddha,
The product of marvellous perfections
Culminating in the Full Enlightenment
Of Perfect Knowledge,
Discoverer of Path Knowledge,
Wielder of the well-developed Knowledge in Teaching
Who has brought the Light of the Fourfold Truth
To those fit for enlightenment,
Imparting the fully-developed Dhamma
Of Path Knowledge to the world,
Bring me success and prosperity!

(b) Our great teacher and benefactor
Sankyaung Sayādaw, recipient of the royal honorific title,
Sudassanavara-dhammasāmi-mahādhammarājādhirājaguru,
Firm (as the stone pillar at the city gate)
In virtue, concentration, and wisdom,
Has framed these twenty (erudite) questions (in Pāḷi);
I will now answer them (in Pāḷi)
Translating them fully (i.e., questions and answers)
Into the vernacular (Burmese).

An Explanation on the Object of the Questioner

The questioner composes this verse with the object of demonstrating at
the outset the efficacy of worshipping the Triple Gem that will bring success
to his noble undertaking of posing the present set of twenty questions, in
which (self-imposed) task he wishes to be secure in the knowledge that such
veneration will ward off all evil, while ensuring auspiciousness and merit
in both the mundane and supramundane spheres.

In this introductory verse the questioner, in framing his questions, implies
that the mode of questioning will be both deep and broad, and that it will
be more or less in accordance with the following methods:

The five forms of questions in commentarial literature on the Suttas, namely:
1. Adiṭṭhajotanā pucchā (questions illuminating that which is not seen)
2. Diṭṭhasandanā pucchā
3. Vimaticchedanā pucchā
4. Anumati pucchā
5. Kathetukamyatā pucchā

The four forms of questions in commentarial literature on Yamaka (Abhidhamma Piṭaka), namely:

1. Pure pañhā
2. Pacchā pañhā
3. Paripuṇṭha pañhā
4. Mogha pañhā

The four forms of questions in Aṅguttaranikāya, namely:

1. Ekaṃsavyākaraṇīya pañhā
2. Vibhajja vyākaraṇīya pañhā
3. Paṭipucchā vyākaraṇīya pañhā
4. Thapanīya pañhā

The five modes of questioning and answering in commentarial literature on Yamaka (Abhidhamma Piṭaka), namely:

1. Pāḷigati vissajjanā
2. Paṭivacana vissajjanā
3. Sarūpadassana vissajjanā
4. Paṭekkhpepa vissajjanā
5. Paṭisedha vissajjanā

The four modes of answering in commentarial literature on the Suttas, namely:

1. Ekaṃsa vyākaraṇa
2. Vibhajja vyākaraṇa
3. Paṭipucchā vyākaraṇa
4. Thapanīya vyākaraṇa

Those are the time-honoured methods in the scriptures. Besides, according to common grammar there are:
(a) the six mixed modes, namely:
1. Antonīta method
2. Nikkhanta method
3. Paduddhāra method
4. Padapavesana method
5. Ābhoga method
6. Ākāra method

(b) the four ways of questioning (*codanā*), namely:

1. Ayutta
2. Ābhoga
3. Byutireka
4. Anvaya

(c) the four ways of answering (*parihāra*), namely:

1. Yutta
2. Ābhoga
3. Byatireka
4. Anvaya

For details the student should consult various works on grammar.

**QUESTION:** Why should the Buddha alone be worshipped?

**ANSWER:** Because even though the Dhamma and the Saṅgha are not worshipped, the primary purpose of success in the venture without obstacles is ensured by simply worshipping the Buddha.

For instance, in the Paṭisambhidāmagga Gaṇṭhi, it has been said that the Triple Gem being the fields of merit, veneration made to any one of the three brings success to one who fulfils the conditions of success himself. Such veneration is highly productive (*mahāpphala*), highly meritorious (*mahānisaṃsa*) and highly efficacious (*mahānubhāva*), provided the aspirant himself is not lacking in the conditions of success. For a truly worthy one has full confidence both in his own ability and in the efficacy of veneration to the Buddha, just as a master archer would not bother to carry a bundle of arrows but only one.⁰²³

On the other hand: The Buddha’s homage worthiness is identical with that of the Triple Gem so that paying homage to the Buddha also amounts to paying homage to the Dhamma and the Saṅgha as well, *i.e.*, veneration to the Buddha implies veneration to the Dhamma and the Saṅgha too.

See⁰²⁴ *ibid.* See also the Kaṇṇakatthala Sutta¹ Aṭṭhakathā.⁰²⁵

¹The reference is to the previous Sutta, the Dhammacetiya Sutta (ed.)
Some Remarks on the Mode of Veneration

On the other hand: It has been pointed out that by taking the foremost thing the adjuncts are also taken: “Padhāne gahite appadhānampi gahitameva” (cf: saddhatitathāgatassa bodhiṃ).

See also the commentary on the Aṅguttaranikāya.

On the other hand: As pointed out in the Udāna, the term ‘Buddha’ refers to the Perfectly Enlightened One (Sabbaññutabuddha) as well as a Disciple of the Buddha (Sāvakabuddha).

Now, when the Buddha and the Saṅgha are venerated, the Dhamma, with which the Buddha and the Saṅgha are embodied, also becomes automatically venerated. See the Paramatthadīpanī, the commentary on the Udāna and the Subcommentary on the Mahāvagga of the Dīghanikāya.

The above are the arguments that are relevant and proper to explain why the Buddha alone is venerated here.

Some Remarks on the Mode of Veneration

‘Paṇamya’ in the opening stanza is the same as paṇāma, synonymous with the act of veneration (vandana). How this act is carried out is defined in the Aṅguttaranikāya (Book of the Threes) as: “Tissa imā bhikkhave vandaṇā kāyena vācāya manasā:” — “The act consists in physical, verbal or mental veneration.”

(1) As regards the physical act there may be either prostrating (pañcapatiṭṭhitā vandana) or just raising the joined palms towards the person or object venerated (añjalikamma vandana).

Prostrating means lowering oneself on the ground at the feet of the person venerated so that one’s knees, hands and forehead are touching the ground or floor, hence the term ‘resting on five points,’ (pañcapatiṭṭhita). See the Pāḷi definition at. Therein, only the five points of resting are mentioned, but whereon to rest on the ground (bhūmiyaṃ) is specifically mentioned in the Sāratthadīpanī-ṭīkā.

In the Vinayālāṅkāra-ṭīkā another definition of the fivefold mode of worship is given as consisting in these factors:

1. Placing the outer robe on one’s left shoulder,
2. Raising the joined palms,
3. Touching the feet of the person venerated,
4. Feeling adoration, and
5. Showing respect.

The above definition may be relevant to certain situations.
In the later commentary on the Silakkhandha, still another version of the fivefold mode of worshipping is stated. According to it the five factors are: the feet, the knees, the elbows, the hands and the head touching the ground or the floor directed towards the person venerated. This is a novel interpretation of the fivefold prostration which has not gained general acceptance.

Raising joined palms as worshipping posture is defined as raising the joined palms over one’s forehead towards the person venerated: *(Aṅjali-kamma nāma karapuṭa samāyogo)*. See the commentary on the Theragāthā in elaboration of this definition.⁰

Of the two modes of worship, prostration excels raising joined palms: this is the traditional practice. See the Therīgāthā and its commentary.⁰

In elaboration of the joined palms the commentaries to the Nidāna and the Maṅgala Buddhavaṃsa, say that the palms are so joined as to have the hollow of the hands united in the shape of a full-grown lotus bud,⁰ and it is in view of these statements that the poet in his *Kogan pyo*¹ vividly describes the manner of the congregation of bhikkhus paying homage to the Buddha. It may be mentioned incidentally that in the act of the fivefold prostration the hands are not required to form a lotus-bud shape, but only touching them to the ground is needed.

Regarding verbal veneration: all forms of devotional utterances in praise of the Triple Gem from plain words to the most elaborate eulogies — whether in Pāḷi or in one’s vernacular — constitute verbal veneration.

Mental veneration means remembering the noble attributes of the Triple Gem. Composing devotional literature, though executed by hand (*i.e.*, physically), is included in verbal veneration as the writing is usually uttered.

The act of veneration (*paṇāma*) is often referred to as salutation or showing respect (*abhivādana*). For instance, in the Buddhavaṃsa, showing respect has three synonymous expressions, namely: praising (*thomana*), adoration (*vandana*), and paying homage (*namassana*).⁰ The commentary on the Buddhavaṃsa defines those four terms.⁰

*“Pucchissaṃ”* (of course) means, “I shall pose certain questions.” See Paramattha-dīpanī, being the commentary on the Vimānavatthu wherein it is said: “*Pucchāmī’ti ſātuṃ icchāmi pañhaṃ karomī’ti attho.*”

*Here ends the Explanation on the Object of the Questioner*

---

¹ The Venerable Mahā-Raṭṭhasāra of Ava, 15ᵗʰ Century poet and writer famous for poetic renderings of scriptural stories. Kogan-pyo, a ballad-like poem of nine divisions is based on Hatthipāla Jātaka, Visati-nipāta in Jātaka, Birth Stories of the Buddha.
Answer to the First Question

Having worshipped the Buddha as the preliminary task (pubba kicca) the questioner sets his questions beginning with the words: “Yo lokiyalokuttarasukhāni.”

The answer to the first question is:

That meritorious acts done with a view to mundane as well as supramundane benefits will result in both mundane and supramundane prosperity — provided it has not become ineffective or inoperative (ahosi kamma). If it has become ineffective it will contribute to supramundane prosperity.

That indeed is so. For an act of volition may become ineffective in respect of mundane result only: there is no good kamma that fails to contribute to supramundane welfare, and supramundane welfare is actually not the direct product of mundane merit, but just an advantage (ānisaṃsa) accrued.

Advantage Explained

This is no mean benefit since merit sought with a view to Path Knowledge and its Fruition, nibbāna, the release from the resultant round of kamma (i.e., vivaṭṭa), has wonderful potential. It may be likened to the flash of a thunderbolt hitting a great tree which has the sure effect of killing it, for supramundane merit, however seemingly slight, has the prolonged effect of burning up the defilements that usually overwhelm a worldling. In short, it acts as sufficing condition (upanissaya) for enlightenment along the Path.

This has been pointed out by the commentator on the Ākaṅkheyya Sutta, Mūlapaṇṇāsa as follows:

“Fruit (phala) and advantage (ānisaṃsa), though synonymous, are quite distinct in meaning. ‘Phala’ connotes abundant fruition of merit, hence it goes by the name ‘mahāpphala.’ ‘Ānisaṃsa’ means the potential for sufficing condition (upanissaya paccayo) for the noble (mahanto) supramundane happiness, hence it is called ‘mahānisaṃsa.’ That indeed is so because even the simplest offerings by one of virtue, concentration, and wisdom (sīlādiguṇa-yuttassa) such as a handful of almsfood or a humble thatched hut, five cubits square with an earthen floor, can prevent the donor from falling to the miserable states over thousands of aeons, and also serves as sufficing condition for the attainment of the deathless element of nibbāna.”⁰³⁸

(From this comment we can gather that supramundane benefit is not a direct fruit yet is a boon, a blessing, a decided advantage (ānisaṃsa).
A Possible Question

**QUESTION:** On what authority is it said that merit done with a view both to mundane and supramundane benefit is rewarding both ways?

**ANSWER:** There are instances such as that of Bhūridatta the Nāga king, or Campeyya the Nāga king or Saṅkhapāla the Nāga king.

(a) In the Bhūridatta Jātaka, Mahānipāta, the Buddha recounted thus:—“Longing for the celestial mansions such as Sakka’s Vejayanta Palace, where the gods of Tāvatiṃsa dwell in great luxury and happiness, I kept the fasting-day precepts (Uposatha sīla) and dwelled on the top of the hillock.”

(b) Again, in the Cariyāpiṭaka, the same abiding of Bhūridatta is narrated as follows:—“Seeing the definitely happy state of those gods at the Realm of the Thirty-three, I took upon myself the practice of virtue with the object of getting there.”

(c) In the Campeyya Jātaka, the Nāga king tells his captor thus:—“Great King, I keep the fasting-day precepts not for the sake of my children, nor for riches, nor for my longevity as a Nāga; I am making my earnest effort with a view to rebirth as a human being, which I ardently wish for.”

(d) In the Saṅkhapāla Jātaka the Nāga king Saṅkhapāla kept his fast for similar reasons.

Judging from the above birth stories of the Buddha, we may note that merit acquired with mundane and supramundane objectives mixed together add to one’s perfection necessary for Path Knowledge culminating in nibbāna.

**QUESTION:** One might ask: in those quotations above, where is the wish for the supramundane?

**ANSWER:** Those acts of merit are counted as fulfilments of perfections (pāramī) which, as the term signifies, implies an underlying motive for supramundane benefit. And the fact of their being so counted is expressly mentioned in the Cariyāpiṭaka, which is explained in the Paramatthadīpanī, the commentary thereon. Similar explanations on this point are also to be found in the Aṭṭhasālinī, Paṭhama Jātaka Aṭṭhakathā, Madhuratthavilāsinī (Commentary on the Buddhavaṃsa), Visuddhajavana-vilāsinī (Commentary to the Apadāna), Jātātattkā, etc.

The underlying motive in wishing for rebirth in the human realm has also been stated in the Campeyya Jātaka and Saṅkhapāla Jātaka which
Answer to the Second Question

Cultivating perfections (pāramī), as defined in the Jinālaṅkāra-ṭīkā, is a meritorious act aimed at nibbāna. Therefore, performing merit with a desire solely for worldly benefit does not amount to fulfilment of perfection. Nevertheless, the questioner uses the term “Meritorious deeds such as giving and so on (dānādipāramiyo),” because such good acts fall in line with the Buddha’s Teaching about meritorious acts.

Or, to argue in a different way: since giving and such like deeds of merit are in fact manifestations (paññatti) of the wise, the worthy ones, the deeds done by such superior persons may safely be regarded as fulfilment of perfection: “Paramānaṃ paṇḍitānaṃ kammaṃ pāramī.”

An act of merit done with purely worldly interest does not constitute a sufficing condition (upanissaya) for Path Knowledge: it merely results in worldly success such as high birth or affluence.

As the commentators on the Aṅguttaranikāya and Samyuttanikāya, in their works entitled Manorathapūraṇī and Sāratthappakāsinī respectively, put it:

(a) Let it be a humble gift such as a sheaf of grass, or a munificent one like that of the Bodhisatta Velāmaka the brahmin, if it be given with the giver’s object directed at lowly rewards of worldly success (vaṭṭa sampatti), the deed will result in mere mundane prosperity subject to endless rebirth (vaṭṭameva). It is not helpful in taking the giver out of the cycle of rebirths or to nibbāna.
(b) If, on the other hand, one wishes for the rebirth-free nibbāna, saying, “May this good deed lead me towards the exhaustion of the taints (āsava) in my mental makeup” then such rightly-directed merit, by virtue of its absence of longing for rebirth, is capable of enlightenment as an Arahant or a Paccekabuddha or a Perfectly Enlightened Buddha.⁴⁴

End of the Answer to the Second Question

Answer to the Third Question

Merit acquired with the sole intent for supramundane happiness means merit unalloyed with the debasing taints of ignorance (avijjā), craving (tanha) etc., but associated with the pure, uplifting forces (i.e., mental concomitants) of faith or confidence (saddhā), energy (vīrya), mindfulness (sati), concentration (samādhi) and wisdom (paññā). It is of the exceptionally noble (ukkaṭṭhatama) type. Therefore it carries the sufficing condition for Path Knowledge with its positive powers of destroying the defilements and breaking the fetters that bind one to the cycle of rebirths. Moreover, throughout the intervening period before gaining Path Knowledge it bestows the meritorious author with all the greatest and the highest that the world has to offer by way of glorious birth and exalted position, etc. Herein, worldly benefits such as birth and status are the direct fruits of the good action while Path Knowledge and nibbāna are the potential consequences.

In the commentaries on the Dhammadāyāda Sutta recorded in the Majjhimanikāya and Khuddakanikāya, Itivuttaka, it has been pointed out that the desire for breaking away from the process of rebirth, solely directed towards (lit., ‘leaning on’) nibbāna (vivaṭṭupanissitaṃ) may be present in direct actions as well as in apt applications (upacāra dhamma) of the Teaching in everyday life. In this world, some people, desirous of breaking away from the round of resultants may give alms or offerings, observe the moral precepts such as the five fundamental vows, or the eight or ten Uposatha vows, or restrain under the bhikkhus’ fundamental rules (Pāṭimokkha saṅvāra sīla), make offerings of scents, perfumes and flowers to the Triple Gem, listen to the Dhamma, teach the Dhamma, practise mindfulness and build up concentration. Such persons gradually attain to the unequivocal element (nippariyāya dhamma) of the deathless nibbāna. This is how nibbāna is won methodically over time (pariyāya dhamma).⁴⁵

A note on the word gradually (anupubbenā) in the above quotation:—
“Gradually” connotes that one proceeds in surpassing prosperity (sampatti-atikama) through happy existences in the human and celestial planes steadily
towards nibbāna. It does not connote the process of enlightenment along the Path and its Fruitions. In the Commentary on the Aṅguttaranikāya (Book of the Ones) this has been explained as follows:—

“It is the mind that is crucial here, the mind that is born of a will to abandon the round of rebirths (vivaṭṭavasena). For it is that well-directed mind that sets one on the right course whereby one proceeds—from human happiness to celestial happiness, and thence to the bliss of absorption (jhāna sukhaṃ), and then maturing into the bliss of insight (vipassanā sukhaṃ), which leads to the peace of Path Knowledge (magga sukhaṃ) and the serenity of its Fruitions (phala sukhaṃ), culminating in the ultimate bliss of nibbāna (nibbāna sukhaṃ). As it so superbly bears one (adhivahati), and carries one (āharati) to the bliss of nibbāna, it is called the conveyor, the transport, the vehicle (adhivāhana).”

There are certain teachers who believe that merit acquired with the desire for release from saṁsāra (vivaṭṭa kusala) being in the nature of destroying kamma, good or bad, does not carry any advantage in the mundane sphere (vaṭṭasampatti). This is incorrect. Merit with the desire for release does not rule out mundane merit: it only excludes volition productive of Path Knowledge (magga cetanā) and Path Knowledge (magga-ñāṇa) itself. This has been clearly stated in the commentary to the Kukkuravatika Sutta¹ and in the commentary to the Aṅguttaranikāya (Book of Fours).²

The commentary on the Paṭṭhāna³ elaborates on the point as follows:—

(a) “In the Triplet on the Dhammā which have “Dhammā of lesser efficacy as object” (appamāṇārammaṇā cetanā), means the volition associated with Maturity Knowledge (gotrabhū-ñāṇa) and Reviewing Knowledge (paccavekkhaṇa-ñāṇa) that arises in the three classes of the Noble Ones still in training (sekkha puggala). Thus should it also be said.

(b) “Resultant consciousness belongs to the phenomena that have lesser efficacy as object.” They include the rebirth-consciousness of the great resultant type (mahāvipāka) in the happy course of existence in the sensuous sphere (kāmasugati) as the mental aggregate (nāmakkhandha) with consciousness having three good roots in connection with wisdom (ñāṇa-sampayutta tihetuka) that has as its object the kamma characterized by Maturity Knowledge or Change-of-lineage consciousness immediately followed by Cleansing Knowledge (vodāna-ñāṇa) and Reviewing Knowledge. They also include the mental groups comprising the Meritorious Resultant (kusala vipāka) types of consciousness that continue to occur in

¹ Akaṇhaṃ asukkanti kammakkhayakaraṃ catumaggacetanākammaṃ adhippetam. (MA.iii.103).
² Akaṇhaṃ asukkanti kammakkhayakaraṃ catumaggānānaṃ adhippetam (AA.ii.230).
the course of an existence. This class of consciousness arises as the five sense types of consciousness such as eye-conscious, etc., due to contact with the five sensual objects. They arise by way of receiving consciousness (*samaṭicchana*) and investigating consciousness (*santīraṇa*). Also included is the mental group comprising the Meritorious Resultant types of consciousness that come to be taken up (by the mind) by way of registration consciousness (*tadārammaṇa*) of the six kinds (pertaining to the six sense-bases) due to sensuous impulsion consciousness (*javana*).

All those mental groups are conditioned by kamma operating from a previous (different) time (*nānākkhaṇika kamma*).

The view held by some that Maturity Knowledge or Change-of-lineage consciousness does not result in rebirth is rejected by the above passage.

As the Saddhammappakāsinī, the commentary on the Paṭisambhidāmagga, also explains:

(a) The expression, “It is the condition of future rebirth” is to be understood thus: when kamma associated with the Knowledge of Equanimity about conditioned things is very strong and brings forth sensuous rebirth, the attachment to insight, which is greed itself, provides the condition for rebirth in one of the seven fortunate existences of the sensuous sphere. Hence where kamma, the volition that arises as Knowledge of Equanimity about conditioned things, is the direct cause or the generator of rebirth, the defilement, *i.e.*, greed, acts as the supportive condition.

(b) “In the case of (lit., on the occasion of) those still in training, referred to as ‘those beyond penetrative Knowledge’ — for the Stream-winner or the Once-returner who has won the Fruition of the Path without achieving absorption, their kamma causes rebirth since the attachment to insight provides the condition for rebirth in the fortunate existences of the sensuous sphere, thanks to the kamma associated with the Knowledge of Equanimity about conditioned things.

(c) “Furthermore, one who has gained absorption and attained the Fruition of a Stream-winner, Once-returner, or Non-returner, being gifted with rebirth (*paṭisandhidānato*) in Brahmā realms does not carry the kamma that conditions rebirth in the sensuous sphere.

To recapitulate:– Conformity Knowledge (*anuloma-ñāṇa*) and Maturity Knowledge (*gotrabhū-ñāṇa*) belong to the mentality group that is defiled by attachment to insight with the result that they become a condition for rebirth. Thus should it be noted.”⁰⁴⁸
Considering the fact as quoted in the above Commentaries that even kammas associated with Knowledge of Equanimity about conditioned things, Conformity Knowledge, Maturity Knowledge, Cleansing Knowledge and Reviewing Knowledge, are productive of rebirth and the resultant types of consciousness of mundane existence, it goes without saying that mundane merit such as giving, etc., will bring forth success in the mundane field only.

**Answer to a Hypothetical Question**

The questioner does not frame a separate question on the efficacy of merit done without any specific desire, mundane or otherwise. This is simply because it is obvious that such merit also is capable of resulting in mundane welfare, i.e., fortunate existences and affluent states. If one were to frame such a question it might run as follows:

“Now, someone performs meritorious deeds such as giving with no particular wish for either mundane or supramundane benefits. Will he enjoy the fruit thereof only in the mundane field? Or will he attain to supramundane Knowledge only? Or will he enjoy both? Or will he be denied both?⁴⁹

The answer is: such merit does not bring supramundane advantage, cf. Commentary on the Cakkavatti Sutta:

“Tender-heartedness due to parental love towards one’s children, and tender-heartedness due to filial love towards one’s parents are called ‘merit leading to the round of rebirths’ (vaṭṭa gāmi kusalaṁ), and in its ultimate influence (pariyosānaṁ) it can land one in the glorious existence of a Universal Monarch.”⁵⁰

It will be seen that parental love and filial love are not states of mind with any particular rewards in view and yet such love constitutes merit capable of rebirth as a Universal Monarch. And the commentator is giving here as an example a most trifling class of merit. It therefore follows that any merit without a particular end in view is bound to bear fruit in the mundane sphere in a fitting manner.

To elaborate: Take, for instance, the good works done by a bhikkhu who, having entered monkhood taking up his vows with the prescribed formulae in requesting: “Please give me the going-forth for the sake of liberation from the cycle of rebirth,” and “Out of compassion, Venerable sirs, may the Saṅgha give me the higher ordination.”⁵¹

Who performs such duties, either for himself or for his fellow-monks, such as making an umbrella, making a pair of slippers, baking an alms-bowl, dyeing and making robes out of rags, constructing a monastic shelter or

⁴⁹“Hypothetical question:” (laddhaguṇasambhava puccha), lit., “A question that could arise by virtue of the foregoing answers.”
repairing it, and so on. These good deeds, for all their casual nature, are classed as merit divorced from the round of rebirths (*vivattanissita kusala*), merely by virtue of their purity of good practice (*āgamasuddhi*).

Hence the commentary on the *Itivuttaka* says:–

“Therein, knowledge may be of various kinds. For instance, an intelligent bhikkhu may have the skill to make an umbrella: while another has the ability to make requisites such as robes, etc. All such bhikkhu duties, being performed under the good guidance of the Buddha’s Discipline, those skills cannot be written off lightly: they have the potential to become the proximate cause (*padaṭṭhāna*) of enlightenment along the Path and its Fruition. On the other hand, a bhikkhu who, having gone forth under the Buddha’s Teaching, acquires skills in degeneracy (*kuladūsanaṃ*) and impropriety (*anesanaṃ*) such as practising medicine; such skills are a sure source of intensification of mental taints (*āsavā*).”

The Subcommentary then adds:

“‘Under the good guidance of the Discipline’ (*vaṭṭasīseṭhatvā*) means making the bhikkhus’ rules of conduct as one’s sacred part, i.e., the head. Thus, holding the discipline in the greatest regard, a bhikkhu, pure in livelihood, makes useful things such as umbrellas, etc., either for those fellow bhikkhus who are not able to make them, or for one’s own use, so that it would protect the user against the elements. Such actions amount to providing protection for oneself or others. It constitutes a sufficing condition for Path Knowledge, and therefore should not be discouraged (*na vattabbaṃ*) as not serving as a proximate cause of enlightenment.”

**Four Types of Merit**

1. Meritorious action done casually, without any consideration for its effect.
2. Meritorious action done just with mundane welfare in view.
3. Meritorious action done with both mundane and supramundane benefits in view.
4. Meritorious action done with the unalloyed desire for the supramundane (*nibbāna*).

Of those four, the fourth one is to be cherished most. In case it fails to appeal to you, the third one is the next best choice, failing which the second, and the first in decreasing order.

Considering the fact that the mundane benefits such as noble existence, noble birth, suitable locality, etc., wished for by Campeyya and Saṅkhapāla

---

1 Lit. “Placing the good practice on one’s head.” See the following Subcommentary.
nāga kings, was actuated by their ultimate desire to effectively carry out the perfections such as giving and observance of moral precepts, etc., as human beings their objective is practical as well as praiseworthy.

This point has been highlighted by the commentator on the Saṅkhārupapatti Sutta¹ thus:–

(a) “Someone is endowed with the five ennobling qualities (faith, virtue, learning, liberality, and wisdom), but he makes no wish for any particular existence. Then his future destination is uncertain. On the other hand, someone makes some specific wish for a certain existence but he lacks the five ennobling qualities. His future destination is uncertain too. However, for someone who fulfils both aspects (of wishing and personal merit); the future destination is certain.

(b) “That indeed is so. Just as a spear thrown up into the sky is unpredictable as to how it will fall to the ground, i.e., whether it will fall on its tip, or on its shaft-end, or sidewise, so also the acquiring of fresh becoming (paṭisandhi-gahānaṃ) is unpredictable. That being so, it behoves us that we wish for a certain plane of existence whenever some meritorious act is performed.”⁰⁵⁴

Some Possible Questions

**QUESTION:** If that is the case, would a doer of merit with just the supramundane benefit in view, making no specific wish for any form of existence, be faced with uncertainty as to his rebirth — just like a spear thrown up into the sky?

**ANSWER:** In accordance with the explanations given in the Paṭisambhidāmagga Aṭṭhakathā⁰⁵⁵ and Dhātusaṃyutta Aṭṭhakathā.

The answer is: That merit done with the supramundane in view is of such distinctly superior type (ukkaṭṭhatama) that it has the potential to guide the course of the doer with the result that it always lands one in suitable favourable existences, clans and states so that there is no fear of one falling into disarray.

**QUESTION:** In that case, would your answer nullify the earlier commentator who says it is well that a merit-seeker should wish for some form of existence?

**ANSWER:** The distinctly superior type of merit is found to have the inherent advantage of averting the undesirable or disadvantageous craving for

¹MA.iv.416.
past existences and leading the doer to wish for advantageous existences in the cases of Janavasabha the son of a deva, and Gandhabba the son of a deva. Moreover, this type of merit has other advantages too.

As the Paṭisambhidāmagga puts it:–

“‘To have done skilful things in the past’ (pubbe ca kāta puññatā) means to have amassed merit done in previous existences. Further, herein, of the four kinds of fortune or success (sampatti), the good fortune of having done merit in previous existences is the measure (pamāṇaṃ) of one’s success in the present existence. That indeed is so because when someone, with a prudent mind, i.e., consciousness associated with knowledge (nāṇasampayuttā cittaṃ) has done an act of merit, that merit leads (upaneti) him to a suitable locality (patirūpadesa)¹ and lets him consort with the righteous (sappurisa). Thus he will find himself properly placed.² Thus should it be noted.”

The commentator on the Dhātu Saṃyutta⁰⁵⁶ likewise says:–

“Merit done with a prudent mind sends the doer to some favourable abode and lets him follow the righteous ones. Thus he will on his own accord find a sound footing.”³

End of the Answer to the Third Question

Answer to the Fourth Question

The gist of the question is: “When do the requisite periods for fulfilling the perfections start?” i.e., whether from the time of expressing the respective wishes or from the day they receive the prediction from the Buddha of the day. In this question five types of enlightenment are involved out of which the first signifies Arahatta-magga-nāṇa and Sabbaññuta-nāṇa, viz. “Sammā-sambodhin’i Arahatta-magga-nāṇaṁceva sabbaññuta-nāṇa.”⁴

N.B. In the Commentary to the Cariyāpiṭaka, ‘bodhi’ in this context is taken in two senses: sabbaññuta-nāṇa as one, sabbaññuta-nāṇa and Arahatta-magga-nāṇa as the other. In the Madhuratthavilāsinī, the Commentary on the Buddhavaṃsa, only sabbaññuta-nāṇa is taken.

In the following cases ‘bodhi’ is taken to mean the four stages of path knowledge, and sabbaññuta-nāṇa is excluded.

¹ Patirūpadesa: is defined by a place blessed with these four good fortunes (sampatti): 1) being born in a fortunate existence, i.e., in the human world and the six sensual celestial planes (gati sampatti); 2) having a fine physique (upadhi sampatti); 3) to have come upon good times (kāla-sampatti); 4) to have a facility for good actions (payoga sampatti).
² So eva puggalo attānaṁ sammā-ṭhapeti: lit., ‘That person just places himself well.’
³ The Pāḷi text is almost the same as the Paṭisambhidāmagga above.
⁴ Paramatthajotikā, the Commentary on the Suttanipāta.
Incidentally, in all the five cases ‘bodhi’ may mean nibbāna — q.v. ‘Patvāna bodhiṃ amataṃ asaṅkhataṃ’ (of the Text).

Now as to the answer to this question:
All the periods required for fulfilling the perfections, namely:

1. For the Perfectly Enlightened Buddhas, four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, or eight incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, or sixteen incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons (according to the type to be explained later on);
2. For Paccekabuddhas, two incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons;
3. For the Chief Disciples (Aggasāvaka), one incalculable and a hundred thousand great aeons;
4. For the Great Disciples (Mahāsāvaka) a hundred thousand great aeons — are reckoned from the day, i.e., the Kappa, they receive the prediction, and not from the time they made their solemn wishes. Nor is the reckoning done from the time of a general prophesy of their future enlightenment (aniyata vyākaraṇa), nor from some later prediction by the subsequent Buddhas after the prediction.
5. As for the ordinary disciples (pakatisāvaka) there is no firm declaration amounting to prediction. So their periods of fulfilling the perfections that may vary from a hundred great aeons to a thousand great aeons or more, are to be reckoned from the time they earnestly wish for nibbāna, disdaining all mundane merit, i.e., when vivaṭṭa kusala began to be developed.

As regards the three types of Buddhas, the periods for fulfilling the perfections vary in accordance with whether a Buddha relies on wisdom as his mainstay (paññādhika), on faith (saddhādhika) or on energy (vīriyādhika); the periods being four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, eight incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, and sixteen incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, respectively.

This can be found in the Commentary on the Cariyāpiṭaka where it says:—
“Those three types of Buddhas (i.e., the wisdom-predominant one, the faith-predominant one and the energy-predominant one) having set their minds firmly (abhinīhāra) on Buddhahood and done meritorious actions since time immemorial, and having heard from the contemporary Buddha’s lips the (enthralling) declaration prophesying their own Buddhahood in the remote future, and having gradually fulfilled the perfections over periods
of four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, or eight incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, or sixteen incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, respectively, attained Perfect Enlightenment.”

From that statement we are to note that there is no marked difference in the period of pursuing Buddhahood prior to the august prophesy or assurance, and that only thereafter the periods vary according to the Bodhisatta’s own choice.

Just as with each of the three types of Buddhas the periods for fulfilling the perfections vary from four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons and so on, depending on whether it is the ‘wisdom’ type, the ‘faith’ type or the ‘energy’ type, so also with Paccekabuddhas the periods vary as follows:–

For the ‘wisdom’ type it is two incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons; for the ‘faith’ type it is two incalculable aeons and two hundred thousand great aeons; for the ‘energy’ type, it is two incalculable aeons and ten million great aeons.

This has been mentioned in the Commentaries on the Suttanipāta and Theragāthā:–

“This indeed is so. Even with the state of a ‘wisdom’ type of Pacceka-buddha (paññādhika bhāve) the aspirant has to fulfil the perfections over two incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons. This is the minimum requirement; nothing less would do. In the case of the ‘faith’ type and ‘energy’ type of Paccekabuddhas, the term lasts several great aeons beyond two incalculable aeons, but never beyond three incalculable aeons, i.e., they attain Paccekabodhi-ñāṇa within three incalculable aeons. Thus should it be noted.”

N.B. The expression ‘Na tatiyāṁ asaṅkyeyyaṁ’ implies an indefinite period falling short of the third asaṅkhyeya. The periods assignable to the ‘faith’ type and the ‘energy’ type may be distinguished depending on the aspirant’s own discretion.

The principle of the three varying periods also applies to the Chief Disciples and the Great Disciples. With the former, the ‘wisdom’ type needs a minimum of one incalculable and a hundred thousand great aeons, extending up to any period short of two incalculable aeons; the period beyond the first incalculable in excess of the first hundred thousand great aeons and the last great aeons of the first incalculable is to be suitably apportioned between the three types. Similarly, with the latter, the period between the first great aeon in excess of the first hundred thousand great aeons and the
last great aeon of the first hundred thousand has to be apportioned between
the three types.
All those periods, of course, start running from the aeon when the aspirant
receives his prediction from the Buddha of the day, *vide* the Commentary on
the Theragāthā.⁰⁵⁹

“For the future Paccekabuddhas, having been assured by the living
Buddha of success by virtue of the five factors constituting the solemn vow
namely; being a human being (*manussattāṃ*), being male (*liṅgasampatti*);
seeing a taint-free living Buddha (*vigatāsava dassanaṃ*), being dedicated to
perfection (*adhikāro*) and an unflinching desire for Paccekabuddhahood
(*chandatā*); and for the Disciples of the Buddha who are endowed with the
twofold requisite factors of having performed merit towards perfection as
befitting a would-be Arahant and having an unflinching desire to become a
Buddha’s Disciple — there is no attaining to the desired goal until the
requisite period has been duly served. Why? Because the wisdom has not
matured until then.”

In this connection we are also to note that the four classes of enlightened
ones — the Buddhas, the Paccekabuddhas, the Chief Disciples and the Great
Disciples, prior to receiving the prediction, have to acquire the sufficing
conditions through meritorious actions in the presence of myriads of Buddhas
in the past.

**A Possible Question**

In that case, how long would an aspirant to Buddhahood have to undergo
acquiring prior merit sufficient to receive the prediction? According to the
Samantabhaddikā, the Commentary on the Anāgatavāṃsa:–

First, an aspirant to Buddhahood has to acquire for acquiring a sense
of shame of misconduct (*hirīsampatti*) for five hundred lives; for a sense of
moral dread for misconduct (*ottappasampatti*) for one thousand lives, and for
attainment in the proper discipline (religious practice) for five thousand lives;
thus making a total of six thousand five hundred lives. Then he has to go
through a hundred thousand great aeons, acquiring further merit. Then only
does he become fit to receive the prediction. That is the norm (*Dhammatā*).”⁰⁶⁰

In the Paṭisambhidāmagga Gaṇṭhi too, the threefold acquisition is
mentioned.⁰⁶¹ This is elaborated in Buddhist literature such as the Sotattakī,
Tathāgatuppatti, etc., as follows:–

“Sāriputta, for seven incalculable aeons I wished for Buddhahood mentally
(only); then for nine incalculable aeons I wished for it by word of mouth;
and then for four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons I
wished for it while fulfilling the perfections by doing meritorious acts mentally, verbally and physically. That much did I fulfil the perfections.”⁰⁶

The period of mental prayers ran as follows:–

1. Nanda-sañkhyeyya marked by five thousand Buddhas beginning with Brahma Deva, etc.;
2. Sunanda-sañkhyeyya marked by nine thousand Buddhas;
3. Pathavī-sañkhyeyya marked by ten thousand Buddhas;
4. Maṇḍa-sañkhyeyya marked by eleven thousand Buddhas;
5. Dharanī-sañkhyeyya marked by twenty thousand Buddhas;
6. Sāgara-sañkhyeyya marked by thirty thousand Buddhas;
7. Puṇḍarika-sañkhyeyya marked by forty thousand Buddhas. During those seven incalculable aeons (asaṅkhyeyya) those Buddhas totalling one hundred and twenty-five thousand appeared, to whom the future Gotama Buddha made mental wishes to become a Buddha.

The period of verbal prayers ran as follows:–

1. Sabbabhadda-sañkhyeyya marked by five thousand Buddhas beginning with Porāṇa, Sakyamuni, etc.;
2. Sabbaphulla-sañkhyeyya marked by sixty thousand Buddhas;
3. Sabbaratana-sañkhyeyya marked by seventy thousand Buddhas;
4. Usabhakkhandha-sañkhyeyya marked by eighty thousand Buddhas;
5. Mānibhadda-sañkhyeyya marked by ninety thousand Buddhas;
6. Paduma-sañkhyeyya marked by twenty thousand Buddhas;
7. Usabha-sañkhyeyya marked by ten thousand Buddhas;
8. Khandhuttama-sañkhyeyya marked by five thousand Buddhas;
9. Sabbapāla-sañkhyeyya marked by two thousand Buddhas. During those nine incalculable aeons (asaṅkhyeyya), the above Buddhas, totalling three hundred and forty-two thousand appeared to whom the future Gotama Buddha made verbal wishes to become a Buddha.

Thus for sixteen incalculable aeons (asaṅkhyeyya) the future Gotama Buddha fulfilled the perfections even before he received the prediction (from Dīpaṅkara Buddha). Incidentally, that (sixteen incalculable aeons) is the rule for all other Bodhisattas as well for receiving the prediction.

This is one version.

Another version:

In the Subcommentary to the Mahāvagga of the Saṃyuttanikāya the author says:
The periods for the Bodhisattas to fulfil the perfections that range from four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, eight and sixteen incalculable aeons, for the wisdom type, the faith-type and the energy-type respectively do not refer to the periods that must elapse after receiving the prediction. Rather, it refers to the periods required for maturing into a stage of wisdom fit for receiving the prediction, i.e., as an immature aspirant 16 incalculable aeons are needed; as the middling aspirant 8 incalculable aeons are needed; and as the acute aspirant 4 incalculable aeons are needed for Supreme Enlightenment.

According to that version there is a uniform period of 28 incalculable aeons which every aspirant to Buddhahood has to fulfil the perfections to make themselves worthy of the prediction, the three various intervals representing the gradual maturing periods from the immature to the mature stage.

Another version:

In the Buddha Apadāna the Buddha said:

“Ānanda, I too, as an aspirant to Buddhahood, had made just mental wishes for Perfect Enlightenment at the feet of previous Buddhas whose numbers are beyond reckoning.”

Since, as the text above shows, the number of previous Buddhas before whom the future Gotama Buddha made mental wishes for Buddhahood is beyond reckoning, the time elapsed would be simply immeasurable. That indeed is so. What Buddhist writers have put in Sotattakī etc., as twenty incalculable aeons — during which a mere five hundred and twelve thousand and twenty-six Buddhas, beginning from Brahmā deva to Kassapa, appeared in the world — therefore would seem untenable. For even if that interval of 20 incalculable aeons were increased tenfold, the number of Buddhas would still be countable. Therefore the interval that a Bodhisatta has to wait until he is ripe for the prediction is just infinite.

Should there be any scepticism about this, one may consider the following corroborating records:

The Past Lives of Yasodharā Therī

Yasodharā devī, the consort of Prince Siddhattha, the future Buddha, herself had fulfilled the perfections quite a long time prior to receiving the prediction from Dipaṅkara Buddha that she would become the life-partner, (successively to the last existence), of Sumedha the ascetic who received the prediction for Buddhahood. At that time she was of brahmin caste, her name being Sumittā. She had joined the huge crowd to witness the great occasion
of Bodhisatta Sumedha’s receiving the prediction, and on seeing the superb beauty of the youthful ascetic, the tender feelings she had had for the ascetic having been aroused in her, she offered five out of the eight lotus flowers, meant to be personally offered to Dīpaṅkara Buddha, to the Bodhisatta, and offered three to the Buddha, praying for lifelong partnership with Sumedha in all future existences until the Bodhisatta attained Buddhahood. This love at first sight episode was, after all, the result of the two having been wedded over innumerable past existences during which two trillion, twelve thousand, one hundred and seventy-nine Buddhas such as Brahma deva, Porāṇa Gotama, etc., arose in the world, at whose feet the couple had prayed together for Enlightenment as life-partners.

On that great occasion ten thousand young ladies prayed for Enlightenment as Disciples, as the result of which they all were born in the Sākyan clan — the kinsmen of Gotama Buddha himself.

Eighteen thousand young brahmin ladies, in the company of Sumittā, who had formerly prayed to the same Buddhas such as Brahma-deva etc., for Enlightenment as disciples under a future Buddha (i.e., Gotama Buddha) also offered flowers to Dīpaṅkara Buddha and received their predictions respectively. They were reborn in the Sākyan clan and won Enlightenment as Disciples. See Therī Apadāna.

When one considers the fact that where the lady disciples headed by the future Yasodharā themselves had to undergo vast periods covering the epochs of over two trillion Buddhas prior to Dīpaṅkara, the period covered by the future Gotama Buddha, prior to Dīpaṅkara’s time, must certainly have been infinite (Dhammarājā asaṅkhayā).

In the text referred to above, “Buddhas beyond reckoning” refers to just mental wishes (manasāyeva hutvāna); it does not speak of other previous Buddhas to whom the future Gotama Buddha made his verbal and physical prayers.

Note that mental prayers precede verbal ones and physical ones, which fact will become evident as we go along.

There are different versions in the Therī Apadāna itself about the number of Buddhas and Paccekabuddhas to whom the future Yasodharā prayed for Enlightenment; however, as to the number of Arahants at whose feet she expressed this wish, there is no discrepancy.

Thus we have in the Mahantaguṇa⁰⁶⁵ that states the number of Arahants as being infinite, whereas, there were 640 million Paccekabuddhas, and three hundred and seventy million, two hundred and sixty thousand Buddhas.
From the foregoing references, we have five different versions relating to the interval that is said to have elapsed before the future Gotama Buddha received the prediction. To recapitulate:

1. The Commentary on the Anāgatavamsa and the Paṭisambhidāmagga Gaṇṭhi put it as a period covering six thousand existences and a hundred thousand aeons;
2. The Sotattakī and other works put it as sixteen incalculable aeons;
3. The Subcommentary on the Mahāvagga of the Saṃyuttanikāya puts it as twenty-four incalculable aeons;
4. In the Commentary on the Thera Apadāna it is put as thirty-four incalculable aeons;
5. The Apadāna says that the interval is simply infinite.

Of those five versions, the fifth one, being from the Pāḷi text, is to be taken as the most tenable (balavatara). Let the inquisitive probe further.

There is no direct reference to the intervals that precede the Assurance in the case of Pacceka-buddhas and the Disciples.

Now let us turn to some relevant sources such as the Dhammaruci Thera Apadāna wherein it states: "Tadā dīpaṅkaro buddho sumedhaṃ vyākari jino" — which throws an interesting light on the matter. Here, we are given to understand that the future Dhammaruci Thera began his early aspiration to Enlightenment as a Disciple from the awe-inspiring sight of Sumedha receiving the prediction from Dīpaṅkara Buddha. His praise of the future Buddha (i.e., Sumedha) was his earliest step along the Path to gain Enlightenment under Gotama Buddha to become the famous disciple known as Dhammaruci Thera.

In another case, that of the lay supporter Nisseṇi, the Nisseṇidāyaka Thera Apadāna makes mention that his earliest merit gathering began at the time of Koṇḍañña Buddha, some three incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons prior to our present aeon, when he donated a flight of stairs to the Buddha. He won Enlightenment as a lay disciple under Gotama Buddha.

Those instances would seem to indicate that even for a disciple, the pre-prediction period for fulfilling the perfections ran to three or four incalculable aeons. It therefore goes without saying that aspirants to become a Chief Disciple or Great Disciple must require still greater intervals.

In the case of Yasodharā and twenty-eight thousand elder nuns, since they had made their wishes to become maids-in-attendance to the future
Buddha, *(ref: Tuyhatthāya mahāmune)*, however ripe some of them might have been to win Enlightenment as disciples, they had to await Gotama Buddha to appear so that their prime aspiration could be fulfilled.

*End of the Answer to the Fourth Question*

**Answer to the Fifth Question**

There are:
1. Eight factors required for the prediction as a Buddha;
2. Five factors for Paccekabuddhas;
3. Two factors for the Chief Disciples, the Great Disciples, the Mother to the Buddha, the Father to the Buddha and the personal attendant bhikkhu to the Buddha, which of course implies that those Noble Ones had to be endowed with the abilities (*sāmatthiya*) by way of the requisite factors as well as of the intervals that must elapse before receiving the prediction, for there is no Enlightenment for them without having first obtained the prediction.

As for Ordinary Disciples some obtain the prediction while others do not. As examples we may cite Adhimuttaka Thera as belonging to the former category and Girimānanda Thera as belonging to the latter.

See details in the Thera Apadāna and the Therī Apadāna.

*End of the Answer to the Fifth Question*

**Answer to the Sixth Question**

A Bodhisatta, in his own right, is no ordinary person: he is already possessed of the four conditions (*paccaya*) the four root-causes (*hetu*), the four powers or strengths (*bala*) and the three distinct characteristics (*liṅga*) for the unique qualities of which he comes to receive the prediction. That being so, there is no question of a future Buddha reverting to any lessor form of Enlightenment: he would never dream of it. As the commentator on Cariyāpiṭaka: puts it: “As soon as the great resolution (*mahābhinīhāra*) has been made, the great man takes upon himself the practice (*paṭipattiṃ okkanto*) of a Bodhisatta. This is because he has the certainty of his mission, which makes it virtually impossible to turn back, and it is that very steadfastness of purpose that entitles him to the name, Bodhisatta.”

**A Possible Question**

**QUESTION:** From the commentary quoted here, there is no likelihood of a Bodhisatta changing to a lesser form of Enlightenment; however it does not rule out the possibility that he might contemplate doing so.
**Answer to the Sixth Question**

**Answer:** In reply to such a question the commentator on the Ghaṭikāra Sutta clearly gives a negative answer thus:

“Indeed so. A Bodhisatta goes forth into monkhood in the presence of the Buddha, and thereafter, unlike others, he never slackens. Rather, he gets himself established in the fourfold purity of virtue (catupārisuddhi sīla), acquaints himself well (uggaṇhitvā) with the words of the Buddha, takes up the thirteen austere practices (dhutaṅga) allowed by the Buddha, resorts to a forest abode and practises seclusion (gatapaccāgatavattatī). There he performs the duties of a recluse, and cultivates insight (vipassanā) up to the stage of Conformity Knowledge (saccānuloma ñāṇa) where he would abide (tiṭṭhanti), without striving for (vāyāmaṃ na karoti) Path and Fruition Knowledge.”

As the commentaries on Pañcapakaraṇa and Puggalapaññatti put it:–

“In the successive existences in the past, recounted from this last one, the Bodhisattas would go forth into monkhood under the Buddha’s Teaching, learn the Three Baskets of the Scriptural knowledge and, proceeding spiritually upwards through bhikkhu practice of seclusion, work up to the level of Conformity-Knowledge (anuloma ñāṇa) and Maturity Knowledge (gotrabhū-ñāṇa) whereat they stay (without pursuing further).”

In the Paṭisambhidāmagga Aṭṭhakathā the level of insight attained to by the Bodhisattas is mentioned as “in the proximity of Conformity Knowledge and Maturity Knowledge (anuloma gotrabhusamīpaṃ).”

From the above three sources we may infer that the Bodhisattas worked for insight up to the level of Equanimity towards all conditioned things, (saṅkhārupekkhā-ñāṇa).

This has been elaborately described in the Jinālaṅkāra-ṭīkā:–

“The Bodhisatta has attained to these eight stages of Insight, namely: Knowledge of Arising and Passing Away (udaybbayānupassanā-ñāṇam), Knowledge of Dissolution (bhaṅgānupassanā-ñāṇam), Knowledge of Fearfulness (bhayānupassanā-ñāṇam), Knowledge of Misery (ādīnavānupassanā-ñāṇam), Knowledge of Disgust (nibbidānupassanā-ñāṇam), Knowledge of Desire for Deliverance (muccitukamyatā-ñāṇam), Knowledge of Re-observation (paṭisaṅkhārānupassanā-ñāṇam), Knowledge of Equanimity about Formations (saṅkharupekkhā-ñāṇam). Thanks to his previously-acquired merit, to wit: his having gone forth as a bhikkhu under many Buddhas in the past, learned the scriptures (Piṭaka) and cultivated insight along the Path — those stages of Insight arose in him in no time and he abode in them as steadily as a flame set in an enclosed monastery where no breeze stirs. Only the ninth

1 *Pātītaṅgā*: Lit., ‘having let fall the horn (of endeavour).’
stage, i.e., the Adaptation Knowledge (anuloma-ñāṇa) is what he has never acquired previously before attaining Buddhahood, and he stayed that way (ṭhitaṃ) until the last existence."

The Paṭisambhidāmagga Gaṇṭhi explains the matter thus:–

The term in the proximity of Conformity Knowledge and Maturity Knowledge may be taken in this light: that it indicates the Knowledge of Equanimity about Formations (saṅkhārupekkhā-ñāṇa) which arises just prior to them. It must, however, be remembered that the Knowledge of Equanimity about Formations is not a full-fledged knowledge yet in that it has not reached the full apperception of that particular stage of insight, but falls short of it by a few moments of impulsion consciousness (javana). This is so because, if it were a fully perceived insight, there is no turning back — the knowledge must necessarily develop itself into Adaptation Knowledge and the Path. Therefore what is meant by the term (proximity to anuloma-ñāṇa) is that the commentator is referring to three types of person, the dull one (manda), the acute one (tikkha), and the exceptionally acute one (tikkhatara). For with the first type he would reach just the stage of Knowledge consisting in Reflecting Contemplation; and with the third, Equanimity Knowledge, before he stays put. The term “proximity” is to be construed in this three-fold sense.”

In the above context, a future Buddha is to be understood as belonging to the third type. The conclusion from those quotations is that a Bodhisatta never seeks insight beyond that critical stage of Equanimity Knowledge since he purposely denies himself Arahantship, having set his sights only on Perfect Enlightenment.

Those words should satisfy the possible question of whether a Bodhisatta after receiving the prediction would have any inclination to a lesser form of Enlightenment.

It should however be noted on the authority of Pacceka Buddha Apadāna, that a future Pacceka Buddha, after receiving the prediction, might change his will and turn to some lesser form of Enlightenment:–

“Certain wise persons, in spite of their serious efforts, fail to gain insight into the voidness (suññata), or into desirelessness (appaṇihita), or into the signlessness (animitta) during the time of the Buddha’s Teaching and do not become enlightened disciples or Arahants; they later discovered the Four Noble Truths by themselves and win Enlightenment as Pacceka Buddhas.”

The above text says that some of the Pacceka Buddhas who came under the Buddha’s Teaching tried to win Arahantship through one of the forms of insight into release but having been unsuccessful through lack of necessary
conditions they later discover the Truth outside of the Buddha’s Teaching, *i.e.*, when the Buddha’s Teaching has become extinct in the world.

What the text above reveals is that some future Paccekabuddhas, when they come under the Buddha’s Teaching (*sāsana*) do try to win Path Knowledge; however their efforts are vain because they have already received the prediction which is utterly infallible.

Again, in the case of the Chief Disciples and the Great Disciples, we have such instances as those of the future Dabba Mallaputta Thera, Kumāra Kassapa Thera, Bāhiya Dārucīriya Thera, Sabhiya Thera, and King Pukkusāti — all Great Disciples — who had, during the time of Buddha Kassapa, made earnest efforts for Arahantship although unsuccessfully — because they were already assured of enlightenment as great disciples.⁰⁷₁

*End of the Answer to the Sixth Question.*

**Answer to the Seventh Question**

The four classes of future Enlightened Ones, the Buddha, the Pacceka-buddha, the Chief Disciple and the Great Disciple, as a rule receive the prediction as is evidenced by the requisite factors — eight, five and two respectively — for ripeness for it. Of the three basic conditions for receiving the prediction, the will (*chandatā*) may be explained here:–

1. He who wills for Perfect Enlightenment is entitled to the prediction for Perfect Enlightenment;
2. He who wills for Paccekabodhi is entitled to the prediction for Paccekabodhi;
3. He who wills for Chief Discipleship is entitled to Chief Discipleship;
4. He who wills for Great Discipleship is entitled to Great Discipleship.

After having been assured by the Buddha, by virtue of their meeting the requisite qualifications, the future Enlightened Ones continue fulfilling the perfections over the respective periods fixed for the various aspirations:

1. Four, eight, or sixteen incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons, or
2. Two incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons, or
3. One incalculable aeon and one hundred thousand great aeons, or
4. One hundred thousand great aeons, all periods to be served exactly.

As such, one who never has any particular will to gain Enlightenment of some sort throughout one’s progress along the meritorious path, even though
capable of release from rebirth, will never receive any prediction just because the will for some specific form of Enlightenment is wanting. This means enlightenment under one of the four types of future Enlightened Ones needs a specific will, without which an aspirant may win emancipation only as an ordinary disciple, despite incalculable aeons of fulfilling the perfections.

**N.B.** An ordinary disciple may be human, deva, or brahmā who has not gone forth as a bhikkhu.

Incidentally, here is a verse that should stand the scholar in good stead in every appropriate situation:

“However much correctness of the meaning is rendered weak for want of direct textual reference (*i.e.*, Pāḷi or Commentary or Subcommentary), if there be some evidence that is palpably true,¹ it should be considered good authority, as unshakable as the language of the Buddha himself.”⁰⁷

**A Possible Question**

**QUESTION:** Would a great interval of merit-building, having enriched the fulfilment of perfections, be good reason for some specific form of Enlightenment as one would choose?

**ANSWER:** No. The reason is this: failure to have made a firm wish at the outset for some specific Enlightenment is due to one of the following weakness, namely:–

1. One has never understood the value of Perfect Self Enlightenment or lesser specific forms of Enlightenment.
2. Even though the value is understood, there is tardiness to make the plunge for Bodhisatta-hood because it involves great sacrifices in fulfilling the perfections such as giving up one’s own life and limb and one’s wife or children or one’s cherished possessions such as kingdom, *etc.*, coupled with the incapacity to exert oneself on a super-human scale. This tardiness, of course, is due to strong selfishness which is deeply ingrained in the ordinary man.
3. The fear to go through such great lengths of time for Enlightenment, reckoned innumerable aeons — although, when compared to the incalculable past that one has already fared in *saṃsāra*, such seemingly great intervals are actually fleetingly short.

That being so, it is a matter of “Nothing ventured, nothing gained.”

¹ Sanabhūtaṅgupathadāhā: lit., “Something that exists, which has the inherent quality that can stand as positive truth.”
The seed-germ of merit that lies in the heart of a weakling is weak in mindfulness (*sati*), wisdom (*paññā*), faith (*saddhā*), desire (*chanda*), and energy (*vīriya*); so much so that however much it is nurtured over great intervals of time, it does not increase sufficiently to merit any special type of Enlightenment. An all year round mist will never fill rivers, tanks, and oceans; a thousand year growth of grass, however tall or stout, can never serve as construction timber. It is the brave one, understanding the real value of special type of Enlightenment; such as Buddhahood, *etc.*, who sets his heart unwaveringly on it, and who is prepared to undergo the rigorous practice that is formidable indeed, and who awaits for his goal the countless aeons as though it were the next morning. Yes, it takes a fierce desire (*mahājjhāsaya*), a firm resolution (*dalhasamādāna*), to make a Bodhisatta.

For a detailed discussion on this point see the Paramatthadīpanī, the Commentary on the Cariyāpiṭaka.

The resolution of such lion-hearted persons may be likened to the epochal rains that fall at the renewal cycle of the universe that fill up the trillion world-systems (*cakkavāla*) with water in no time; or the instant magic mango tree planted by Kaṇḍa the gardener, or the Bodhi Tree that rose in a trice to shelter the budding Buddha — for all those Bodhisattas (*i.e.*, the four specific types of future Enlightened Ones) carry through their resolve to the very goal over the requisite intervals.

From this account it is useful to note that those who have not the mental courage to wish for the higher forms of Enlightenment should do well to make the best of the present opportunity of having come under the Buddha’s Teaching to work for release from rebirth here and now, without making much fuss.

As the commentator on the Puggalapaññatti puts it:–

“Who is this (sixth) type of person? This is the question. The answer is: he is a well-conducted or virtuous one with slackness. Yes, he is one who says to himself: ‘Why should I enter nibbāna under Gotama Buddha’s Teaching? I will do that in the future under Metteyya Buddha.’ So, in spite of his promising grounding in purity of morality he does not cultivate insight. To such a person as well, good counsel ought to prevail. He ought to be reminded of the vagaries of one’s future course. He should not forget that a worldling’s destination is surrounded by utmost uncertainty so much so that there is no guarantee that he would gain the presence of Buddha Metteyya, so that it behoves him to strive for Arahantship by cultivating insight, instead of remaining heedless.” 073

What the commentator says here is, if one has no specific form of Enlightenment in view it is best to hasten one’s steps towards emancipation
from saṃsāra, for it is a great gamble to yearn for Metteyya Buddha’s Teaching, missing which one’s chances of Enlightenment are actually doomed. This obviously does not apply to one who stands in firm resolve to go for some specific Enlightenment.

End of the Answer to the Seventh Question.

Answer to the Eighth Question

There are certain forms of question that carry the answer in themselves: for example we have in the Vajiratthasaṅgaha: “Uṇhakāle kamicchanti” — which may mean, “What do people wish for in hot weather?” Or it may also mean: “Water is wished for in hot weather.”

Here we have such a question. Someone who aspires for Discipleship may change his wish and aspire for some higher form of Enlightenment in which case he stands a good chance of success. This answer is implied in the question itself. So we need to discuss here only the question of the fulfilling the perfections: whether supplemental fulfilment would suffice or not.

1. An aspirant for Ordinary Discipleship may, and should, later set his goal for any of the four specific classes of Enlightenment:
2. An aspirant for Great Discipleship may, and should, later set his goal for any of the three higher classes of Enlightenment.
3. An aspirant for Chief Discipleship may, and should, later set his goal for either Buddhahood or for Paccekabuddhahood.

An aspirant here means one who is serious and firm, not a blind and foolish one who would:

1. On learning that to win Enlightenment as Ordinary Disciple is quite easy, wish for it; but later;
2. On learning that Enlightenment as a Great Disciple is better, would wish for it; but later;
3. On learning that Enlightenment as a Chief Disciple is still better, would wish for it; but later;
4. On learning that Enlightenment as a Paccekabuddha is still better, wish for it; but later,
5. On learning that Perfect Enlightenment of one of the three types is what a real man should go for, wishes for it;
6. And learning that, of the three types of Buddhahood, the ‘wisdom-type’ is the best, would wish for it!
In this way he shifts his goal within his single existence — nay, within years, or even days. This is just so. In the Visuddhimagga Atṭhakathā we are told that on the day the Blessed One descended from the Realm of the Thirty-three gods to Saṅkassa-nagara, there was not a single soul who, on seeing the glory of the Buddha, did not wish for Buddhahood: *(Taṃ divasāṃ bhagavaṇṭhaḥ buddha bhāvāya pihaṃ anuppādeva thitasattonāma natthi).* The unique gathering consisted of all beings — human, devas, and brahmās — belonging to the hundred thousand world-systems. However, out of the multitude, a mere five hundred and ten were destined to have their desire fulfilled according to the Sotattakī.

So there is not much significance in shifting or fickle desires of the multitude. As for those aspirants already assured of future Enlightenment no such shifting is possible either.

All the aforesaid particulars concerning the five classes of Enlightenment, the maturity-periods and the terminology are extant only while the Buddha's Teaching is extant. Once the Teaching fades out from the world all those things are never heard of or properly understood. The commentaries on the Brahmāyu Sutta and the Aṅguttaranikāya have this to say:—

“*There is what is called the Book on the Characteristic Marks of the Great Man (mahāpūrisa-lakkhaṇa).* It tells us about the thirty-two major marks, the eighty minor marks, the 108 signs on the sole of the Buddha's feet. It consists of twelve thousand compositions (gantha). Besides, there is also a Book of Prophecies called "Buddhamanta," consisting of sixteen thousand verses. And it is with the help of the latter that the interpretations on the former are made. Thus you are given to understand that when such and such marks are evident fully on someone's person, he is called the Buddha; that such and such marks reveal the Pacceka-buddha; that such and such marks reveal the two Chief Disciples; that such and such marks reveal the eighty Great Disciples; that such and such marks reveal the Mother to the Buddha; that such and such marks reveal the Father to the Buddha; that such and such marks reveal the Chief Queen Consort to the future Buddha; and that such and such marks reveal the Universal Monarch. All those great individuals can be made known by those particular marks.

“As regards those verses, they came into existence through the Brahmās of the Pure Abodes (Suddhāvāsa brahmā). When the future Buddha was known (to them) to go forth as a recluse, they went about the human world well ahead of the time in the guise of Brahmins, armed with Vedic books where they added a chapter on the Buddha. This portion of the composition, called Buddhist Verses *(Buddhamantā)*, they taught to the wise so that those
Vedic verses would serve humanity as guides by which powerful persons were to know the Tathāgata. Thus in the days preceding the passing away of the Buddha the characteristic marks of eight great individuals including the Buddha became known to the world. And after the entering into Buddha’s parinibbāna, those Vedic verses gradually went into extinction, so that in our present times the Book on the Characteristic Marks of the Great Man is nowhere to be found.⁰⁷⁴

Around a thousand years before the appearance of the Buddha in the world the news of the arrival was proclaimed (by the Brahmās) and, as narrated above, Vedic literature on the Buddha came to the knowledge of certain wise brahmins like Pokkharasāti and Brahmāyu; and even they are supposed to know only a portion thereof.

As the aforesaid Vedas were available to humanity during limited periods only, and as they were known only to a handful of wise men, the special attributes pertaining to the Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas and the Noble Disciples were heard of, incompletely, by the elite. When the Buddha’s Teaching has fallen silent on the world, this knowledge relating to the Buddha and the great individuals is denied to the world. That is why, except for the future Buddhas, wise persons performing acts of merit such as giving or keeping the moral precepts, do not have any definite goal such as Paccekabuddhahood, or Chief Discipleship or Great Discipleship. The wisest of them would, under the circumstances, wish for emancipation from the cycle of rebirth that necessitates ageing, disease and death.

Accordingly, outside of the Buddha’s Teaching, no aspirant for Discipleship, would seem likely to shift their goal of specific form of Enlightenment, except for that of Paccekabuddha. Someone who has accustomed himself over countless existences to opt for rebirth-free type of merit (vivāṭṭa kusala) may, at one time or the other outside of the Buddha’s Teaching have seen a Paccekabuddha and, attending on him with extreme dedication (adikāra), wished for such Knowledge as the Paccekabuddha knew. Likewise, while during later existences, belonging to successive aeons, whenever he has opportunity to see a Paccekabuddha, or when the Buddha’s Teaching is prevalent as well, this previous aspiration might have inspired him again to wish for Paccekabuddhahood. In this way his wish for that particular type of Enlightenment would come into maturity and, receiving the prediction in due course, get emancipation as a Paccekabuddha.

Similarly, someone may have seen the nobility of the Buddha’s right-hand Chief Disciple, the Foremost Disciple in Knowledge, or the left-hand Chief
Disciple, the Foremost Disciple in supernormal powers, or some Great Disciple such as Foremost Disciple in the observance of the thirteen austere practices (dhutaṅga), and getting inspired, may have uttered his wish that he too may some day come to possess the Knowledge that those Arahants do. Once such utterance accompanying his devoted attendance on the Noble one) has been made, the wish stands until the time of fulfilment even though not repeated at the later existences. Now, our present question concerns only such aspirants who have expressed their specific wish, accompanied by religious attendance on some inspiring Noble One), but who has not received the prediction yet.

From the foregoing, it may be noted that anyone who, having attended well, simply wishes for nibbāna through Path Knowledge, should be classed as an Ordinary Disciple.

The term “Bodhisatta,” as the Commentary to the Cariyāpiṭaka points out, is applicable only to an aspirant who has received the prediction and therefore is certain of future Enlightenment. Until the prediction has been received, even the aspirant for Buddhahood is not certain about his course, not to speak of lesser aspirants. As the Sotattakī puts it:-

“Just as this (lotus flower), an aspirant to Buddhahood, for all his vast build-up of necessary conditions for Enlightenment as Buddha, cannot be certain as to his future until and unless he receives the prediction.”

Uncertainty also implies that any shifting in the goal by the aspirant is possible.

Now, as to the question whether the perfections already fulfilled for a certain initial goal of Enlightenment of a specific type would count as the necessary condition for the altered goal of another type of Enlightenment so that only supplemental fulfilment would be called for; or whether the aspirant has to start from the very beginning — the views are divergent.

1. According to the Venerable Dhammapāla, author of the Silakkhandhaṭīkā, fulfilment of perfections for one type of Enlightenment, being meant for that goal alone, cannot serve as the necessary condition for another type of Enlightenment.

2. However, the same source mentions a different opinion by other teachers that the merit, being done for the ultimate object of gaining release from samsāra, should be transferable towards an altered goal.

The Subcommentary to the Sāmaññaphala Sutta cites the case of King Ajātasattu the patricide. Had he not committed the grave misdeed he could
have won Path Knowledge at some lower level, but since he was prevented by his heinous act from gaining Enlightenment in that existence, he was after, his period of retribution in hell, to become a Paccekabuddha by the name of Vijitāvī. To quote from the said source:–

(a) “Here, someone might protest: how come? If this king (Ajātasattu) had no impediment to his kamma (kāmantarāyā bhāve) for having killed his father, on hearing the Sāmaññaphala Sutta, he would have won Stream-entry, it is said; if that is the case how and why should he win Enlightenment as a Paccekabuddha in future? Again, if he is supposed to become a Paccekabuddha how could he be fit for Stream-entry on hearing the Sāmaññaphala Sutta? Are not the two statements regarding the sufficing condition for Arahantship conflicting?

(b) “No. That he would, but for his obstructive kamma have won Stream-entry here and now; and that he would in future become a Paccekabuddha, are not contradictory statements. Why? Because in the later existences he is going to build up his store of merit to qualify for Paccekabuddhahood. That is so, for if someone ripe for discipleship does not gain Enlightenment for lack of a certain condition, he may, even outside the Buddha’s Teaching, become a Paccekabuddha. Why? Because he has already applied himself well (katābhinihāra) for that kind of Enlightenment.”

(a) “Other teachers say that this king (Ajātasattu) applied himself (katābhinihāra) to becoming a Paccekabuddha only. That is so. For unless the conduct towards the fulfilment has not matured there is the possibility for him to win Enlightenment as a Disciple in the presence of the Buddha. That is why the Buddha said: “Sacāyaṃ bhikkhave rājā”ti.

(b) “Now, only an ‘assured’ future Buddha is exempt from the consequence of grave misconduct that finds immediate retribution (ānantariya kamma): future Paccekabuddhas and future Disciples are not. That statement is indeed true. In spite of his having received the prediction for Paccekabuddha, Devadatta allowed himself to commit the grievous crimes of causing schism in the Saṅgha and causing bloodshed to the Buddha, thanks to his grudge against the Lord of the World (lokanātha), the Buddha, he had harboured over five great aeons.”

(c) “Therefore, those teachers conclude that this king (Ajātasattu) being barred by his grave crime and therefore rendered incapable of gaining insight into Stream-entry, will, in the righteousness of thing (samattha niyāma magga), gain the Path in the future as a Paccekabuddha. Thus should it be noted.”
According to the subcommentator:–

The Buddha speaks of this king’s possibility for winning Path Knowledge because the king had in previous existences wished for Discipleship only. If he had previously worked for Paccekabuddhahood he would not attain discipleship, however much he had worked for maturity towards Paccekabodhi. Whatever perfections he might have fulfilled towards Ordinary Discipleship would also be rendered inoperative in that existence on account of the impediment on his Kamma, for patricide. All that accumulation of merit would only serve as a remote condition for Enlightenment (upanissaya koṭi) as a Paccekabuddha in the very distant future. That being so, he will shift his aspiration to Paccekabodhi and start from a clean sheet again, and in due course, ripen himself for the prediction and thence forwards, after two incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, attain Paccekabuddhahood. Since he had not previously aspired for Paccekabuddhahood, and the two requisite qualities of Enlightenment, i.e., having made the necessary attendance or service and having willed for it, were still not there yet, the prediction was not to be expected at that time.

According to other teachers:–

Ajātasattu had previously wished for Paccekabodhi and although his fulfilments of the predictions towards that goal were not ripe they should be considered sufficient condition for ordinary Arahantship as a Disciple. If, however the perfections were ripe, then he could not have wished for an Ordinary Discipleship. When we weigh the above two divergent theories we may have to consider this:–

Sumedha, on the day of receiving his prediction said, “If I had my wish, I could today have attained Path Knowledge of Arahantship and Fruition.” Now, a Bodhisatta is not such a type as would say something irrelevant just for boasting, and, as the Commentary notes, if Sumedha were to gain Enlightenment as an Ordinary Disciple, he would do so as a bhikkhu. All these considerations would seem to support the theory of those other teachers mentioned above. However, it is a moot point for the wise to consider.

*End of the Answer to the Eighth Question.*

**Answer to the Ninth Question**

In this question too there is already the implied answer that an aspirant for Paccekabodhi before receiving the prediction, may change his mind for a different type of Enlightenment and he may succeed at that as well.
The moot point as to whether the merit directed towards fulfilment of the perfections for Paccekabodhi would in such a case go towards the sufficiency of condition for Perfect Enlightenment or not, will have to be gauged from what has been discussed under Question Eight above.

There are six future Paccekabuddhas that have been prophesied or assured under Gotama Buddha’s Teaching, namely:–

1. Devadatta
2. King Ajātasattu
3. Sumana the florist
4. The watchman at the fields
5. The hawk owl at Vedisagiri
6. The donor who offered the floral head-band to the Buddha.

There are specifically mentioned in the scriptures.
As to their future:

1. Devadatta will become a Paccekabuddha named Aṭṭhisāra during the hundred-thousandth aeon from our present aeon, vide Milindapañha and Dhammapada Aṭṭhakathā.
2. King Ajātasattu will become a Paccekabuddha named Vijitāvī, vide Sāmaññaaphala Sutta Aṭṭhakathā. The time for his Enlightenment is not mentioned.
3. Sumana the florist will become a Paccekabuddha named Sumanissāra during the hundred-thousandth aeon from our present aeon, vide Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā.
4. The watchman at the fields will become a Paccekabuddha by the name of Ratanakuti, vide Theragāthā; the time for his Enlightenment is not mentioned, but is supposed to be just in our present aeon.
5. The hawk owl will become a Paccekabuddha by the name of Somanassa, during the hundred-thousandth aeon from our present aeon, vide Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā, etc.
6. The donor of the floral head-band will become a Paccekabuddha by the name of Vaṭaṃsaka, vide Nettippakaraṇa. The time of his appearance is not mentioned; presumably it will be during the present aeon.

There is also a story about a certain executioner\(^1\) who worked at the bloody vocation for fifty-five years, but when his death was imminent, he had the fortunate opportunity to feed the Venerable Sāriputta, and went to

\(^1\) Tambadāṭhika: Dhammapada Aṭṭhakathā, on verse 100.
the Tusita deva realm after his death. After that existence he is destined to
become a Paccekabuddha, so says the Sahassavatthu. In other sources, namely,
the Aṅguttaranikāya Aṭṭhakathā, Kammavibhaṅga section of the Aṅguttara-
ikāya Tīkā, however, reference to the same person is made, but only his
rebirth in Tusita is mentioned — not his future Paccekabuddhahood.

In the Mahāmāyā-vatthu, Māra is said to become a Paccekabuddha, “So
pi Bodhisattaṃ thometvā teneva pasādena anāgata pacceka-buddho bhavissati.” As
Buddhist lore has it, contained in such works as Sotatattakī. there are 500
future Buddhas who are not ripe for the prediction, and ten who are ripe for
it. The latter had received their prediction and had met Gotama Buddha;
they are, according to Suṇṇiya anāgatāvaṃsa:—

1. Ajita Thera, son of King Ajātasattu, was in the past a Universal
Monarch by the name of Saṅkha; he received the prediction from
Sirīmanta Buddha; he is the future Metteyya Buddha, the fifth and
last Buddha of our aeon. His life-span will be eighty-thousand years;
his height, 88 cubits; his Bodhi Tree, Gangaw (mesua feerea).
2. King Rāma who lived in Gotama Buddha’s time was in the past a
youth named Nārada who received the prediction from Kassapa
Buddha. He will appear as a Buddha, named Rāma, in the following
aeon which is going to have two Buddhas (maṇḍa-kappa). His life-span
will be ninety-thousand years; his height, 80 cubits; his Bodhi Tree, the
Sandalwood.
3. King Pasenadi of Kosala received the prediction from Koṇāgamana
Buddha as Suddha the young man. He will appear in the same
following aeon (maṇḍa kappa) as Dhammarāja Buddha. His life-span
will be fifty-thousand years; his height, ninety cubits; his Bodhi Tree, Gangaw (mesua ferrea).
4. Abhibhū the king of Devas was in the past a king’s minister called
Bodhi. He received the prediction from Kassapa Buddha. He will arise
as Dhammasāmi Buddha in a future aeon graced by one Buddha (sāra
kappa). His life-span will be one hundred thousand years; his height,
eighty cubits; his Bodhi Tree, Ingyin (pentacme suavis).
5. Dīghasoṇi, King of the Asurā, was in the past a king by the name of
Siriratanā. He won the prediction from Kassapa Buddha, and he will
become Nārada Buddha in the future aeon with two Buddhas. His
life-span will be ten thousand years; his height, 120 cubits; his Bodhi
Tree, the sandalwood.
6. Saṅki the brahmin was a youth named Māgha who received the prediction from Kakusandha Buddha. He will become Rasimuṇi Buddha in the same aeon as Nārada Buddha. His life-span will be five thousand years; his height 60 cubits; his Bodhi Tree, Letpan, the silk-cotton tree (*bombax malabaricum*).

7. Subha the brahmin in some previous life was a white elephant (*Chaddanta Nāga*). He received the prediction from Koṇāgamana Buddha. He will arise as Devātideva Buddha in a future world with two Buddhas. His life-span will be eight thousand years; his height, 80 cubits; his Bodhi Tree, Saga (Sanga) (*micheli champaca*).

8. Todeyya the brahmin was in the past Nanda the young man who received the prediction from a Paccekabuddha. He will become a Buddha by the name of Narasīha in the same aeon as Devāti-deva Buddha (Subha the brahmin above). His life-span will be 80 thousand years; his height 60 cubits; his Bodhi Tree, Thakhut (Thakut) (*dolichandron rheeditii*).

9. Nāḷāgiri the noble elephant known as Dhanapala was Prince Dhammasena in a former existence. He received the prediction from Koṇāgamana Buddha, and will become Tissa Buddha in a future aeon where two Buddhas will be born. His life-span will be eighty-thousand years; his height eighty cubits, his Bodhi Tree the banyan (*ficus bengalensis*).

10. Palale, king of elephants, at one time was a Universal Monarch called Mahāpanāda. He received the prediction from Kakusandha Buddha and will arise as Sumaṅgala Buddha in the same aeon as Tissa Buddha (Nāḷāgiri the elephant above). His life-span will be a hundred thousand years; his height, 80 cubits; his Bodhi Tree, Gangaw (*mesua ferrea*).

Of those ten (the fourth one) Abhibhū is just another name of Māra — although a certain couplet in Burmese would seem to count Māra in addition to Abhibhū. Māra, however, is a future Paccekabuddha only, *vide* Mahāmāyāvatthu. The term ‘Abhibhū’ carries its own significance (and not merely one of the attributes of Māra) which is why the Anāgatavaṃsa and Sotattakī, etc., list him under that particular name.

Of those ten who are already assured of Buddhahood they are listed in order of time of their appearance in future, as it has been said:

“Dasuttarā pañcasatā Bodhisatta samūhato
dassa-anukkamāyeva pañcasatā nanukkamā.”
Obviously, the five hundred not yet in receipt of the prediction cannot be so listed. So also the details about them such as time of appearance, Buddha-name, etc., cannot be specifically foretold yet.

It may be mentioned that elaborate details about the future Metteyya Buddha, *i.e.*, the first of the ten listed above, Ajita Thera, are given in the Anāgatavāṃsa-gāthā Āṭṭhakathā entitled Samantabhaddikā.

*End of the Answer to the Ninth Question.*

**Answer to the Tenth Question**

This question also includes its answer that it is possible for an aspirant for Buddhahood to change his goal as to the type of Enlightenment. A *pañhāpādottara* type of question means “the question itself is the answer.”

A shift in the aspirant’s goal is possible only before one receives the prediction; this applies to future Buddhas, future Paccekabuddhas, future Chief Disciples and future Great Disciples. This is already indicated in the words, “if allowable” (*yadi labheyya*). In the question, “*uṇṇakāle*” means when he is ripe for the prediction, and “*Uṇṇa kāle*” means before maturity.

That indeed is so. Because the great resolution for Perfect Enlightenment (*paṇidhāna* or *mahābhinīhāra*) comes only to those who have fulfilled the perfections in such great magnitude as to be able to make such a singularly bold venture. It is not the business of lesser souls. It is not the kind of resolve that even aspirants for Paccekabodhi or Chief Discipleship or Great Discipleship or Ordinary Discipleship could dare to make, let alone the ordinary run of mankind who has never started fulfilling any perfection.

A future Buddha’s innate qualities are in a class by themselves even during the pre-assurance period. That is why the very commitment to wish for Perfect Enlightenment is a proposition that is unthinkable to aspirants of lesser Enlightenment such as future Paccekabuddhas, *etc.* The commentaries explain the eight factors that constitute the great resolve (*mahābhinīhāra*), more particularly on root-condition (*hetu*), service or attendance (*adhikāra*), and will (*chandatā*).⁰⁷⁷

N.B. Explanations similar to Ref.⁰⁷⁸ may also be found in the Jātaka Aṭṭhakathā, Buddhavaṃsa Aṭṭhakathā, Apadāna Aṭṭhakathā, and Introduction to the Jātattakī.

Those references point out that the crucial test to gauge ripeness for making the Great Resolve is whether the aspirant is mature enough for Arahantship by that time.
A Possible Question

**QUESTION:** This test by itself is not singular to the future Buddha: for had not Sarada the recluse, future Sāriputta, Chief Disciple, and Nanda the recluse, future Subhūti, one of the Great Disciples, been endowed with similar qualities (i.e., fitness for Arahantship at the time of making the resolve)?

**ANSWER:** No. That is not the only criteria for fitness or root-condition (hetu); there are many other conditions for Buddhahood that are quite out of the province of lesser aspirants, as pointed out in the Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā:⁰⁷⁹

(a) “Thus there are certain features (liṅgaṃ) that represent the ripeness of necessary conditions (upanissaya) for receiving the prediction with which a future Buddha is replete. This superb endowment is the result of the Bodhisatta’s superior faculties, superior practice (paṭipatti), superior sense of judgment (kosalla) that are exceptionally greater, deeper, and unmatched by those of any aspirant for Discipleship or Paccekabodhi. These various superb features are quite evident.

(b) “These features of the sufficiency of necessary conditions are quite manifest. In this world, the Great Man endowed with these sufficing conditions has such purity of faculties (visadindriyo), purity of intelligence (visadañāṇo) as no future Paccekabuddha or future Disciple could ever possess. The future Buddha strives for the welfare of others, i.e., all beings, and never for his own.”

(c) “That indeed is true. The Great Man enters upon the path of Buddhahood for the sake of the multitudes, for the happiness of the multitudes, out of compassion for the world (lokānukampāya), for the real profit (atthāya, i.e., Path Knowledge) for the happiness (sukhāya, i.e., Fruition Knowledge) of all men and gods, and for the total emancipation (nibbāna) — the like of which endeavour no future Paccekabuddha or future Disciple could ever attempt.”

(d) “In thus toiling for the welfare of the world, the Bodhisatta is possessed of promptitude under all circumstances (ṭhānuppattipaṭibhānena) as well as skill in telling the truth from the false (ṭhānāṭhānakusalatāya), a twofold feature of his innate proficiency (kosallānī).”

In the Jātaka Aṭṭhakathā we have:–

(a) “He is possessed of mental qualities such as absorption (jhāna), higher spiritual powers (abhiññā), and attainments (samāpatti), yet he has sacrificed his life to the Buddha. To such a one who has dedicated (adhikāra) to the task of obtaining Buddhahood, his wish is bound to be fulfilled. No other person
could dream of similar success. In addition to such extreme dedication he has to have a great will or desire for Buddhahood, great energy and exertion, and an unflagging quest for Enlightenment that together contribute to the sum total of the perfections necessary for Buddhahood, if he is to realise his wish. No other person could expect similar success.

(b) As regards his great will, it may be illustrated thus:

1. “If, for the sake of Buddhahood, he should be required to swim across the entire world-system (cakkavāla) that is one million, two hundred and three thousand, four hundred and fifty leagues in length and breadth, he would have done it readily. It is such a strong will that carries him to Buddhahood.

2. “Again, if he should be required to traverse an uninterrupted bamboo grove over the surface of the world-system ... he would have done it readily. It is such a strong will that carries him to Buddhahood.

3. “Again, if he should be required to traverse the world-system ... covered with spikes firmly standing thickly all over, he would have done it readily. It is such a strong will that carries him to Buddhahood.

4. “Again, if he should be required to traverse the world-system ... covered with live coals laid out all over, he would have done it readily. It is such a strong will that carries him to Buddhahood.

5. “Supposing those were the requisite conditions, and one is prepared to meet them, not flinching in any of the four, never considering them as really hard, determined to cross over the world against those obstacles then one is said to have a great will. Added to it, one is possessed of great energy and exertion, and an untiring quest for Enlightenment: then one’s wishes come to be fulfilled. No other person can expect such success.⁰⁸⁰

From the above explanation, there should be no doubt that the great resolve for Buddhahood comes to only a great man who has already stored up enormous merit befitting his aspiration, which lies beyond the range of aspirants for Pacceka-bodhi or for Discipleship. Hence it should be noted that even during the pre-assurance period there are actually two stages — the time when the aspirant is preparing himself to make the commitment by expressing his great wish (mahābhinīhāra); and thereafter.

It may be mentioned here that as the wise ones of yore said,⁰⁸¹ “There are questioners who do not distinguish between what is to be doubted and what is not. For those who have any shred of reasonable doubt, any sort of odd question might occur in their mind.” So these questions have been framed
with such sceptics in mind. Therefore they apply also to future Buddhas, etc., who have already received the prediction.

That is why the author of these questions has in the concluding remarks noted thus: \textit{Mayaṃ codakānama ekadesapekkhakā nasakala pekkha kā, etc.}

A future Buddha, once having received the prediction, will never dream of shifting his goal to lesser types of Enlightenment. In this regard one may refer to such statements as contained in Kathāvatthupakaraṇa-anuṭīkā.⁰⁸

Before the receipt of the prediction no firmness of an aspirant’s course is mentioned in any of the scriptures: instability is what is specifically mentioned about it. So an aspirant for Buddhahood, not confirmed by the prediction yet, may change his goal to a lesser one; and if he do so he stands a good chance of success at that.

In the Sotattaki⁰⁸ and other Buddhist literature it is said:–

“Just like this lotus flower, an aspirant to Buddhahood, for all his vast accumulation of necessary conditions for Enlightenment as a Buddha, cannot be certain as to his future until and unless he receives the prediction.

Other aspirants for various classes of Enlightenment, like Devadatta, may have considerable accumulation of necessary conditions for Enlightenment;¹ yet if, later on, they become full of misdeeds, they fall away from their original aspiration.”

An aspirant to Buddhahood may, at any time before receiving the prediction, fall away (parihāyanti) from his original aspiration; and the same is true of lesser aspirants. Therefore there are certain instances where the aspiration for Buddhahood later end up with Enlightenment as one of the four lesser classes. Many an aspirant to Buddhahood, owing to a long succession of existences marked by misdeeds, because of association with evil friends (pāpamitta-samsagga) or some other causes, has thus rotted away instead of ripening into the prediction stage, and they remain as blind foolish worldlings, as hopeless for growth as a tree-stump. So, it may be noted that the word “fall away” applies equally to other lesser classes of aspirants for Enlightenment. Here Devadatta is cited only as an example of one overwhelmed by misdeed, and not one who has fallen away from the original aspiration: he will certainly become a Pacceka-buddha a hundred-thousand great aeons hence.

The falling away of an aspirant to Buddhahood from his original aspiration, it is to be assumed, is possible only if he has not served well to

¹ In this stanza the expression “\textit{Sambharāpi yathābahu}” should be interpreted along the grammatical principle for the interpretation of the expression “\textit{Tivaggo yassa saṅgaho}.” Some texts read “\textit{Saṃbharāpi katā bahu}” in which case the meaning should be altered suitable.
qualify himself for making his great aspiration, and that, once the sufficing conditions for expressing his wish for Buddhahood is already at hand, no falling away is possible. This is the logical assumption, the justification for which may still be moot.

As to whether the perfections fulfilled with a view to Perfect Enlightenment would be a credit for some other form of Enlightenment, after the shift in goal has taken place, the question has already been discussed above, quoting the Silakkhandha-ṭīkā (including other teacher’s views).

We need to add just a little here:–

The pre-prediction stage for Buddhahood, as Sotattakī and other Buddhist writings claim, lasts for sixteen incalculable aeons during which an aspirant fulfils the perfections; this period is to be considered as the period of uncertainty (aniyata kāla).

According to certain other teachers who assume that merit directed towards a certain type of Enlightenment is transferable towards another type to which the aspirant later shifts his aim, their assertions are as follows:–

1. One who has originally acquired merit dedicated to Buddhahood may, after some one thousand great aeons or so, may, on meeting a Buddha, win Enlightenment as an ordinary disciple;
2. Such a one, after some one hundred thousand great aeons or so, on meeting a Buddha, may win Enlightenment as a Great Disciple;
3. Such a one, after one incalculable and one hundred thousand great aeons, under the same circumstance, may win Enlightenment as a Chief Disciple;
4. Such a one, after two incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons, when the Buddha’s Teaching has become extinct, may win Enlightenment as a Paccekabuddha.

Should those assertions be true, then it would necessarily imply that Paccekabuddhas, Chief Disciples and Great Disciples can attain Enlightenment without having first received the prediction. In the case, the factors required for receiving the prediction, and the requisite period for fulfilling the perfections after the prediction would become random factors (aniyama) instead of being certainties (ekanta niyama) — as is generally accepted. However, these things are not to be considered as random factors, and more particularly the question of prediction should not be treated lightly.

If that be so, all classes of aspirants, after receiving the prediction, would fulfil the perfections precisely over the respective periods and attain their
respective goals, in which case the merit accumulated towards the original aspiration cannot be transferable to the altered goal, as asserted by those other teachers.

The author’s considered opinion is as follows:–

That an aspirant to Buddhahood may, if he so wishes, attain Arahantship as the four lower classes of Enlightened persons after intervals of one thousand great aeons, or one hundred thousand great aeons of one incalculable and one hundred thousand great aeons, or two incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons, or the case may be, are indicated by the expressions, “Cannot be certain as to his future” (aniyama), and “Falls away from (parihāyanti) the original aspiration.”

At the time of receiving the prediction an future Buddha may, if he chooses, win Arahantship as an ordinary disciple, as expressly mentioned in the text (Icchamāno ahaajja kileseghātāyā-mahaṃ) and the various commentaries thereon.

This is also stated in the Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā⁰⁸⁴ and the Silakkhandha-vagga-ṭīkā:–

(a) “Of those three types of future Buddhas, the first type, called “A quick learner” (ugghatitaññū) who, after hearing just two lines of a four line stanza uttered by the Buddha,¹ becomes disposed towards Discipleship, and is able, by virtue of his innate qualities constituting the sufficing condition, to attain Arahantship, together with the four discriminations (paṭisambhidā) and the six higher spiritual powers (chaḷhabhiññāhi).

N.B: In the second and third stanzas above, the original authors have omitted the words, “Together with the discriminations” (sahapaṭisambhidāhi); however all three stanzas are clearly meant to be uniform as to this expression about the way Arahantship is gained.

Cf. the Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā ⁰⁸⁵ in which it is stated: “Having heard a stanza of four lines he is able to analyse and discern the meaning.

A Possible Question

QUESTION: In case an aspirant to Buddhahood changes his objective to a lower class of Enlightenment, in which class does he stand?

ANSWER: From the expression, “Yadi sāvakabodhiyaṃ adhimutto siyā,” the possibility of becoming a Paccekabuddha is ruled out. Besides, as the text says: “Kiṃ me aññātavasena dhammaṃ sacchikate nidha” which the

¹ Sammāsambuddhassa sammukhā: Lit., “In the presence of a Perfectly Enlightened One.”
commentator explains: “Sacāhaṃ icceyyaṃ ...” Chief Discipleship and Great Discipleship would seem to be excluded too.

From the references quoted above it would seem to point to a mere Ordinary Disciple who is a fresh member of the Saṅghā and an obscure one. However, this is not the case. The reason is that an aspirant to Buddhahood has already fulfilled the perfections over sixteen incalculable aeons, by far exceeding even a Paccekabuddha’s period of ripening, let alone those of Chief Disciples and Great Disciples.

The greatness of a future Buddha is expressed by the commentator on Mora Jātaka, Pakiṇṇaka Nipāta.

As to the above-quoted passage: Yadi sāvakabodhi adhimutto siyā: the term Sāvakabodhi is used in the sense of getting Enlightenment after hearing the Buddha’s teaching, and does not carry the sense of ordinariness; and the expression Sanghanavako hutvā: should mean just a newcomer to the Order of bhikkhus, which does not necessarily mean a bhikkhu of insignificance.

As for the term, Aññātavesena: the Subcommentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta has explained that at the time of receiving the prediction, Sumedha, the future Gotama Buddha, was an obscure person (aññātā) as compared to Dīpaṅkara Buddha only. If he were to gain Enlightenment there and then, he would be called obscure because he did not discover the Truth himself but got it from another Buddha. On the strength of his perfections thus far built up, he was supreme among all beings except that his supremacy could not of course stand out in the presence of Dīpaṅkara Buddha, just like the radiance of the moon is outshone by that of the sun.

It was precisely because the future Gotama Buddha could not settle for such an obscure role that he made this determination:–

“Having seen one’s own potentialities as a man of such promise, why should I seek my own personal safety by crossing over this ocean of saṃsāra alone? I will attain Buddhahood myself and, having so attained, rescue the multitude of gods and men.”

On the above-quoted authorities we may note that an aspirant to Buddhahood may, from a hundred or a thousand great aeons of his fulfilling the perfections originally directed towards Buddhahood, until such time as he is ripe to receive the assurance, which may extend up to sixteen incalculable aeons, shift his goal and opt for any of the four lesser classes of Enlightenment, at whichever stage of maturity he may find himself. Although that is theoretically true, in actual state of things no aspirant to Buddhahood

1 N.B. As to the paraphrasing of this stanza, refer to the grammatical rules in Maṇidīpa.
would change his mind after he has already acquired the necessary merit that is expected of a Paccekabuddha, which is two incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons.

Once the maturity period gets beyond what is required of a Paccekabuddha, i.e., after three or four incalculable aeons have elapsed, no aspirant to Buddhahood would or could possible change his goal, his cumulative merit having become so enormous. So we should understand that the possibility of an aspirant to Buddhahood gaining Enlightenment as a Disciple is hypothetically shown by way of one of the factors of maturity for receiving the prediction only; but there is no likelihood of such an eventuality. As a matter of fact, there is no room for such shifting of goal in the mind of an aspirant to Buddhahood at that stage.

Around two to three incalculable aeons, if an aspirant to Buddhahood were to attain Enlightenment, since he had not originally wished for any class of Discipleship and therefore not officially called a Chief Disciple, or a Great Disciple with foremost status in powers or in knowledge, his first aim being Buddhahood only, he would stand on the same footing as a Chief Disciple, or a Great Disciple or an Ordinary Disciple according to the amount of acquired merit; and if his service at fulfilling the perfections has reached that of Paccekabodhi, he could become a Paccekabuddha if he so desires. In none of those cases would there be any need for him to pray afresh for the new goal or to wait for the prediction. This is one assumption.

If an aspirant to Buddhahood were to shift his goal to one of the lesser Enlightenments, the perfections he has already fulfilled towards Buddhahood serve him in good stead to receive the prediction, and what those other teachers say about the specific periods for each particular type of Enlightenment such as Chief Discipleship, Great Discipleship and Paccekabodhi indicates the necessity of receiving the prediction. This is another assumption.

Considering the emancipation-oriented merit (vivaṭṭanissita kusala) already amassed since the innumerable past existences, an aspirant to Buddhahood, after receiving the prediction of Enlightenment as a Paccekabuddha or as a Disciple, according as he might later wish for, the time for further fulfilment of the perfections would seem quite flexible. It might be that after only a hundred great aeons, he may win Chief Discipleship; or after one hundred thousand great aeons only he may become a Great Disciple, or after one incalculable only, he may become a Paccekabuddha. This is another assumption.
The five factors for Paccekabuddhas and two factors for the Disciples signify the rule about making aspiration and not about receiving the prediction. That being so, as regards the Discipleship the two factors are just the doing of the service (adhikāro) and the will (chandatā) and does not include any giver of the prediction. As regards Paccekabuddhas as well, the term “Vigatāsava-dassanaṃ” means an Arahant, who may range from a Buddha to an Ordinary Disciple. Hence in the case of an Ordinary Disciple who may happen to give the prediction to an aspirant to Buddhahood as to his altered goal of attaining Paccekabodhi, how could he prophesy two incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons ahead (the usual period of perfection for Paccekabodhi)? Therefore it is difficult to say for certain whether for Paccekabuddhas, Chief Disciples, and Great Disciples, receiving the prediction is an essential factor or not. This is another assumption.

Thus we have on balance a diversity of opinions expressed by various other teachers regarding the prediction for those four classes of lesser Enlightenment and regarding the fixed periods for fulfilling the perfections and their flexibility when these matters relate to original aspirant to Buddhahood. Since we have no specific authority on these matters in the scriptures we do not stand on firm ground here.

Our discussion above is based on the theory, held by Sotattakī and similar Buddhist writings, that an aspirant to Buddhahood must spend sixteen incalculable aeons before receiving the prediction — during which mental wishing alone lasts for 7 incalculable aeons, followed by expressed wishing for 9 incalculable aeons — on which various schools of thought have given diverse views. There are other sources such as the Anāgatavaṃsa Aṭṭhakathā, etc., whose views may also be taken into account.

The authority of Sotattakī is disputed by some in respect of the sixteen incalculable aeons rule, i.e., seven under mental wishing and nine under verbal. They argue that this rule is not found in the Pāḷi texts nor in the commentaries and subcommentaries thereon. Granted that certain sources, while not on record as texts recited at the various Buddhist synods (saṅgāyana), have been accepted as the Buddha’s teaching and that some (e.g. the Milindapañha) come to be quoted by commentators in suitable contexts with the force of scriptural authority. No such reference is found elsewhere on this subject, hence this work (Sotattakī) is open to scepticism.

To elaborate on the above argument:–

The above claim of sixteen incalculable aeons rule is not to be found, even obliquely, in any of the following scriptures:–
1. The Tipiṭaka as recorded by successive Buddhist synods;
2. Commentaries by the Venerable Buddhaghosa, namely:
   2.1 Sumangalavilāsinī, Commentary on Dīghanikāya (9 books);
   2.2 Papañcasudanī, Commentary on Majjhimanikāya (3 books);
   2.3 Sāratthappakāsinī, Commentary on Samyuttanikāya (5 books);
   2.4 Manorathapūranī, Commentary on Aṅguttaranikāya (11 books);
   2.5 Samantapāsādikā, Commentary on the Vinaya (5 books);
   2.6 Aṭṭhasālinī, Commentaries on the Abhidhamma (7 books);
   2.7 Sammohavinodanī, Commentaries on the Abhidhamma (7 books);
   2.8 Pañcapakaraṇa, Commentaries on the Abhidhamma (7 books);
   2.9 Paramatthajotikā, Commentary on the Suttanipāta;
   2.10 Commentary on the Dhammapada;
   2.11 Commentary on the Jātaka.
3. Commentary by the Venerable Buddhadatta, entitled Madhuratthavilāsinī, on the Buddhavaṃsa;
4. Commentary by the Venerable Mahābhīdhāna, entitled Saddhammappakāsinī, on the Paṭisambhidāmagga;
5. Commentary by the Venerable Upasena, entitled Saddhammappajjotikā, on the Cūḷaniddesa and Mahāniddesa;
6. Commentary by an obscure author, entitled Visuddhajanavilāsinī, on the Thera Apadāna;
7. Commentary by an obscure author, entitled Paramatthajotikā, on the Khuddakapāṭha.

In the concluding part of this last mentioned work two authorships are claimed: that of Venerable Buddhaghosa and that of Venerable Dhammapāla. The composition, exposition and style of the work, however, betray the falsity of both the authorships.

On the subject of the sufficiency of condition for an aspirant to Buddhahood for committing oneself by express wish for Buddhahood as the primary step towards receiving the prediction, we have eight sources, namely Commentaries by Venerable Dhammapāla on the Khuddakaniṅkāya:

1. Paramatthadīpanī, the Udāna Aṭṭhakathā;
2. Itivuttaka Aṭṭhakathā;
3. Vimānavatthu Aṭṭhakathā;
4. Petavatthu Aṭṭhakathā;
5. Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā;
6. Therīgāthā Aṭṭhakathā;
7. Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā;

Of those eight works, the Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā says:–

“In that connection, what follows is the attainment of the sufficing conditions for the Great Man, the aspirant to Buddhahood. In as much as he has an unfailing inclination towards Perfect Enlightenment, he has an equally unfailing conduct directed towards the welfare of all beings; and it is on account of this high conduct that this Great Man has, at the feet of many an earlier Buddha (i.e., previous to Dīpaṅkara Buddha, such as Brahmadeva Buddha, etc.), made his wish, mentally as well verbally: “May I, like this Buddha, become a Perfectly Enlightened One and bring forth the welfare of all beings thoroughly.”

The three classes of Perfections (pāramī) are described thus:–

“Beginning from the making of the first mental wish to the last, the tenfold meritorious acts beginning from giving that he practises are called acts of ordinary perfection (pāramī); then from the first express wishing for Buddhahood to the last, the merit he seeks towards perfection are called acts of minor perfection (upapāramī); later, from the first physical acts of merit to the last directed towards the same goal, are called acts of absolute perfection (paramattha pāramī). Thus the other teachers say.” ⁰⁹⁰

The Sīlakkhandha-ṭīkā also defines the perfections in the same way.

In the Apadāna however, only the mental wishing is mentioned. ⁰⁹¹

In the Manisāramañjūsā, the mental, verbal, and physical acts of expressing the determination (paṇidhāna) are mentioned in succession. ⁰⁹²

On the strength of those scriptural references, the question of the three successive stages of committing oneself — mentally, verbally, and physically towards the fulfilment of the perfections — should remain settled. Then, in view of the specified intervals of four, eight, or sixteen incalculable aeons in respect of the third phase of physical expressions having been the established rule, it would seem just logical to accept that similar time-spans should also govern as a rule in respect of the preceding two phases of mental and verbal expressions. Yet the scriptures are silent on this point. No instances can be quoted to testify to the theory. In such a situation one has to look for some work that mentions it, and if such a work be at hand (here, the Sotattakī), it may be accepted without question, after weighing the general credibility of the work itself. Even if one reserves one’s judgement on the matter, there is
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no point in rejecting it out of hand or running it down; a neutral attitude is called for in such cases. This is one way of looking at it.

The three successive phases are accepted by two literary giants in the field of Buddhist commentarial literature, the Venerable Dhammapāla and the Venerable Ariyavaṃsa. This is another way of looking at it. The former has to his credit nine commentaries (the eight mentioned earlier and Saccasaṅkhepa Aṭṭhakathā) and twenty-one Subcommentaries (ṭīkā), namely: on the above mentioned eight Aṭṭhakathā and the three volume set of Dīghanikāya, on the three volume set of Majhimanikāya, on the five volume set of Saṃyuttanikāya, on Aṅguttaranikāya (Ones), on the seven volume set of Abhidhamma (listed under the Khuddakanikāya), on the Nettippakaraṇa and on the Visuddhimagga; an adept in the Tipiṭaka, a teacher of no mean repute, a most respected authority on religion, i.e., a convincingly erudite scholar on the value of one’s own opinions stand as well as on the perverseness of different sectarian views, comparable in intellectual might to Ānanda the fabulous giant fish of the great oceans, said to have a length of one thousand leagues that alone can, by his frolicking, cause great waves in all the four great oceans simultaneously. The latter, the Venerable Ariyavaṃsa, was the well-known author of the Manisāramañjūsā, Maṇidīpa, Anuṭīkā Mahānissaya, Jātakavisodhanī, and Ganthābharaṇa.

N.B. The Venerable Dhammapāla has also been credited with the authorship of Pāṭha Jātaka-ṭīkā; however the treatment, the style, etc., certainly prove otherwise.

On those considerations, it would seem unwarranted to throw overboard those literary works in question.

There must be reasons why the Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā and such scriptural authorities speak only of the three phases of the aspirant to Buddhahood’s commitment and not the durations therefore, and we should try to find them out. One of them is that the apportionments of time does not agree with the Apadāna which says: “Manasāyeva hutvāna dhammarājā asaṅkhayā.” From that we note that under an infinite number of Buddhas the Bodhisatta made his wish for Buddhahood mentally only. Now, in Sotattakī and such works the mental wishing period of seven incalculable aeons is said to have elapsed during which one hundred and twenty-five Buddhas appeared. If, according to Apadāna this mental wishing period be so long as to take an infinite number of Buddhas to appear, then that interval obviously must have been far greater than that. In Yasodharā Therī Apadāna, the aspirant, the future Yasodharā, is said to have prayed for Enlightenment as a Great Disciple, in the capacity of the wife of the future Buddha, under
two million million twelve thousand, one hundred and seventy-nine Buddhas, such as Brahmadeva Buddha, Porāṇa Gotama Buddha, etc. That figure varies greatly with what is mentioned in Sotattakī and such works, and it would be ridiculous to assume that the future Yasodharā began wishing for Discipleship even before there ever was a future Gotama Buddha. This is the incongruous.

In the Apadāna the period of praying mentally is reckoned from the time the non-rebirth-producing merit is started by the Bodhisatta whereas Sotattakī and such writings take into account only those actions of the Bodhisatta that express the determination to become a Buddha, so that seven incalculable aeons put by them for the mental-praying period is a mere fraction of what actually had been the case, and that is probably the reason why most commentators do not mention that period.

Similar lines of thinking are open too.

The Sotattakī, in its concluding remarks, quotes the stanza, “Imañca ...” as part of the Buddha’s own words. It also says that the whole story of the Bodhisatta narrated therein has been expanded upon by the famous teacher Buddhaghosa Thera. Probably it is only a namesake of the great commentator.

The foregoing discussion is based on the theory of the sixteen incalculable aeons pre-prediction period maintained by the Sotattakī.

There are other ways of reckoning that may be examined, such as a pre-prediction period of one hundred thousand great aeons plus 1,600 existences, a twenty-four incalculable aeons period, a measureless period, etc., and just as an aspirant to Buddhahood may later change his objective so also an aspirant for Paccekabodhi, or Chief Discipleship or Great Discipleship may change for a lesser class of Enlightenment, and if the wish arises before maturity for prediction, the altered wish is realisable too. It has been said by some that the Venerable Sāriputta at one time had wished for Buddhahood.

However, there is no hint of this in any of the texts: Sāriputta Theragāthā and its commentary, the Paramatthadīpanī; the Sāriputta Apadāna and its commentary, the Visuddhajanavilāsinī; the Aṅguttaranikāya and its commentary the Manorathapūraṇī and its Subcommentary; and other commentarial literature, never mention anything about this.

The following extracts may be compared:–

In the commentary, the future Sāriputta, as Sarada the youth, on the eve of his retirement into the forest, pondered thus: “Ah, death is a certainty for all these beings. So will I seek the way of escape from death by taking up some form of homeless life.”
This kind of reflection is typical of aspirants for Paccekabodhi and Discipleship, and not of a future Buddha. Therefore the future Sāriputta must have, before receiving the prediction, set his mind on Paccekabodhi or some form of Discipleship.

According to the author of Silakkhandha-ṭīkā, the future Sāriputta started his search for Enlightenment by aspiring to an Ordinary Discipleship. This is because he was, like Nanda the recluse, the future Subhūti Thera, already ripe for Arhatship as an Ordinary Disciple at the time of receiving the prediction for Chief Discipleship.

As the commentary puts it:

“Sarada the recluse, being inspired by the sight of Nisabha Thera, thought to himself, “Oh, how I would like to become a Chief Disciple like this venerable under some Buddha!” It was due to this preoccupation with a different goal that he missed his chance of winning the Path on that occasion when Anomadassī Buddha taught.”⁰⁹⁵

The above passage implies that had the future Sāriputta as Sarada not been obsessed with the desire for Chief Discipleship, he would have gained the Path and its Fruition as an ordinary disciple there and then.

Incidentally, the name of the future Sāriputta is Sarada according to the Commentaries. In the Sāriputta Apadāna, he is mentioned by the name of Suruci:

1 “Pañcābhīñābalappatto Suruci nāma tāpaso.”

The name of the future Moggallāna, likewise, is also at variance in different sources; while the Commentary refers to him as Sirivaṭṭhana the householder, Moggallāna Thera Apadāna² describes him as Varuṇa, king of serpents, whose home was in the great oceans, and who greeted Anomadassī Buddha with music on the Buddha’s visit to Himavanta, where he received the prediction for future Chief Discipleship.⁰⁹⁶

In this connection, the commentary says that Sirivaṭṭhana the householder, on his death, went to the deva realm; and does not say that he was reborn as a serpent.

Again, with regard to the future Subhūti Thera’s name there is a discrepancy: in the commentary he is referred to as Nanda the recluse, head of forty-four thousand followers, whereas in Subhūti Thera Apadāna, he is said to be a recluse named Kosiya.⁰⁹⁷

Thus we have a few cases of discrepancies occurring in the personal identities and facts between Apadāna and the commentaries. Leave alone the discrepancies between different commentaries, there are a few of them

even in one and the same work: for instance, in the Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā, in the story of the Venerable Moggallāna, he is referred to as Sirivaḍḍhana the householder when he received the prediction, and after the full events of his life have been told, the account concludes, quoting the text from Moggallāna Thera Apadāna (*tena vuttaṃ apadāne*), describing him as Varuṇa the king of serpents who received the prediction as a Chief Disciple.

The same mix-up is to be found in Thera Apadāna Aṭṭhakathā too. At the beginning it is as Sirivaḍḍhana the householder, as in the commentary on the Aṅguttaranikāya, who receives the prediction; and then it closes as the Pāḷi text reads (*i.e.*, as the serpent king).

The contents of Thera Apadāna and Theragāthā are not exactly the same it may be noted. The former contains 550 elders whereas the latter has only 266, out of which 235 are also found in the former and the remaining 31 are not; and of the 235 that are included in the Apadāna, the opening stanzas relating to three, namely, Kimila Thera, Vaḍḍha Thera, and Mahānāga Thera, although quoted by the commentator, are not traceable in the Apadāna Pāḷi texts extant now.

Similar disparity is also found between the Therī Apadāna and Therīgāthā: the former contains 40 elder nuns while the latter has 73, out of which 35 are also found in the former. Of them, the opening stanzas in respect of two, namely that of Puttā Therī and Rohiṇī Therī, although quoted by the commentator, are not found in the Therī Apadāna texts extant today. The opening stanzas relating to Uppalavaṇṇa Therī as quoted by the commentator, are also different from those as read in Uppalavaṇṇa Therī Apadāna texts extant now.

These are some of the obvious discrepancies pointed out for the benefit of scholars. One should not, on account of those discrepancies, lose faith in either of the two texts, *i.e.*, Apadāna and Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā. Rather, the reasons for such discrepancies should be probed into.

*End of the Answer to the Tenth Question*

**Answer to the Eleventh Question**

The ten basic kinds of perfections in three grades: as merit amounting to ordinary perfection (*pārami*), minor perfection (*upapārami*), and absolute perfection (*paramattha pārami*) — thus constituting thirty kinds of perfection — are the province of Buddhas only to whom they belong invariably (*nippariyāya*). Paccekabuddhas and Disciples do not need all of them.

That indeed is so. The All-knowing Wisdom (*sabbaññutā*), the Ten Powers of Knowledge (*dasabala-ñāṇa*), *etc.*, that embody a Perfectly Enlightened One,
endowed with incomparable enlightenment (anuttara-sammāsambodhi), are conditioned sufficiently (upanissaya) by the thirty immutable perfections only. As for PaccekaBuddhas and Disciples the basic ten perfections, properly fulfilled, entitle them to their respective type of Enlightenment.

This is explained in Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā thus:–

(a) Indeed this is true. Just as with the future Buddhas, so also with future PaccekaBuddhas and future Disciples, the merit performed to perfection such as giving, etc., gradually contributes to a strengthening (paribrūhita) of perfection in wisdom matures into a substantial accumulation (gabbhaṃ) that culminates in the Enlightenment of PaccekaBodhi or Discipleship, as the case may be.

(b) Let me elaborate: Those aspirants to Enlightenment, through continued pursuit of giving at countless previous existences, acquired an inclination to non-greed (alobhijjhāsaya) so that they have no attachment (asaṅgamāmasā) or longing (anapekkhacittā) for anything, i.e., any living thing or any circumstances in life (sabbatthā-sattasaṅkhāra). Through continued pursuit of moral conduct, they acquired the proper control of the senses so that they have purity of physical and verbal actions, purity of livelihood, and in the six faculties the perceptive doors are well-guarded. Further, they know the proper limit in food, are wakeful and alert, and they strive well towards their goal. Their practice of vigilance is explained by their resort to seclusion.

(c) To those aspirants who observe the Noble Practice, they become well-equipped with the necessary service, with the result that they attain without difficulty, to the eight attainments, the five higher spiritual powers, the six higher spiritual powers, which are the bases of insight, and thence there comes to hand to insight that precedes Path Knowledge. The eight other perfections such as energy (vīriya) easily fall into line with the two crucial perfections of giving (dāna) and morality (sīla).

(d) Now, as to how crucial the two perfections are:– In making the effort at giving and other meritorious actions for the sake of Enlightenment as a PaccekaBuddha or as a Disciple, the aspirant is practising the merit of energy to perfection (vīriya pārami). The endurance he exercises in the pursuit of that merit is the practice of patience to perfection (khantī pārami). The observance of giving, virtue and other wholesome practices sincerely without deceit or pretence (samādānāvisaññvādanaṃ) is the practice of truthfulness to perfection (sacca pārami). The unflinching steadiness with which he maintains under all circumstances is the practice of resolve to
perfection (adhiṭṭhāna pāramī). The desire for the welfare of others beginning with recipients of his giving is the practice of loving-kindness to perfection (mettā pāramī). Disregarding others’ faults is the practice of equanimity to perfection (upekkhā pāramī). In this way, where giving, virtue and development of insight (bhāvanā) is accomplished, or where virtue (sīla), concentration (samādhi) and wisdom (paññā) are in good store, other perfections such as the perfection of energy (viriya pāramī), become automatically accomplished.⁹⁹⁹

**A Possible Question**

**QUESTION:** According to the commentary above, aspirants to Paccekabodhi and Discipleship also cannot do without giving, morality, and the development of insight, so those practices also imply the other eight perfections such as energy, etc., hence it is clear that the ten ordinary perfections fall within their province. However, as to whether they need to practice to perfection the two higher degrees of minor perfections and absolute perfections still remains unclear.

**ANSWER:** The Cariyāpiṭaka and Silakkhandha-ṭīkā, quoting some school of thought, say that since Disciples are caused by others to cross the flood of saṃsāra (tārita puggala), aspirants for Discipleship are concerned with the ten ordinary perfections; since Paccekabuddhas are the ones who can cross the flood of saṃsāra themselves (tarita puggala), aspirants for Paccekabodhi are concerned with the ten minor perfections; and since the Buddhas are the ones who cause others to cross the flood of saṃsāra (tārayitu puggala), aspirants for Buddhahood are concerned with the ten absolute perfections.¹⁰⁰

According to that school of thought, it would seem that aspirants for Discipleship are not at all concerned with the minor perfections and the absolute perfections; that aspirants for Paccekabodhi are concerned only with the minor perfections; and that future Buddhas are not at all concerned with the ordinary perfections and the minor perfections. (This view does not accord with the text that says: “Tiṃsapāramīsampuṇṇā dhammarājā asaṅkhayā.”)

According to another school of thought (aññe vāda) quoted in the above mentioned sources, it has been argued that in view of their gladness or approval at, or instigation to, doing acts of merit by others or doing them themselves, all these three aspects of merit-seeking should very well be the concern of aspirants to Discipleship and aspirants to Paccekabodhi; and therefore they should also need to practice the minor perfections and the absolute perfections.¹⁰¹
A certain school (eke vāda) maintains that aspirants to Discipleship and aspirants to Paccekabodhi have to practice the ten ordinary perfections and the ten minor perfections and that aspirants to Buddhahood alone need to practice the whole thirty kinds of perfection.\textsuperscript{102}

Their own view (saka vāda) say the commentators referred to above, is that sacrificing external possessions such as wife, children, and riches is called ordinary perfection; sacrifice of one’s limbs or body organs constitutes minor perfection; sacrifice of one’s own life is counted as absolute perfection.\textsuperscript{103}

The Buddhavaṃsa Aṭṭhakathā, however, says that sacrifice of one’s limbs and body organs is ordinary perfection; sacrifice of external possessions is minor perfection; and sacrifice of one’s own life is absolute perfection. These refer to the perfection in giving. The rest of the perfections are to be classified accordingly.\textsuperscript{104} There is little logic in this classification, it would seem.

The commentators here express their opinion that the minor perfections and the absolute perfections may or may not have partial application to Paccekabuddhas and Disciples.

\textit{End of the Answer to the Eleventh Question}

\textbf{Answer to the Twelfth Question}

In the Fourth Question above we have said that Ordinary Disciples have to undergo perfection periods of a hundred great aeons to a thousand great aeons. Here the question is: “Are those periods fixed, or are they flexible?”

\textbf{A Possible Question}

\underline{QUESTION:} The periods ranging from one hundred great aeons to one thousand great great aeons are laid down as of an immutable law. How does this rigidity come about?

\underline{ANSWER:} (a) The Visuddhimagga has this to say:–

(a) Let me elaborate: certain non-Buddhist recluses, who believe in kamma, have gained absorption and have psychic attainments as a result, or Ordinary Disciples, or Great Disciples, or Chief Disciples, or Paccekabuddhas, or Buddhas — these six types of person can remember their past existences.

(b) Of those six persons, non-Buddhist recluses, believers in kamma who attain absorption and the attainments can recollect their past up to forty great aeons, but not beyond that. Why? Because they are poor in knowledge. Indeed that is so. For they lack the knowledge that can analyse mind and matter (nāmarūpapariccheda-ñāṇa).
(c) “Ordinary Disciples can recollect their past up to one hundred aeons or one thousand great aeons. Why? Because, having possessed of the analytical knowledge about mind and matter, they have great knowledge (balava paññatā). The eighty Great Disciples can recollect their past up to one hundred thousand great aeons; the two Chief Disciples can recollect their past up to one incalculable aeon.

(d) Paccekabuddhas can recollect their past up to two incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons. Why? Because they have taken upon themselves, with their minds set on the respective goals (abhinihāro), the fulfilling of the perfections for similar durations — i.e., one incalculable aeon and one hundred thousand great aeons in the case of the Great Disciples and two incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons, in the case of Paccekabuddhas. As for the Buddhas the period of such endeavour directed towards Buddhahood is beyond reckoning.¹⁰⁵

The periods up to which Ordinary Disciples can recollect their past is said to range from one hundred to one thousand great aeons on the authority of the commentaries on the Suttanta and Vinaya; in the former it is stated thus: “Tesu pana, etc.”¹⁰⁶ (Which is an elaboration on the foregoing.)

A Possible Question

**QUESTION:** In the above commentary, with reference to the expression “Ettako hi etesaṃ abhinihāro,” why are the eighty Great Disciples able to recollect their past only up to one hundred thousand great aeons?

**ANSWER:** Because the duration of their fulfilling the perfections lasted just so much.

**QUESTION:** Why are the Chief Disciples able to recollect their past only up to one incalculable and one hundred thousand great aeons?

**ANSWER:** Because the duration of their fulfilling the perfections was of that much.

**QUESTION:** Why are the Paccekabuddhas able to recollect their past only up to two incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons?

**ANSWER:** For similar reasons as above, i.e., their period of fulfilling the perfections lasted just two incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons.

**QUESTION:** Why are the eighty Great Disciples superior in their power of recollecting the past?

**ANSWER:** The answer lies in the expression “great knowledge,” in paragraph (c) above, that occurs above with reference to the Ordinary Disciples.
They are said to possess great knowledge because their wisdom is associated with purity of view (diṭṭhisuddhi).

The same holds true with the Chief Disciples and Pacceka-buddhas too. If the expression “Balavapaññattā” were not properly interpreted as above, and if the expression “Ettakohi etesaṃ abhinīhāro” were to be wrongly construed, it would mean that non-Buddhist recluse could also go beyond 40 great aeons and recollect their past up to one hundred thousand great aeons and so on, which would obviously be absurd. For if that were so, Bodhisattha Sarabhaṅga the recluse, would have to be credited with powers to recollect his past up to four incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons since he had had by than fulfilled the perfections as long as that.

Therefore the expression “Balavapaññatā” is the proper indication of the Great Disciples’ power of recollecting the past beyond forty great aeons; and the expression “Ettako hi etesaṃ abhinīhāro” indicates the limits of one hundred thousand great aeons, etc., pertaining to the Ordinary Disciples.

Thus the indicative expression in paragraph (c) governs the next paragraph, and the expression in paragraph (d) also governs the preceding paragraph. Hence we should read paragraph (c) as if it were:

“Pakati sāvakā kappasatampi kappasahassampi yeva ettako hi etesaṃ abhinīhāro.”

Read in that way, the perfection periods of a hundred great aeons and a thousand great aeons, the range fixed for most Ordinary Disciples, become obvious. That is why in the Fourth Question these periods are laid down as a rule.

The Subcommentary points out that the word “Eta” in the phrase “Ettako hi etesaṃ” refers only to the Eighty Great Disciples: Etesanti asīti mahā-sāvakanaṃ. That leaves one uncertain about the definite perfection period for the Ordinary Disciple, so complain some critics. However, the real purpose of the subcommentator here is to exclude Pacceka-buddha, which appears close to that phrase; as for the term “Ordinary Disciple” (pakati sāvakā), it has already been covered by the word “balavapaññatā” so that there is no connection with or hanging on to (pasaṅga) in question. It is therefore not to take this explanation by the subcommentator as actually excluding the Ordinary Disciple from the general meaning of the phrase. Neither could one say that the explanation allows for the Ordinary Disciple: it merely disregards the Ordinary Disciple here.
A Possible Question

**question:** Why does the commentator indicate great knowledge as the cause in the preceding sentence when it governs the Great Disciples, etc., as well, and would properly belong to the following sentence?

**Answer:** It is to juxtapose the term alongside the term “Little knowledge” (dubbalapaññā) that refers to those non-Buddhist recluses.

What is explained above should settle the question how and why the range of perfection period for Ordinary Disciples is up at one hundred and one thousand great aeons.

As to the question whether the said range of a hundred great aeons and a thousand great aeons, are to be rigidly treated, we have a number of instances that reveal that the upper limit of a thousand great aeons is sometimes exceeded. Here are some:

**Dhammaruci Thera:**

In the Dhammaruci Thera Apadāna, it is said:–

“Tadā dīpaṅkaro buddho sumedhaṃ vyākari jino,” etc., — relating the story of Dhammaruci Thera’s past. He was inspired by the Bodhisatta Sumedha receiving the prediction from Dīpaṅkara Buddha and turned bhikkhu from where his journey toward Enlightenment began. Since he became an Arahant, distinguished among the Ordinary Disciples, only under Gotama Buddha’s Teaching, his perfection period lasted four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons. Similarly:–

1. Nisseṇidāyaka Thera: began aspiring for Enlightenment while donating a flight of stairs to Koṇḍañña Buddha and had to fulfil the perfections for three incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons.
2. Supāricariya Thera who attended reverently on Padumuttara Buddha after hearing the Buddha’s teaching, and fulfilled the perfections for one incalculable and a hundred thousand great aeons.
3. Sumana Thera, Abbhañjanadāyaka Thera, Pānadhidāyaka Thera, Maggadattika Thera, etc., who received the prediction from Anomadassī Buddha.
4. Vidhūpanadāyaka Thera and over a hundred elders who began their aspiration for Ordinary Discipleship under Padumuttara Buddha, some one hundred thousand great aeons in the past.

From those instances recorded in Apadāna we can note that many Ordinary Disciples fulfilled the perfections far beyond a thousand great aeons.
A Possible Question

**QUESTION:** What about lesser periods of perfection than the standard norm of one hundred great aeons? What is the minimum period known?

**ANSWER:** Some elders such as Migasira, Subhūti, Lomasakaṅgiya, Vanavaccha, Ekavīhariya, the Apadāna specifically says, began special merit-seeking (*puññavisesa*) i.e., desiring for Arahantship, since Kassapa Buddha’s time. However the commentator on the Theragāthā says that those elders actually aspired to (*adhikāra*) Arahantship much earlier. Hence we cannot determine the duration from those cases.

Similar cases are also mentioned in the Dhammapada Ṭṭṭhakathā, etc., where aspirants to Ordinary Discipleship who won their objective under Gotama Buddha began aspiring under Paccekabuddhas who appeared in the interim period between Kassapa Buddha and Gotama Buddha, such as the five hundred Paccekabuddhas born of Paduma Devī, and one other Paccekabuddha; and under Kattarasikhī Paccekabuddha who appeared about one thousand years previous to the arising of Gotama Buddha, the period of general excitement when the world awaited the oncoming Buddha (*Buddha-kolāhala*). However, no final decision as to any minimum duration for fulfilling the perfections is possible from those too.

What the Commentary on Theragāthā points out is that an aspirant for Ordinary Discipleship cannot be considered ripe enough for Arahantship by attending on just two or three Buddhas.

However, there are authorities who maintain that Ordinary Discipleship may be attained by serving just two or three existences. This has been shown in the Samantapāsādikā Ṭṭṭhakathā and Visuddhimagga Ṭṭṭhakathā:–

“The Blessed One is called the Teacher even of animals because of his ability to instruct them in the Dhamma. They may hear the Buddha’s Teaching and, imbibing it, become equipped with the sufficing condition for Arahantship so that by the second or the third existence they may be entitled to Path Knowledge and its Fruition. In this connection Maṇḍūka, son of a deva and similar cases may be pointed out.”

In this context the expression: “*Tāya eva upanissaya sampattiya dutiye tatiyeyā attabhāve maggaphalabhāgino honti*” by employing the word “*Eva,* “just,” indicates that the requisite condition is fulfilled by just listening to the Buddha’s teaching, without previous merit directed at release from rebirth. This is one argument.

In the Mūlapaṇṇāsa Ṭṭṭhakathā, commenting on the Cūḷasaccaka Sutta the commentator says:
“At that time the Blessed One accepted the almsfood offered to him by Saccaka the Jain ascetic and credited the merit to Saccaka, quite apart from the latter’s wish. The food was originally offered to Saccaka by the Licchavī princes who, for some reason,¹ did not give it to the Blessed One. The significance of Saccaka’s offering has been pointed out by the Blessed One in the words beginning, ‘yaṃ kho Aggivesana ...’ Furthermore, that alms giving by Saccaka will leave an indelible impression on his mental make-up (vāsanāya bhavissati), which will benefit him in the future, probably in the second or third existence. Thus should it be noted.”¹⁰⁹

When Saccaka offered the alms-food he received from the Licchavī princes to the Buddha and the Saṅgha, he requested the Buddha that the merit fall upon the original donors, the Licchavī; but the Buddha replied that it would only fall on him. Therefore Saccaka’s offering is tainted in not desiring merit; hence it left him only with an impression of a good deed that will become fruitful only in later existences.

(a) “The Buddha taught the Jain ascetic two discourses, the first consisting of two sections² and the second, one and a half sections. In spite of listening to these two and a half sections the ascetic did not attain Path Knowledge; he did not go forth into monkhood — he did not even go for refuge. Then why did the Buddha teach at such length to a Jain who never even stood within his fold?³ To this question the answer is that it was for leaving an impression in the Jain’s mind for future benefit.

(b) “To expand this point: The Blessed One knew that Saccaka had no sufficing condition for Enlightenment in the present existence. However, he knew that about two hundred years after his parinibbāna, his Teaching would flourish in the Island of Tambapaṇṇī (Sri Lanka); that this Jain ascetic would be reborn into a respectable family; that he would, on attaining the right age, go forth as a bhikkhu and after learning the Piṭaka and developing insight, attain Arahantship with the four discriminations, to become a famous elder by the name of Kālabuddharakkhita. Seeing the future course of events thus, the Buddha taught him at such length to leave the impression on his mind. In such light should this be seen.”¹¹⁰

What the Commentary points out here is that Saccaka who does not take refuge in the Triple Gem cannot win the Path on hearing the Buddha’s teaching which can only make the wholesome impression on his mind.

¹ Yāsmā: the Licchavī princes were followers of Saccaka then.
² Bhāṇavāra: a section of the scriptures containing 8,000 words.
³ Lit., “Not having established oneself in the three refuges.”
The Commentary makes it clear that at the time of listening to the Buddha’s sermon Saccaka had had no sufficing condition at all for Enlightenment, "Idāni upanissayo natthi." Yet starting with the meritorious actions of alms offerings and listening to the Buddha’s teaching, his merit continued to multiply with the result that in just over 200 years, in his third existence, he won Arahantship and its Fruition. For, as another writer accounts for him, Saccaka went to the realm of Devas at his death. This is another argument.

Again, we have in the Milindapañha:–

“Great King, without previous efficacy of the ascetic practices (dhutaṅga) there can be no Arahantship in one single existence.”

This statement implies the possibility that is open to one who performs the ascetic practices for two or more existences, since it rules out a single existence only.

This is yet another argument.

In the Mahāsatipaṭṭhāna Sutta-ṭīkā the matter is discussed as follows:–

“For a Paccekabuddha, the sufficing condition for Enlightenment as such is an appropriate amount of merit as well as degree of maturity of wisdom built up (tajjāpuññañāṇa-sambhāra sambharanaṃ) over a period of two incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons. As regards the sufficing condition for the Enlightenment of Disciples, the Chief Disciples have to gather merit over one incalculable, and the Great Disciples, one hundred thousand great aeons. Other Ordinary Disciples depend for their Enlightenment on the strength of merit conducive to insight (nibbedha-bhāgiyaṃ kusalaṃ) aimed at breaking away from the cycle of rebirths (vivattasamnissaya), i.e., with the firm desire for nibbāna, that has been acquired in the past existences.”

From the expression “acquired in the past existences” (atitāsu jātīsu) we are given to understand plainly that it may take just two or three existences to wish for, and work for, Enlightenment as an Ordinary Disciple.

This is yet another argument.

On the weight of those arguments, we may safely conclude that the minimum perfection period for Ordinary Discipleship may be two or three existences only.

That is according to those masters quoted above. Their theory has been scrutinized in the following manner:–

With reference to the first argument, the expression: “Tāya eva upanissayasampattiyaṃ dutiye tatiye vā attabhāve maggaphalabhāgino honti” — the word “Eva” should properly be taken to govern the kind of merit (i.e.,
listening to the Buddha’s teaching) that the frog (maṇḍūka) acquired at that time; and it does not imply that no sufficient merit had been in store in his past existences.

Otherwise, it would lead to a wrong conclusion\(^1\) that the frog, on listening to the sermon near his habitat of Gaggarā lake, got accidentally killed and became a son of deva when he returned immediately even before the sermon had ended, related his story of rebirth to the Blessed One, and after continued attention to the sermon, attained Arahantship. If that was the case, then his period of perfection would appear as short as a few hours only — which obviously is absurd.

Therefore, the sufficing condition must be understood to have been acquired previous to his existence as a frog. Being reborn an animal on account of some bad kamma, he happened to acquire the necessary merit to gain a fortunate rebirth in the realm of Devas through listening to the sermon, and taking advantage of his fresh rebirth, being endowed with the three good roots (tihetuka), he now became a fitting person to win the Path. Thus, with his worthiness of person (bhabba puggala), his attending on the Buddha as his assets, his attentive listening to the sermon sparked the past store of merit and made the necessary conditions for Arahantship complete. Therefore in the sentence: “Tāyeva upanissayasampattiyyā maggahpalabhāgino honti,” the word ‘Tāyeva’ embraces all those conditions that come together for the winning of the Path and its Fruition. It does not refer only to the listening to the Buddha’s teaching as a frog, unsupported by previous merit. This is stated by the subcommentator thus: Upanissaya sampattinti ... etc.\(^{113}\)

The Vimativinodani-ṭīkā explains further:–

“The frog takes in the attributes of the Blessed One’s voice”\(^2\) may be understood in this light: having been familiar with listening to the true Dhamma\(^3\) under the various Buddhas that had arisen in the past, the frog, on hearing the Buddha’s voice, takes it up (i.e., recognizes its attributes)\(^4\) “Ah! this is the Dhamma!” This indeed is so. For it is only due to the habituated practice (of listening to the Dhamma) in the previous existences\(^5\) that animals of such (spiritual) nature can appreciate the Dhamma when they listen to it (pasādo uppajjati). Just as in the case of those bats and similar cases, they felt joyous satisfaction in listening to the teaching and such meritorious actions.”\(^{114}\)

---

\(^1\) Aniṭṭhapasāṅga: Lit., “Undesirable inclination.”

\(^2\) Sare nimittām aggahesīti: lit., ‘seize upon the sign of the voice.’

\(^3\) Saddhammassavana paricayena.

\(^4\) Sare āhāraṃ gahati: “Takes it up,” i.e., recognizes its attributes: “Ah, this is the Dhamma!”

\(^5\) Pubbābhīyogavasena: The habit of listening to the Dhamma in the previous existences.
“Similar cases” here refers to those of the five hundred bats\textsuperscript{1} that listened attentively to Abhidhamma when they heard it recited in the cave where they lived. There is also another story, as related in Sahassa-vatthu, of five hundred bats who listened intently to a discourse on the four foundations of mindfulness (Satipaṭṭhāna Sutta), and after being reborn as human beings, gained Path Knowledge. Similarly, the deer that got killed by the hunter’s arrow while listening to Dhammaghosika’s sermon was reborn a human being and, joining the Order of bhikkhus as a novice (sāmaṇera) at the age of seven, became an Arahant with the six supernormal powers, as soon as the shaving of his head was finished. Many more such instances should also be cited here.

That Maṇḍūka, before he was born as a frog, must surely have had previous familiarity with the Dhamma, as pointed out by the Vimativinodanī-ṭīkā, also has been upheld by another commentator: the commentary on Vimānavatthu — entitled Maṇḍūka Devaputta Vimāna Aṭṭhakathā — describes the event thus:-

“At that time a certain frog came out of the lake and said to himself, ‘Ah! This is the sound of the Dhamma.’ Taking in the Blessed One’s voice with full appreciation of its attributes (i.e., the Dhamma), he prostrated himself at one end of the congregation.”\textsuperscript{115}

The commentator here significantly observes; that this frog who could come and listen to the Dhamma, appreciative of its sublime character was not one of the common run of animals; and that his superior intelligence must have been the outcome of previous effort (pubba yoga) and former mental inclination (pubba vāsanā) to such wholesome actions.

The expression “Dutiya-tatiyevā attabhāve” — signifies the law of kamma that however great one’s accumulation of not rebirth orientated merit might be, one can never attain to Enlightenment as an animal, i.e. being born with no wholesome roots (ahetuka); and that only at the second or third existence thereafter can one attain to it.

With reference to the second argument above:

“This man Saccaka has no sufficing condition for Enlightenment at present.” (imassa idāni upanissayo natthi). This sentence ought to be understood as Saccaka’s inability to attain to Path Knowledge at the present existence; however, his previous merit should not be doubted. As for the present existence he has become such a past master in mundane knowledge

\textsuperscript{1} “The five hundred bats.” These bats were reborn after some intervening existences, as humans when they became bhikkhus and, on hearing the Abhidhamma from the Venerable Sāriputta, understood it well due to their having heard it in their past existence.
(lokāyatana paññā) that his inflated ego, based on his great learning (paṇḍita-māṇī), makes him a hypocrite, a blinded fool (atibāla) just as incurable as an ailment arising from taking harmful medicine (besajjasamuṭṭhita rogā), with the result that he finds it incapable of taking refuge in the Triple-Gem.

Nevertheless, his occasional offerings of alms-food and listening to the Buddha’s discourses inspired by a noble regard for the Buddha certainly benefited him. For the Buddha being the supreme recipient of offerings (anuttara-agga-dakkhiṇeyya) constitutes the most important field for sowing good deeds (khettāngata) and the purest and best trained recipient (paṭiggāha-katodakkhina-visuddhi), the donor is bound to reap the fruit of those good deeds, more particularly, is destined for some favourable existence after his death.

N.B.: Now, should someone ask: how come that Saccaka did not even take refuge in the Triple-Gem if he had had so much sufficiently of past merit? How could benefits accrue to one who does not go to the Triple Gem for refuge and so is obviously lacking faith in the Triple Gem? — such questions are quite irrelevant here.

Moot Points:

That frog may appreciate the Buddha’s mellifluous voice and feel joyous veneration, but he will not understand the meaning of the sermon. As for Saccaka, being adamant (ādhānuggāhī) and difficult of forsaking his own perverted view (duttaṇissajji), he is unable to go for refuge in the Triple Gem; therefore his merit is not conducive to Enlightenment, and as such does not count as fulfilment of perfection. These are the moot points.

With reference to the third argument:

The expression “In past existences” (atītāsu jātīsu) does not mean just two or three previous existences. Even in the present fortunate aeon graced by five Buddhas, someone might begin wishing for the end of rebirth and perform acts of merit to that end, and might strive for maturity under the Teaching of either Koṇāgamana Buddha, or Kassapa Buddha or during the intervals thereof, or under the Teaching of Gotama Buddha. Such aspirants cannot be said to have gained Enlightenment after a perfection period of so many great aeons, since they may well become Arahants within the same aeon. Rather, their perfection period should be reckoned in the number of existences. The phrase “In the previous existences” is purported by the subcommentator to describe such aspirants.

That indeed is so. In Apadāna stories we have instances of aspirants starting their quest for Enlightenment under Kassapa Buddha’s Teaching
and winning their objective under Gotama Buddha’s Teaching, as stated in the Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā and Apadāna Aṭṭhakathā.\textsuperscript{116}

With reference to the fourth argument:

The stress here is on the austere practices and not on the number of existences, as stated in, “Only in a single existence” (ekissāyeva jātiyā). Hence this argument purports to say that the austere practices are the sine qua non for Enlightenment so much so that, not to speak of a single existence, let any number of existences pass, and let any number of great aeons pass, one can never attain to Enlightenment without having performed the austere practices at one time or the other.

According to this assertion in the Milindapañha, it may well be asked: when did Maṇḍūka and Saccaka perform their austere practices, seeing that on their second rebirth they became Devas and that they attained Arahantship at the third existence?

This is the counter-argument by some to the above assertion. They quote the Mahāpadāna Sutta:–

“Just now, it is not fitting that I teach the Dhamma discovered with great trouble by me, since the Four Noble Truths (the cornerstone of the Dhamma) would be quite incomprehensible to the multitudes, overcome as they are by lust and hate.”\textsuperscript{117}

In the Commentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta, \textit{etc.}, this is expanded as follows:–

“The Dhamma, constituting the Four Noble Truths, is profound, unfathomable as the magma beneath the earth’s crust that is two hundred and forty thousand leagues thick in itself; it is as hard to see as a mustard seed hidden underneath Mount Meru; it is as hard to know as a horse-hair sliced into a hundred threads or strands to have each of their ends matched up; Even with us (the Tathāgata) who have struggled to penetrate the Four Noble Truths, there is no kind of giving that I have not practiced; no kind of moral precept that I have not observed; no kind of perfection that I have not fulfilled; and in spite of that at the time of my dispelling the forces of Māra, this great earth, two-hundred and forty thousand leagues in depth, made nothing so much as a stir as though reluctant to exert itself.”\textsuperscript{118}

\textbf{N.B.} In the above Pāli syntax the expression “\textit{nirussāhaṃ viya}” has been rendered as an adverbial phrase describing the attitude of the great earth. This is to suit the situation prevailing at the time of the Buddha’s conquest over Māra. For here at the foot of the Bodhi Tree there are assembled myriads of Nāgā myriads of Garuḷā, myriads of devas, myriads of Sakkas (kings of
Devas) and myriads of Brahmās coming from ten thousand world-systems (cakkavāla) who, at the onslaught of Māra’s hordes, run helter-skelter, like the waters escaping from a broken earthen dam, leaving the Buddha in the lurch. It is therefore no mean achievement that the Buddha, all by himself, routed Māra’s army. It certainly is a great and most rare occasion which the great earth should show its applause by a rumbling quake. Yet, as if possessed by lethargy, it does not bestir itself.

The phrase may also be taken as an adverbial phrase describing the Buddha’s coolness in quelling Māra’s weird forces — that he dispelled the enemy quite effortlessly and calmly as if he were conserving his energy.

The first of these interpretations, though appropriate in sense, is not quite flawless under rules of prosody, for it suffers from vyākiṇṇa dosa.

“The great earth did not shake in applause when, in the first watch of the night, I recollected my past existences (pubbenivāsa nāṇa); nor did it shake in applause when, in the middle watch of the night, I perfected the divine eye (dībacakkhu-ñāṇa). However, when, in the last watch of the night, I mastered Dependent Origination (paṭiccasamuppāda), the whole of the ten thousand world-systems shook in applause. How could this Dhamma comprising the Four Noble Truths, won by such a man as myself, possessed of acuteness of intelligence, only through great pains be grasped by the worldlings? Thus by means of the Buddha’s Reviewing Knowledge as to the profundity of the Dhamma, there occurred to the Buddha the thought of not teaching the Four Noble Truths to the world.”

A Possible Question

**QUESTION:** On the strength of the above reasons it is now clear that any proposition suggesting that Enlightenment as an Ordinary Disciple can be worked out within just two or three existences is as absurd as suggesting that the precious gem, (one of the seven treasures) of a Universal Monarch could be had in exchange for a handful of chaff. This being so, how would the perfection period be fixed?

**ANSWER:** There are five obligations that every Buddha discharges in advance (pubba kicca), referring to which the commentary say:–

“In the third watch of the night, the Buddha, rising early, used to sit up and survey the world with the Buddha Eye (Buddhacakkhu) consisting of Knowledge of Adequacy of Self-control in others’ mental make-up (indriya-paropariyatti-ñāṇa) and Knowledge of Propensities and Latent Tendencies of the various kinds of sentient beings (āsayānusaya-ñāṇa) — to look for those matured ones (bodhaneyya puggala) who, having devoted themselves to
meritorious actions such as giving and morality, under the Teachings of the Buddhas that have appeared in the past, have become due for Enlightenment (katādhikāra puggala). This daily survey takes place routinely and covers the whole of the ten thousand world-systems.”

The Mahāpadāna Sutta Aṭṭhakathā further explains:–

“The word ‘Bhavissanti’ here is referred to the vast multitudes. It refers to those who have had done service to the cause of their goal, i.e., Enlightenment as Ordinary Disciples, by performing the ten kinds of meritorious acts under the Teaching of previous Buddhas. Just as the fully developed Paduma lotus flower awaits the sun’s rays, so also these perfected persons await to hear the Buddha’s teaching, and the number of those who would, at the end of a stanza of four lines, attain the status of a Noble One (ariya bhūmi), is to be counted not in ones or twos, nor in just hundreds of thousands: they are innumerable. It is with regard to those matured myriads that the term ‘Bhavissanti’ is being used.”

N.B. 1) The author did not translate the above Pāḷi, it has been done by the editors. 2) In the Uruvela Sutta Aṭṭhakathā and Sāratthadīpanī-ṭīkā we have the same explanation as above.

As the Jinālaṅkāra-ṭīkā puts it:–

The expression ‘Katāsubhajanataṃ’ is defined as those beings who have acquired merit to perfection under the Teaching of the previous Buddhas.

From the foregoing statements it should be clearly understood that an aspirant for Enlightenment can win his objective of gaining release from saṃsāra under any Buddha’s Teaching only if he has accumulated sufficient merit of the craving-free type (vivaṭṭanissita-kusala) amounting to dedication to his cause (adhikāro) under the preceding Buddhas’ Teaching. As such the perfection period for an Ordinary Disciple should properly be reckoned in terms of intervals of the arising of Buddhas (Buddhantara). As to the duration of such intervals the minimum duration should be set as of one antara-kappa (see footnote 2 on page 2) for each of them. That means, for an Ordinary Disciple the perfection of his merit would take at least one intermediate aeon. This is one argument.

The Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā says:–

“Someone who has listened to the Dhamma, to the extent of one stanza consisting of four lines, from a Buddha or from a Paccekabuddha, and later gets the opportunity of hearing the Dhamma to the same extent from either a Buddha or one of his Disciples, may be able to attain to Arahantship at the end of the stanza.”
The Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā explains thus:–

“To explain further: Those who have performed merit by way of wholesome actions to the perfection necessary for Enlightenment as a Disciple under the Teaching of either Buddhas or Paccekabuddhas in the past are called those who have had the instruction required of a Disciple.”

The Therīgāthā Aṭṭhakathā repeats it:–

“To explain further: Those elder nuns who have performed merit by way of wholesome actions to the perfection necessary for Enlightenment as a Disciple under the Teaching of either Buddhas or Paccekabuddhas in the past are called those who have had the instruction required of a female Disciple.”

From those Commentaries, an aspirant for Discipleship may have some time in the past, wished for Enlightenment at the feet of a Paccekabuddha. This raises the question of the intervals between the arising of one Pacceka-buddha and the arising of a Buddha subsequent to him. And since no Paccekabuddha arises within one thousand years prior to the arising of a Buddha, when the great event comes to be proclaimed in the world, thanks to the Brahmās, this interval is to be calculated as one thousand years as the lowest. Hence, the minimum period of perfection for an Ordinary Disciple may be fixed at one thousand years. This is another argument.

In any case, the Buddha interval should be the interval between one Perfectly Enlightened Buddha and another. Herein, two or three such intervals should be considered as the minimum limit.

A Possible Question

QUESTION: Why is the Buddha interval not counted from one Paccekabuddha preceding one Buddha, to that Buddha?

ANSWER: For one reason, merit is perfected only if intent upon the resultant-free nibbāna; and for another, such merit springs only from seeing the dangers of rebirth resulting from volitional action; and for another, such perception must have as its source some discourse conducive to insight that lays bare the law of decay (khayadhammika), the law of aging (vayadhammika), the frightful (sappaṭibhaya), the danger-fraught (sādīnava), the empty (tuccha), and the unsubstantial (suñña) nature of the cycle of rebirth in any of the three spheres of existence; and for another, such a discourse is available only under the Teaching of a Buddha.

1 Tebhūmaka: Sensuous Sphere, Fine-Material Sphere and Immaterial Sphere, also called the Sensuous existence (kāma-bhava) Fine-Material existence (rūpa-bhava), Immaterial existence, (arūpa bhava).
The Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā describes Paccekabuddhas thus:—

“Paccekabuddhas are themselves Enlightened but they cannot enlighten others. They penetrate the nature of the bliss of nibbāna, i.e., the Four Fruitions of the Path (attharasa) but do not have the mastery over the teaching of the Dhamma, i.e., the Four Paths, (Dhammarasa). Indeed that is so. For they cannot express what they know. They lack the ability to teach the supramundane Dhamma because of deficiency of skill in conventional usage. Their comprehension of the Four Noble Truths may be likened to that of a dumb person unable to relate the dream he has had; or that of a forest-dwelling hunter unable to relate the delicacies he has tasted in the city.”

The function of conventional usage regarding the supramundane is to identify the evils of resultant phenomena (vaṭṭa dhamma) and to show the way to get rid of those evils. Being deficient in skill in conventional usage therefore implies that the evils of the woeful round of resultant rebirths cannot be described by the Paccekabuddhas.

Only if the imperfection, causality, and conditionality of the round of rebirths can be explained, then the happiness due to an absence of the round of rebirths, and the taint-free, non-causal and non-conditioned nature of such happiness may be explained. Where one is unable to undertake the former, it is obvious that the latter is outside of his range. How would one not knowing the Pāḷi word for tiger (byaggha) or robber (cora) be able to describe a forest as being infested with robbers and tigers? Therefore how could he speak of a forest as being free from robbers and tigers?

That being so, outside of the Buddha’s Teaching, Paccekabuddhas or wise recluses like Sarabhaṅga, the Bodhisatta, can only teach the principle of impermanence (anicca) and the principle of unsatisfactoriness (dukkha) based on the evils of sensual pleasures and the advantages of cutting off sensuality, for that is the extent of their perception and vocabulary. As for the principle of the unsubstantial, phenomenal, or not-self (anatta), they cannot comprehend, nor have they the vocabulary for it. Even as regards impermanence, all they can teach is the crude and obvious concept of death, loss of relatives, loss of wealth or sickness; and as regards the unsatisfactoriness of existence, they may go no further than explaining the miserable life of animals, or other miserable realms (apāya).

The more subtle and profound nature of impermanence involving the three periods of past, present, and future, Dependant Origination of mind and matter under the causal factors of ignorance, volitions, etc., the law of kamma, the phenomena of cause (āhāra), contact (phassa), etc., the twenty-four conditional relations such as root-cause, object, etc., which reveal impermanence and
unsatisfactoriness occurring in the five aggregates from moment to moment — all these are beyond the range of Paccekabuddhas and great recluses. If the momentary impermanence and the momentary unsatisfactoriness were taught and understood by the aspirants the character of impermanence and unsatisfactoriness will naturally lead to the understanding of not-self as the characteristic of existence. As such, the aspirants would, in fitting measure to their past perfections, attain to the Path and its Fruition. However, no such case of Enlightenment has been known. (For details, see the Sammohavinodanī Aṭṭhakathā, Mūlaṭīkā, Anuṭīkā, Visuddhimagga Aṭṭhakathā and-ṭīkā.)

The above discussion focuses attention on the fact that outside of the Buddha’s Teaching, no teaching by anyone on the futility and emptiness of continued existence in all the three spheres, conducive to weariness for the world, could be heard; hence no weariness for the unsatisfactoriness of rebirth could arise as Knowledge, with the result that no merit free of leading to rebirth (vivaṭṭanissita kusala) could be acquired.

However, this does not apply to those who have already perceived the advantages of freedom from rebirth and the ills of rebirth under the Teaching of previous Buddhas and have striven for the cause of Enlightenment. For in their case, whatever merit they acquire will be free from leading to rebirth thanks to the strong impression of habituated inclination toward that nobler type of merit acquired previously. It may be likened to all the fruit of a mango tree that grows from the seed of a sweet mango. The above argument is meant only for those who have never nurtured the habit of seeking merit not productive of rebirth under any of the previous Buddhas. For blind and foolish worldlings, fresh sowing seeds of merit free of leading to rebirth under a Paccekabuddha, with the hope of blossoming as enlightenment under a future Buddha, is a sheer impossibility.

With such beginners, their merit devoted to ending rebirth will serve as a necessary condition for fortunate rebirth at the opportune era of some later Buddha, at the opportune place where the Dhamma can be heard from the Buddha or one of the Noble Ones; getting established in the Dhamma, and conducting themselves according to the Dhamma. In this way they can expect Enlightenment by the second or third succeeding Buddha.

That such fortunate results may be expected by one inclined to ending of rebirth has already been discussed quoting the Paṭisambhidāmagga Aṭṭhakathā, Dhātu Saṃyutta Aṭṭhakathā, etc.

The Theragāthā and Therīgāthā Aṭṭhakathā include Paccekabuddhas as fields for acquiring merit for aspirants to Discipleship for the reason that
attending on them also has the advantage of fulfilling the necessary condition that is the foundation of sufficing condition for Enlightenment.

This explains why only Buddhas should be counted in reckoning the periods that lapse between the starting point and the goal of Arahantship, and why Paccekabuddhas should not be reckoned as the starting point for one aspiring to Discipleship.

The commentaries indicate that at least two or three Buddha intervals should constitute the minimum period of perfection for Ordinary Disciples.\textsuperscript{127}

From that Pāḷi we are given to understand that Migasira Thera and Subhūti Thera won Arahantship under Gotama Buddha after acquiring special merit of the non-resultant type\textsuperscript{1} under Kassapa Buddha. However, they did not start doing so only under Kassapa Buddha, but had done service under previous Buddhas such as Koṇāgamana and Kakusandha.

Incidentally, one need not argue against this statement by saying that those were two specific instances only and ought not to be extended to other Ordinary Disciples. For the answer to such argument is simply this: these of course are only two specific instances of the necessity of previous perfections, but then who could produce any specific instance to disprove it?

This is why a minimum perfection period for Ordinary Discipleship should be put as two or three Buddha-intervals. Thus it can be said that if an aspirant for Ordinary Discipleship, after attending to the task of fulfilling the perfections under two successive Buddhas, also meets the necessary conditions for the practice of the good doctrine (sappurisa-upanissaya) in the interval, will advance from one existence to the other spiritually; and his accumulation of perfections thus on the increase, he will possibly gain release from rebirth under the third or fourth succeeding Buddha.

This is the line of thinking by those who give counter-arguments to the assertion that two or three existences would be enough for an aspirant for Ordinary Discipleship to achieve his goal. Let the reader judge the merits of each school of thought.

However, we would give our concluding remark on this question thus:–

"Who else but the Buddha, endowed with the knowledge of the quality of kamma of all beings present, past and future, could definitely fix the perfection period for Ordinary Discipleship? Whose legitimate province is this matter, and who stands on firm ground in the matter?\textsuperscript{128}

\textit{End of the Answer to the Twelfth Question}

\textsuperscript{1} Puñña visesa: an expression synonymous with \textit{vivaṭṭanissita kusala}.\textsuperscript{8}
Answer to the Thirteenth Question

Discipleship (the term itself indicates this) is realisable only when a Buddha teaches the Dhamma. So an aspirant for discipleship has to await the coming of a Buddha regardless of intervals of waiting. If an aeon happens to be an empty one devoid of any Buddha (suñña-kappa), an aspirant has no chance whatever of realising his goal.

In the Peṭakopadesa we have:–

“There are two conditions or causes for enlightenment or the arising of Path Knowledge for a Disciple, namely: an utterance by another about the Four Noble Truths, and systematic attention.”

The same statement is found in the Verañjaka Sutta, Mūlapaṇṇāsa.

In the commentary on the Verañjaka Sutta, it has been explained as follows:–

(a) “The arising of right-view (sammādiṭṭhiyā uppadāya), means insight knowledge and Path Knowledge. For the arising of it, an utterance by another (parato ghoso) means listening to someone teach the Dhamma that strikes the right chord (sappāyadhamma). Systematic attention (yoniso manasikāro) means open-minded, methodical attention. Those two factors apply to every disciple, even the Venerable Sāriputta (Dhammasenāpati) is no exception.

(b) “That indeed is so. The Venerable Sāriputta, in spite of having fulfilled the perfections over one incalculable and a hundred thousand great aeons, was quite unable, by nature (dhammatāya) to shed an infinitesimal part of the moral defilements all by himself. Only on hearing the stanza beginning with the words, “Ye dhammā hetuppabhavā” by the Venerable Assaji did he penetrate the Truth, i.e., gain Path Knowledge. In the case of Paccekabuddhas and Buddhas, however, there is no need to hear somebody utter the Truth; based on (thatvā) their proper attention they win enlightenment respectively.

In view of the above discussions, it is to be inferred that the perfection periods laid down for Disciples — i.e., one incalculable and a hundred thousand great aeons for the Chief Disciples and one hundred thousand great aeons for ordinary disciples — have actual relevance only to those Disciples who won enlightenment under Gotama Buddha. For, if at the end of those prescribed intervals, there follows an aeon devoid of any Buddha (suñña-kappa), or for that matter, more than one such empty aeon were to intervene, then their chance of attaining their goal would fall into abeyance.

In the present Question, the term “Buddha suñña kappa” — and not just Suññakappe — is significant. It stresses what an empty aeon actually mean: these terms are to be understood with reference to the arising or otherwise
of a Buddha in a certain aeon, and not to the arising of Paccekabuddhas or Universal Monarchs. As the Ñāsa defines it:–

“An empty aeon may see the arising of Paccekabuddhas and Universal Monarchs but if it is devoid of any Buddha it still is called empty (Siddhesatyārabhbo athantarassa ūpanāya hoti).”

In the Apadāna, we have the words: “Ito dutiyake, etc. ...”\(^{131}\) which show that in an empty aeon where no Buddha arises there Paccekabuddhas may arise and similarly, there Universal Monarchs may arise.\(^{132}\) The Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā and Apadāna Aṭṭhakathā also contain passages to the same effect.

On the other hand, there are various commentators who maintain that in an empty aeon no Paccekabuddhas or Universal Monarchs appear either.

In the Dīghanikāya Aṭṭhakathā, the commentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta has this to say:

“However, on the other hand, whenever there is an aeon where the Buddhas arise, then only Universal Monarchs also arise, and the arising of Buddhas take place only at long intervals: it is a very rare occasion that a Buddha is to be seen. Hence the Buddha is called a ‘Treasure (ratana).’”\(^{133}\)

The Buddhavaṃsa Aṭṭhakathā states:–

“There are two types of aeon: an empty aeons and a non-empty aeon. In the former there appears no Buddha, Paccekabuddha, or Universal Monarch; it is called empty aeon since it is devoid of virtuous individuals.”\(^{134}\)

The Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā says:–

“Paccekabuddhas are inferior to Buddhas (Buddhe apatvā) and they arise only during those times when Buddhas arise.”\(^{135}\)

And according to the Sotattakī:–

(a) “An aeon (kappa) is of two types: empty aeons (suñña-kappa) and non-empty aeons (āsuñña-kappa). Of them, those graced by the appearance of Buddhas are called non-empty aeons.

(b) “In empty aeons no individuals of great power such as Buddhas or Paccekabuddhas, Buddha’s Disciples, or Universal Monarchs appear.”\(^{136}\)

Those extracts set forth the views that in an empty aeon where no Buddhas arise, Paccekabuddhas, and Universal Monarchs do not arise either.

**A Possible Question**

**QUESTION:** Are those commentaries and writings in conflict with the text and certain other commentaries?
**Answer to the Thirteenth Question**

**Answer:** The author of the Samantacakku Dīpanī reconciles the views put forward by the Buddhavamsa Aṭṭhakathā and Sotattakī with the text by saying that a non-empty aeon is one where a Buddha arises; or even if no Buddha arises there appears a Paccekabuddha; or even if no Paccekabuddha arises there appears a Universal Monarch. Hence if any one of those three great individuals were to arise in a certain aeon that aeon is called a non-empty aeon.

The author of the Sāratthasaṅgaha reconciles the view taken by the Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā and the statement contained in the text as follows:

“With reference to the statement: ‘Paccekabuddhas are inferior to Buddhas and they arise only during those times when Buddhas arise’¹³⁷ the term ‘Those times when Buddhas arise’ refers only to a period within the range of one hundred thousand year life-span and one hundred year life-span during which Buddhas arise. It does not refer to great aeons and incalculable aeons. This is because we have evidence of the arising of Paccekabuddhas during the second last aeon from our present aeon which is graced by five Buddhas, and hence called a fortunate aeon, in such texts as the Upālī therī Apadāna.”¹³⁸

The author, in quoting the extract from the Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā, defines the expression, “Buddhānaṃ uppajjanakāle yeva” as signifying the continuance of the restoration of the world order (vivaṭṭathāyī asaṅkhyeyya kappa), and in that period also it is limited to the period when human life-spans are between one hundred years and one hundred thousand years during which Paccekabuddhas arise. Considered in this light, there is no conflict between what the text says and what the commentators claim.

As regards the commentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta, which says: “Yasmiṃ kappe ...”¹³⁹ no attempt at reconciliation has been made; however, the Subcommentary elaborates on it as follows:

“By virtue of the absence of dark crimes such as killing etc., based on a sense of shame to do wrong; and pursuit of merit based on objects of religious emotion; the period covering the life-spans of one hundred years to one hundred thousand years is the period of the acme of spiritual purity (maṇḍhabhuto), the nature of which entitles itself to the arising of Buddhas. It is only in such opportune times comprising those particular life-spans that Universal Monarchs also appear, and that is why it has been said that, ‘Whenever there is an aeon where Buddhas arise, then only Universal Monarchs also arise.’ Further, this statement is made by way of general principle only; there may be certain cases that vary from this general rule.”

That is to say, in certain cases the general rule may apply only partially.
As such, whereas Buddhas arise only at very long intervals, the same is not true with Universal Monarchs.\(^1\)

In the above two sentences of the Subcommentary, the expression “By virtue of the absence of dark crimes \(\text{\(pāpajucchanena\ vigatakālako\)}\)” indicates human life-spans of one hundred years and upwards. The expression “Pursuit of merit inspired by the objects of religious emotion \(\text{\(puñña\ pasutāya mandabhūto\)}\)” indicates human life-spans of one hundred thousand years and downwards. The combined sense of those expressions is that Universal Monarchs, like Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas and disciples, generally arise only during the period when human life-spans range from one hundred years to one hundred thousand years. Instances of some Universal Monarchs such as Mandhātu Mahāsudassana, the great Universal Monarch who appeared during the period when human life-span was above one hundred thousand years, are exceptions.

Having regard to other repeated exceptions of this sort, as, for example, in the Cakkavatti Sutta, the subcommentator adds the words “This is by way of example or general principle only \(\text{\(upamāna\ vasena\ cetaṃ\ vuttaṃ\)}\).”

Therefore in the commentator’s expression “Whenever there is an aeon where the Buddha arises \(\text{\(yasmiṃ\ kappe\ uppajjanti\)}\),” the word “Kappa” means life-span or duration of life \(\text{\(āyu-kappa\)}\), and conforms with Sutta texts:\(^{140}\)

Accordingly, aeon here does not connote an incalculable aeon which is one-quarter of a great aeon as is used in the Sutta text \(\text{\(anekepi\ saṅvaṭṭakappe\ anekepi\ vivaṭṭakappe\)}\), nor does it signify a great aeon as used in the text such as: "Cattārimāni bhikkhave kappassa asaṅkhyyeyyāni."

Moreover, \(\text{\(āyu-kappa\)}\) in this context is purported to mean not a single class of life-span such as one hundred thousand years, ninety thousand years, eighty thousand years, one thousand years, and so on; rather, it signifies a group of certain life-spans \textit{i.e.}, those ranging from one hundred thousand to one hundred years, during which Buddhas arise. This is how the subcommentator tries to reconcile the standpoint of those commentators with the spirit of the text.

Another interpretation:–

An aeon may also be taken to mean the period of continuance of renovation of the world-system \(\text{\(vivaṭṭathāyi\ asaṅkhyyeyya\ kappa\)}\).\(^{141}\) Thus, taking together the three interpretations above, there is no conflict between the statements contained in the Thera Apadāna, Therī Apadāna, Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā, Therīgāthā Aṭṭhakathā, Apadāna Aṭṭhakathā, on the one hand,

---

\(^{1}\) Footnote by the author: \textit{Athakho tādise kappe laddhokāse sati sabbakālampi uppajjauti yeva.}
and those of the Mahāpadāna Sutta Atţhakathā, Buddhavaṃsa Atţhakathā, Suttanipāta Atţhakathā and Sotattakī on the other.

Therefore, as the Aṅguttaranikāya Atţhakathā and Sāratthadīpanī-ṭīkā point out:–

“In an incalculable aeon too, when no Buddhas arise, not a single being is able to realise parinibbāna. However, even in those empty aeons devoid of Buddhas, the element of nibbāna cannot be said to have lost its value altogether.”142 In that passage the phrase “not a single being (ekasattopi)” is a reference to Ordinary Disciples only, and Paccekabuddhas and aspirants for discipleship of the two higher classes should be excepted.

End of the Answer to the Thirteenth Question

Answer to the Fourteenth Question

The Buddha, the Paccekabuddha and Disciples receive their respective predictions that have varying factors. There are eight factors required for a future Buddha to receive the prediction, namely:

“He is a human being; he is definitely a male; he is endowed with perfections so much so that, had he wished for it, he could win Arahantship there and then; he has the opportunity of seeing a Buddha in person; he is either a bhikkhu or a recluse; he is possessed of supernormal powers, having achieved absorption; he is prepared to give up his life for the sake of perfect Enlightenment; he has the steadfast will to achieve his goal even if he had to transverse the whole universe filled with live ambers, or iron spikes, or bamboo thickets or sheer water. On a combination of those eight factors is the great prayer for Buddhahood assured.”

Thus states the commentary.143

The Suttanipāta Atţhakathā puts it thus: “Abhinīharoti ... etc.”144

The Cariyāpiṭaka Atţhakathā says: “Evaṁ atthaṅga samannāgato ... etc.”145

The Buddhavaṃsa Atţhakathā defines the term “Abhinīharo” in these words: “Abhinīhāroti ... etc.” 146

The Paṭisambhidāmagga Gaṇṭhi further states:– “Abhinīhāroti ... etc.”147

For Paccekabuddhas:–

“Being born as a human being; being a male; having seen a Buddha or a Paccekabuddha or an Arahant in person; having fulfilled the perfections such as giving, etc.; and an intense desire or will to become a Paccekabuddha — these five factors go to the satisfaction of the great prayer.”

The Suttanipāta Atţhakathā puts it thus: Vigatāsavaddassananti ... etc.148
The two requirements for Disciples are, as contained in the above passages, the perfections and the will.

*End of the Answer to the Fourteenth Question*

**Answer to the Fifteenth Question**

Having regard to the phrase “Seeing the Buddha in person” the fourth of the eight conditions that qualify for the prediction for a future Buddha, it may be noted that a future Buddha always receives the prediction from a Buddha only. The *Pāṭha Jātaka Aṭṭhakathā* says:

“Even if a future Buddha has sufficiently fulfilled the perfections and has qualified himself with regard to the third condition, his assurance is realisable only in the presence of a living Buddha. After the Buddha has passed away, a matured Bodhisatta cannot have his prayer answered by way of prediction before a shrine dedicated to the Buddha or before a Bodhi Tree.”

The *Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā* also explains thus:–

“The phrase “Satthāradassananti” means the presence of a living Buddha. That indeed is so. A Bodhisatta’s prayer is answered by way of prediction if he has the opportunity of seeing a Buddha in person. In case the Buddha has passed away a Bodhisatta’s prayer cannot be fulfilled either before a shrine, or at the Bodhi Tree or in front of an image of the Buddha, or before a Paccekabuddha or a disciple. This is because the service rendered for Perfect Enlightenment is too great for any other Noble One than the Buddha to make the prediction.”

Herein the expression “only before a Buddha’s presence can the prediction be obtained (Buddhānaṃ eva pana santike samijjhati) may be understood on the analogy of the case of a Universal Monarch who alone can confer the right and title of Universal Monarch to his heir.

**A Possible Question**

**QUESTION:** Why are the Paccekabuddha and the disciples incompetent in this matter?

**ANSWER:** As the *Buddhavaṃsa Aṭṭhakathā* explains:–

Paccekabuddhas and disciples are incompetent to give their assurance to a future Buddha because they lack the wisdom to assess the real value of merit and its resultant that a Bodhisatta has amassed.

Incidentally, prediction as to Packekabodhi lies within the competency of a Paccekabuddha or even a disciple. See the *Suttanipāta* and *Apadāna* JA.i.14.
Commentaries, which say: “Assurance to a disciple obviously may be within the competency of a Paccekabuddha or a disciple.” 152

End of the Answer to the Fifteenth Question

Answer to the Sixteenth Question

The Chief Disciples (Aggasāvaka)

Sāriputta and Moggallāna are the two Chief Disciples (Aggasāvaka).

The Great Disciples (Mahāsāvaka)

Aññāta Koṇḍañña, Vappa, Bhaddiya, Mahānāma, Assaji, Nālaka, Yasa, Vimala, Subāhu, Puṇḍrāja, (10);

Gavampati, Uruvela-Kassapa, Nadī-Kassapa, Gayā-Kassapa, Mahā-Kassapa, Mahā-Kaccāna, Mahā-Koṭṭhika, Mahā-Kappina, Mahā-Cunda, Anuruddha, (10);

Kaṅkhā-Revata, Ānanda, Nanda, Bhagu, Nandiya, Kimbila, Bhaddiya, Rāhula, Sīvalī, Upāli, (10);

Dabba, Upasena, Khadiravaniya-Revata, Puṇṇa Mantāṇiputta, Puṇṇa of Sunāparanta, Sona Kuṭikanṇa, Sona Kolivisa, Rādha, Subhūti, Aṅgulimāla, (10);

Vakkali, Kāludāyī, Mahā-Udāyī, Piliṇḍavaccha, Sobhita, Kumāra-Kassapa, Raṭṭhapāla, Vaṅgīsa, Sabhīya, Sela, (10);

Upavāṇa, Meghiya, Sāgata, Nāgita, Lakuṇḍaka-Bhaddiya, Piṇḍolabhāradvāja, Mahāpanthaka, Cūḷapanthaka, Bākula, Koṇḍadhāna, (10);

Bāhiya Dārucirīya, Yasoja, Ajita, Tissametteyya, Puṇṇaka, Mettagū, Dhotaka, Upasīva, Nandaka, Hemaka, (10);

Todeyya, Kappa, Jātukaṇṇika, Bhadrāvudha, Udaya, Posāla, Mogharāja, Piṅgiya, (8).

These are the Seventy-eight Great Disciples

Although there are only seventy-eight, they are usually mentioned in the Commentaries and Subcommentaries as “Eighty Great Disciples (asītimahā-sāvakā).” That is a common practice in Pāḷi literature; e.g. for 547 birth stories of the Buddha, we have the “Five hundred and fifty birth stories;” a period of six months and fifteen days is called a six-month period (cha-māsa-kāla) etc.

Or taking it in another light:–

As the Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā explains: “Tathāpi, ...”153 the two Chief Disciples are to be counted as Great Disciples.

These counts of the Great Disciples are in accordance with what is mentioned in Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā, Aṅguttaranikāya-ṭīkā, Dvādasama-
nipāta Jātaka-ṭīkā. However, there is no mention of the eighty divided into forty at the right hand side and forty at the left hand side of the Buddha.

Out of those eighty, only forty-three are named as pre-eminent (etadagga) in the Āṅguttaranikāya, Etadagga Vagga. In the Apadāna Aṭṭhakathā, the Venerable Bhāgineyya-Upāli, nephew of Venerable Upāli, is also mentioned as pre-eminent in the observance of the Vinaya discipline. Of those 120 or so elders who began wishing for discipleship since the time of Padumuttara Buddha, only a few became Great Disciples under Gotama Buddha, and of the 206 or so elders included in Theragāthā, only 58 were Great Disciples. Such prominent elders as Adhimutta who received the prediction for Padumuttara Buddha are not among the Eighty Great Disciples. This points to the fact that fulfilment of the perfections well in excess of a hundred thousand great aeons after receiving the prediction for discipleship does not guarantee a disciple to be counted as a Great Disciple or to be honoured by the Buddha as the foremost in a certain aspect or quality. In this matter one should place implicit faith in the commentators and need not look for any unique prerequisites that go to make a Great Disciple. This has been explained in the Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā thus:–

“The term ‘Great Disciple’ is assigned to those disciples of the Buddha who had dedicated themselves to the service of their aspiration as long ago as the time of Padumuttara Buddha, and were therefore of superior standing in resolve; and had superior former action (pubbayoga); thereby being endowed with outstanding discrimination (paṭisambhidā) and attainments in concentration, they were called Great Disciples. Similarly, those endowed with superb knowledge by virtue of superb fulfilment of perfections are called Chief Disciples.”

In this connection many interesting aspects concerning the Chief Disciples and Great Disciples such as the superiority in putting in their service or extreme dedication, how their numbers are fixed, their special attributes and auspicious signs, etc., may be gathered from the Miscellaneous Decisions (Pakiṇṇaka Vinicchaya) of the Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā.

All male disciples other than the above Eighty Great Disciples, including bhikkhus and novices, should be noted as ordinary disciples (pakati sāvaka).

The Female Disciples (Sāvikā)

There are two chief female disciples: –

Therī Khemā the foremost in knowledge; and Therī Uppalavaṇṇa the foremost in psychic powers. They are called Aggasāvikā.
There are a little over ten foremost elder nuns such as Therī Mahāpajāpatī Gotamī, pre-eminent in maturity of wisdom (rattāññū), Therī Bhaddakaccānā (Bimbā Yasodharā), pre-eminent in psychic powers (abhiññā), Therī Dhammadinnā, pre-eminent in teaching the Dhamma, etc. They are called Mahāsāvikā.

All female disciples other than the above Great Elder Nuns, including bhikkhuṇī, sāmañerī, and sikkhamānā (probationers) are called ordinary female disciples (pakatisāvikā).

Although the Therīgāthā Aṭṭhakathā mentions three classes of female disciples — Aggasāvikā, Mahāsāvikā, and Pakatisāvikā — only the two Aggasāvikā are specifically named, but the number and names of the remaining two classes are not given.

Apart from the bhikkhus, bhikkhuṇī, sāmañera, sāmañerī, and sikkhamānā who belong to those six classes of disciples, i.e., Aggasāvaka, Mahāsāvaka, Pakatisāvaka, Aggasāvikā, Mahāsāvikā, Pakatisāvikā, the Buddha’s father and the Buddha’s mother, who won final Path Knowledge and Fruition like all other Path-winners of the human, Deva, or Brahmā worlds, had to fulfil the perfections for their honoured status over one hundred thousand great aeons, the same duration required for Great Discipleship.

The Ten Male Lay Devotees (Upāsaka)

The brothers Tapussa and Bhallika, the two foremost devotees in taking refuge in the Buddha and the Dhamma; Anāthapiṇḍika the householder, foremost in charity; Citta the householder of Macchikāsaṇḍika, foremost in teaching the Dhamma; Hatthaka Āḷavaka the observer of the precepts, foremost in the four objects of sympathy; Mahānāma the Sākyan, foremost in making choice offerings; Ugga the householder, foremost in giving away lovely things and in catering to the needs of the Saṅgha; Sūrambaṭṭha, foremost in steadfastness of faith; Jīvaka the physician, foremost in personal adoration; Nakulapitā, foremost in familiarity with the Buddha.

The Ten Female Lay Devotees (Upāsikā)

Sujāta the Rich Man’s daughter, foremost in taking refuge in the Triple Gem; Visākhā, foremost in charity, Khujjuttarā, foremost in generosity (dāna), kindly speech (peyyavajja), helpfulness (atthacariyā), and impartiality (samānattatā).
learning; Sāmāvatī, foremost in dwelling in absorption in loving-kindness; Uttarā, foremost in proficiency in attaining absorption; Suppavāsā, foremost in making choice offerings; Suppiyā, foremost in attending to sick bhikkhus; Kātiyānī, foremost in steadfastness of faith; Nakulamātā, foremost in familiarity with the Buddha; Kāḷī, foremost in steadfastness of faith even by hearsay.

All those lay devotees had started aspiring, verbally, for their enlightenment since the time of Padumuttara Buddha, one hundred thousand great aeons ago from our present Kappa. Of the two brothers Tapussa and Bhallika, the latter became a bhikkhu and won Arahatship, but since he won the honour of chief place among lay devotees in taking refuge in the Buddha and the Dhamma even before becoming a bhikkhu, he was listed in the ten lay devotees of prominence. Tapussa won stream-winning as a lay person. See Bhallika Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā for details.

All beings, whether man, Deva, Brahmā or Sakka, or famous devotees such as King Bimbisāra and Visākhā, must have fulfilled the perfections in appropriate measure too.

In this connection we have to consider the Buddhavamsa, particularly in the section on Sumedha’s receiving the prediction, where it is told as follows:–

“We who have assembled here, coming from the ten-thousand world-systems, might miss the chance of winning enlightenment under the present (Dīpaṅkara) Buddha, but we shall gain emancipation in the future i.e., after four and one hundred thousand great aeons, in the presence of Gotama Buddha when this future Buddha attains Buddhahood.

“Just as in crossing a river when one misses some marked spot on the yonder bank, one may take yet another spot lower down the current as one’s destination, so also we might perchance miss enlightenment under the present Buddha; however we shall, four incalculable aeons and a hundred-thousand great aeons hence, win enlightenment in the presence of (sammukhā) Gotama Buddha when the great recluse (Sumedha) attains Buddhahood.”

As the Pāṭha Jātaka Aṭṭhakathā in the Jātattakī Nidāna puts it:–

“On hearing the prophesy of Dīpaṅkara Buddha the multitude of men, Devas, and Brahmās rejoiced at the thought of the great recluse Sumedha as being a future Buddha, a nascent Buddha, and they were delighted at the prospect of their own enlightenment. For if a man were to cross a river he might not be able to swim to the river’s far bank directly opposite him, yet it is quite possible for him to land at some point lower down the river. So also,
even if we fail to attain the Path and its Fruition under Dīpankara Buddha we will be able to do so in your presence when you, Sumedha, become the Buddha in future. May we be able to do just that! So the multitude prayed.”

As desired for in the above two passages, the multitude belonging to the ten thousand world-systems after passing four incalculable aeons and one hundred thousand great aeons, won release from saṃsāra either as a bhikkhu or as lay devotees, i.e., as men, Devas and Brahmās.

The significance of the aspiration expressed by the multitude has been commented on by Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā thus:

“Theyir aspiration expressed in such terms as: ‘We shall win enlightenment in the presence of Gotama Buddha when the great recluse here attains Buddhahood,’ arising as it did out of wholesome consciousness aimed at release from the round of rebirths, could not be said to fall short of becoming a sufficient condition for Path Knowledge even after so long a period as four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons, i.e., it is a sufficient condition.”

The Mahāpadāna Sutta-ṭīkā further explains:

“The Buddhavaṃsa was expounded by Gotama Buddha beginning from Dīpankara Buddha by way of (vasena) those Devas and Brahmās who, having accumulated merit by fulfilling the perfections over durations comparable to those of the Chief Disciples and the Great Disciples, had not benefited from the Buddha’s great Wisdom. As for this Mahāpadāna Sutta, however, the Buddha Gotama related the story of the seven previous Buddhas beginning with Vipassī, by way of those Devas and Brahmās who had acquired merit by fulfilling the perfections over durations comparable to those of ordinary disciples.”

The Buddhavaṃsa begins from the time of Dīpankara Buddha and ends with Kassapa Buddha, altogether 25 Buddhas; while the Mahāpadāna Sutta begins from Vipassī Buddha to Kassapa Buddha, only 7 Buddhas. The former covers a period of four incalculable aeons and a hundred thousand great aeons whereas the later covers a period of 91 great aeons only. The reason for this difference is that the bulk of the audience at the discourse on the Buddhavaṃsa was made up of Devas and Brahmās who had begun their aspiration for enlightenment and fulfilled the perfections since the time of Dīpankara Buddha four incalculable aeons and a hundred-thousand great aeons previously, fit for enlightenment as Chief Disciples or as Great Disciples; whereas the bulk of the audience at the discourse on Mahāpadāna Sutta comprised Devas and Brahmās who had begun their aspiration for
enlightenment and fulfilled the perfections only from the time of Vipassī Buddha, 91 great aeons previously.

Considering the above statement, we can say that from those men, Devas, and Brahmās who started making their aspiration for enlightenment since the time of Dīpaṅkara Buddha, there must have been many who won release from saṃsāra either as a bhikkhu or as lay devotees, i.e., as men, Devas, or Brahmās under Gotama Buddha’s Teaching.

Accordingly, it should be noted that there is practically no difference in the duration of fulfilling the perfections for one who wishes to win enlightenment as a bhikkhu or as a lay devotee. Both of the aspirants need only to fulfil the minimum duration of two or three Buddha intervals.

One significant point: There were certain disciples who became instant bhikkhus, i.e., fully equipped with a bhikkhu’s requisites on the calling up by the Buddha, “Come, monk!” (ehi bhikkhu). Now, if one wishes such happy facility one must in the past existences have offered a set of three yellow robes (ticīvara) at least, if not the full set of eight requisites¹ for a bhikkhu, to the Buddha or to a Paccekabuddha, or to a Noble disciple, or to a virtuous worldling (kalyāṇa puthujjana) or to a pagoda (cetiya) or to a Bodhi Tree. For anyone who makes such an offering wishing for the honour of being called up by the Buddha into the Order may have his prayer satisfied.

On this point we may refer to the commentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta, Mahāvagga¹⁵⁹ and the Subcommentary thereon.¹⁶⁰

That a woman can never be called to join the Order as an instant bhikkhuṇī has been noted in the Therīgāthā Aṭṭhakathā and Sāratthadīpanī-ṭīkā.

This statement applies only to those female aspirants who won enlightenment as female in their last existence; for them the offerings of the yellow robes resulted in some special boon in the last existence, as shown by the stories of Visākhā and Queen Mallikā who came to possess the unique set of jewelled ornaments called “The Great Creeper” (mahālatā).² That is the utmost resultant that may accrue from their past merit by donating robes. However, should a female aspirant give up her attachment to her own sex and wish for male existence leading to the honour of being called upon into the Order, as ehi-bhikkhu, she may make offerings of the eight requisites of a bhikkhu in which case her wish can be satisfied.

¹The eight requisites: the three robes, the alms bowl, a razor, a needle, a girdle, water-strainer.
²Mahālatā: this parure is unique, for apart from the two ladies mentioned here, only a third lady (of Rājagaha) is said to possess it. It is made with gold and jewels of such weight that it could be worn only by a woman of extraordinary physical strength.
There are some who misquote a certain passage from the Āyatana Yamaka Mūla-ṭīkā and say that female aspirants in their past existence never attain Arahantship and realise parinibbāna, but that they would have to change their sex then. These writers claim that such change of sex is the natural condition for all female aspirants at their last existence.

That assertion is a misquotation only. It fails to appreciate the passage: “Yā ca itthiyo ...” in the Indriya Yamaka, and the passage: “Bhavantare hi tassa ...” in the Subcommentary connected with the quotation. What those passages purport to say is that female aspirants do not always realise parinibbāna as females because there is the natural law under which a male may, on account of some grave demerit in his present existence, turn female, and a female may, on account of her greatness of merit in her present existence, turn male. That being so, a female aspirant, if endowed with special merit in her last existence, must indeed turn male (implies rare cases only).

For details see the Niruttimañjūsā.

In the present Quotation the phrase: “Buddhasāvakāviya devamanussa-brahmāṇo” indicates that whereas there are actually only five classes of Buddha’s followers who are disciples of the Buddha (Buddhasāvaka) and Noble Disciples (ariyasāvaka), i.e., bhikkhu, bhikkhuṇī, sikkhamāna, sāmaṇera, and sāmaṇerī, who have attained the Path, and are real Noble Ones, by extended meaning those who have not attained the Path and are just worldlings i.e., bhikkhu, bhikkhuṇī, sikkhamāna, sāmaṇera, sāmaṇerī, and lay persons, whether men or Devas or Brahmās, also go by the name “Disciples of the Buddha” and “Noble Ones.”

As the Commentary on the Aṅguttaranikāya (Ones) explains:–

With regard to this term “Ariyasāvaka” there are those who are just Noble Ones and not disciples such as Buddhas and Paccekabuddhas; there are those who are disciples, but not Noble Ones such as those devotees established in the Triple Gem but not yet having attained the Fruition of the Path; there are those who are neither disciples nor Noble Ones such as those vast numbers of non-believers (titthiya); and there are those who are both disciples and Noble Ones such as those true sons of the Buddha (Sakyaputtiyo), who have understood the Teaching, and who have attained the Fruition of the Path. However, in the present context of this Sutta, the term “Ariyasāvaka” embraces all those, whether bhikkhu or not, who, on account of being learned in the Dhamma (sutavā), are to be classed as Noble Disciples.”

Lay devotees who have won the Path are also termed Noble Disciples in its extended meaning, as explained in Milindapañha:–
“O Great King! There are in the City of Sāvatthi, male and female devotees who have attained to the Third Stage of Enlightenment having won the Fruition of a Non-Returner (anāgāmi), numbering fifty million, five hundred and fifty three thousand, all of whom are leading lay lives only; and in the City of Rājagaha there are Noble Ones, none of them bhikkhus, but just lay devotees of both sexes, amounting to five million and three hundred thousand.” 165

A Possible Question

**QUESTION:** What are the sizes of the multitudes that proved to be accessible to instruction as indicated by the twin terms used in the phrase “Buddha-savāka viya devamanussabrahmānopi”?

**ANSWER:** The Subcommentary on the Silakkhandha Vagga, explaining the Soṇadaṇḍa Sutta says:–

Since on twenty-four occasions there were an infinite number of men, Devas, and Brahmās who had the privilege of drinking the deathless elixir of the Path’s Fruition; and since there were a trillion men, Devas, and Brahmās who had the privilege of drinking the deathless elixir of the Path’s Fruition, on countless number of occasions, the Blessed One, on account of the unrivalled training he opened up to the multitudes, is called the Teacher of the multitudes who were ready to receive his instruction and therefore were ripe for enlightenment.” 166

From that subcommentator’s explanation, the sum total of the twenty-four myriads who won enlightenment on the twenty-four great occasions, and the countless multitudes who had the good fortune to be present at the countless number of occasions of the Buddha’s making a discourse, represent the magnitude of those who proved to be accessible to instruction.

In the Samantabhaddikā, also called the Anāgatavaṃsa, it is stated that while the Buddha was dwelling in the House of Jewels (Ratanāghara) for seven days soon after attaining Buddhahood, he foresaw that twenty-four myriads, four trillion and seven hundred tractable beings would win release from saṃsāra. 167

In the Sotattakā and Tathāgatuppatti, it is said that it is usual for all the Teaching of Buddha’s that each of them resulted in the release of twenty-four myriads, six trillion and one hundred thousand beings. 168

The Jinālankāra, and its Subcommentary too, state that twenty-four myriads of beings gain release under every Buddha’s Teaching. 169
It does not matter if a certain number is slightly less or slightly more — Appakamūnamadhikaṃ vā gaṇanūpagaṃ na hoti. However, the term myriads (asaṅkhyeyya), itself needs scrutiny.

There are certain schools of thought that the term signifies the same infinite numbers as used in respect of duration of time (kālavāsī). Hence they consider one myriad as the same term that describes infinite intervals in the Sotattakī and Apadāna Aṭṭhakathā, which is a certain number arrived at as multiples of ten (dasagunita). This view is rejected by the Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā which says that myriad is an incalculable number and not a numerical value of special significance as certain people say.\(^{170}\)

This view of myriad as a number beyond reckoning (gaṇanapathavītivatta) has also been supported by the Jinālāṅkāra-ṭīkā.\(^{171}\)

The Udāna Aṭṭhakathā and other commentaries also describe the number attaining the Path on those great occasions as merely “Uncountable” (gaṇanā natthi), “Immeasurable” (gaṇana paricchedo natthi), “Beyond reckoning” (gaṇanapatham vitivattā), “Beyond counting” (gaṇanapatham attikkantā), etc.\(^{172}\)

In the Milindapañha it is said: “Devas incalculable in numbers saw the light of the Dhamma (gaṇanapathamatītānaṃ devatānaṃ dhammābhisamayo).”

In the Aṅguttaranikāya Aṭṭhakathā the same idea of an incalculable number is expressed as infinity (asaṅkhyeyya),\(^{173}\) as is the case with the Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā and the Khuddakapāṭha Aṭṭhakathā on the Maṅgala Sutta.\(^{174}\) Therefore it should be noted that the terms “Gaṇanaparicchedo natthi,” etc., referred to above, are synonyms of asaṅkhyeyya. That being so, an instance of such uncountable numbers is expressed as one myriad two instances as two myriads, and so on, as the occasion arises.

A Possible Question

**QUESTION:** When did those occasions arise?

**ANSWER:** As the Milindapañha cites various commentaries and subcommentaries on this subject, we may refer to its list of the following twelve occasions when the Buddha gave the discourses mentioned therein:–

1. Mahārāhulovāda Sutta
2. Mahāmaṅgala Sutta
3. Samacitta Sutta
4. Parābhava Sutta
5. Purābheda Sutta
6. Kalahavivāda Sutta
7. Cūḷabyūha Sutta
8. Tuvaṭaka Sutta
9. Sāriputta Sutta
10. Dhammacakka Sutta
11. Buddhavaṃsa-desanā
12. Mahāsamaya Sutta.

We may add the following three more as mentioned in the Aṭṭhakathā: on the occasion of:–

13. Cūḷarāhulovāda Sutta
14. Mahābyūha Sutta
15. Sammāparibbājanīya Sutta

Thus there are altogether fifteen occasions when an infinite multitude won enlightenment, i.e., fifteen myriads of them.

Then there are the following six occasions where tens of millions won enlightenment:–

1. Display of the Buddha’s miraculous powers at Kaṇḍa’s Mango Tree: two hundred million;
2. Taming of Dhanapāla elephant: nine hundred million;
3. Pārāyana Sutta: fourteen hundred million;
4. Sakkapañha Sutta: at Indasāla Cave, eight hundred million;
5. When the Abhidhamma was taught: eight hundred million;
6. On the Buddha’s descent from Tāvatiṃsa: there hundred million.

Then there are the following fifteen occasions on which eighty-four thousand hearers won enlightenment:–

1. Discourse to Sumana the florist;
2. Discourse to Garahadinna;
3. Discourse to Ānanda the householder;
4. Discourse to Jambuka;
5. Discourse to Maṇḍūka, son of the Deva;
6. Discourse to Maṭṭhakuṇḍali, son of the Deva;
7. Discourse to Sulassā;
8. Discourse to Sirimā;
9. Discourse to the weaver’s daughter;
10. Discourse to Cūḷasubhaddā;
11. Discourse to Sāketa the Brahmin;
12. Discourse at Sunāparanta;
13. Discourse to Sakka in reply to his questions;
14. Discourse to the hungry ghosts outside the walls (Tirokuḍḍa Sutta).¹
15. When Ratana Sutta (Paritta) was taught.

N.B. The numbers who won enlightenment vary slightly between what is given in the Milindapañha and what is given in the various commentaries on the Suttas.

It will be seen that all those lists do not amount to twenty-four myriads as claimed by the Silakkhandha-ṭīkā and similar writings. Yet their claim as to incalculable numbers winning enlightenment should not be rejected out of hand. For even in the commentaries there are suggestions that would support the claim. To wit:–

In the Mahāpadāna Sutta Aṭṭhakathā we have:–

(a) “Just as there are four kinds of lotus, there are four kinds of individuals (puggala), namely: ughhaṭitaññū, vipaṅcitaññū, neyya, and padaparama.
(b) “Of those four, he who can grasp the Four Noble Truths on just hearing the Dhamma in essence is called “ughhaṭitaññū.”
(c) “He who can grasp the Four Noble Truths on just hearing a brief exposition of the Dhamma, is called “vipaṅcitaññū.”
(d) “He who can grasp the Four Noble Truths gradually after being taught the text or the commentary thereon; and pondering on the Dhamma with proper attention; assisted by some competent friend in the Dhamma who teaches the practice and on whom he waits day and night; is called “neyya.”
(e) “He who, in spite of much learning the text and the commentaries, and the subcommentaries, much committing them to memory, much listening to expositions thereon, and much teaching and writing of them himself, cannot grasp the Four Noble Truths, is called “padaparama.”
(f) “As the Buddha considers those four types, they are inhabiting the ten thousand world-systems, like the lotus flowers in a pond among which the “ughhaṭitaññū” are like flowers already in bloom now; the “vipaṅcitaññū,” like those that are due to bloom the next day; the “neyya,”

¹ Tirokuḍḍa Sutta: A Peta, lit., “A departed one,” is one of the four miserable existences characterized by continuous thirst and hunger. The hungry ghosts in this story, in their former existence as human beings, had obstructed others in their alms-giving operations for which they fell, at their death, into the realms of incessant torture (niraya). They were reborn as hungry ghosts after ninety-two aeons at the time of Kassapa Buddha. After having suffered as hungry ghosts from then up to the time of Gotama Buddha, King Bimbisāra, their kinsman in their former existence, gave alms-food to the Buddha and dedicated the good deed to them as the result of which they instantly gained excellent state.
like those that are due to bloom the day after; and the “padaparama,” like those that are going to end up being devoured by fish and turtles.

(g) “As the Buddha reviewed the multitudes thus, he knew that certain beings had only little dust of defilements that obstructed their vision of the Truth, and that certain others were thickly obstructed. He (also) saw in all possible ways (sabbākārato) the extent of those readily responsive to his teaching, i.e., the “ugghaṭitaññū.”

(h) “Amongst the multitudes, the three types, i.e., the ugghaṭitaññū, the vipañcitaññū, and the neyya, were liable to get the benefit of the Teaching by winning the Fruition of Path Knowledge here and now; whereas for the padaparama type the Teaching would serve as some strong impression on their mind (vāsanā) so that is might lead to enlightenment in the next two or three existences: thus the Buddha saw. When he saw thus he was disposed to teach the Dhamma. Then he divided all the beings in the three realms (bhūmi) of human, Deva, and Brahmā into two categories: these that were going to gain enlightenment here and now, and those that were not going to do so here and now.

(i) “Of those multitudes, after setting aside those who did not merit release from saṃsāra here and now, the Buddha scanned with Buddha Knowledge those ripe for enlightenment according to their moral traits (carita) and reckoned that so many of them had lust (rāga) as their outstanding nature; so many had anger (dosa); so many had delusion (moha); so many were given to discursiveness (vitakka); so many had faith (saddhā); and so many had intelligence (buddhi), respectively. Those six types of temperaments, the Buddha considered should form the basis for teaching the Dhamma.”

In the above quotation, the term “Etattakā” as contained in the phrase, “Etaṃ parimānaṃ etesanti ettatākā,” the Buddha foresaw the actual numbers belonging to each group or type of humanity. This reckoning by the Buddha, it should be noted, must be what the Silakkhandha-ṭīkā and similar writings depended on as their authority. Hence one need not entertain doubts as to their correctness.

End of the Answer to the Sixteenth Question

Answers to the Remaining Questions

The four questions, from the sixteenth to the twentieth, will be answered together. Regarding the place of appearance of the Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas and disciples, a future Buddha who has received the prediction appears only in our world-system; there are eighteen places where such a future Buddha
does not appear, according to the commentary which says: “They never arise in any world-system other than this,” (Na aññaṃ cakkavāḷaṃ saṅkamanti). That means a Bodhisatta who has received the prediction will not be reborn in any other world-system.

Before receiving the prediction, a Bodhisatta may be born in another world-system, probably in the earlier existences.

As to whether a Bodhisatta after receiving the prediction, up to his last existence, is liable to be reborn in continents other than Jambudīpa, the authorities are silent. However, on account of the power of the merit accruing from the supreme endeavour (Mahābhinihāra) and the steadfastness of the Bodhisatta’s purpose to fulfil the perfections — the other continents being not suitable for the purpose — it is most unlikely that he be reborn in any of those contents, even in this world-system.

The steadfastness of the Bodhisatta in fulfilling the perfection is told by the commentator with reference to the Mahāpadāna Sutta as follows:—

“Except for the penultimate existence when a Bodhisatta is reborn in the Tusita Deva realm, whenever he is reborn in Deva or Brahmā realms where life-spans are immense, he never lives to the end of the allotted life-span, but wishes to decease to return to the human world. The reason is simply this: there is hardly any chance in those highly fortunate realms for him to fulfil the ten perfections. However, in the course of fulfilling the perfections, a Bodhisatta may conduct himself in such meritorious ways that there comes a time when he judges himself that the second last existence is now due to him; and accordingly, prior to the last existence as a human being when he is to become the Buddha, Bodhisatta Vipassī dwelt in the Tusita Deva realm to the full life-span there.¹⁷⁶

The Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā further explains:—

(a) Beginning from that existence of the Bodhisatta when he started wishing for Buddhahood up to his last existence, there is no single existence he remains totally without fulfilling the perfections beginning with giving. This is the natural law that governs every Bodhisatta who has received the sure prediction (niyatipatitānaṃ).

(b) Further, for so long as the Bodhisatta has not acquired mastery over the volitional actions and their consequences, their efforts at fulfilling the perfections have deficiencies to a lesser or greater degree. When, however, they have full mastery over the volitional actions and their implications, starting from that points, their efforts at all times come to be marked by

¹ A Bodhisatta who has received the prediction is endowed with the power to end his existence as a Deva merely by wishing for it.
earnest, persevering performance with the thoroughness that enlighten-
ment demands.”

From the foregoing explanations, it may be noted that other three
continents do not constitute a suitable place for a Bodhisatta’s mission to
acquire merit; hence they are not the kind of place where Noble Ones thrive,
where Buddhas arise, where future Buddhas are born.

With reference to the Valāhaka Jātaka (Dukanipāta), the future Gotama
Buddha was reborn in Sīhala (Sri Lanka) which indicates that a Bodhisatta
may be reborn in any part of Jambudīpa such as on a lesser island or in the
Himavanta Forest, etc. The same rule should apply in the cases of future
Paccekabuddhas, future Chief Disciples and future Great Disciples who have
received the prediction.

This is also stated in the Mahāpadāna Sutta-ṭīkā as: “Yasmā purima-
buddhānaṃ, etc.”

A story is told, in six stanzas, such as: “Sāmākapattodana mattameva, etc.”
in the Text, of a certain future disciple who offered a small measure of coarse
rice as almsfood to a Paccekabuddha, and being inspired by the supramun-
dane knowledge of the Paccekabuddha, he wished that he be reborn in the
company of such a Noble One, and that he be free from any attachment to
the three worlds. This prayer, solely directed towards release from saṃsāra,
resulted in his rebirth in Uttarakuru, the northern continent for one thousand
existences and also in the Tāvatiṃsa Deva realm for one thousand existences,
after which he gained release under Gotama Buddha. This story indicates
that if a certain continent be the preference of a future enlightened one, such
as a future disciple, rebirth there is a possibility. Furthermore, there is no
authority that rules out the possibility of rebirth in other continents so long
as they are quite premature, i.e., before receiving the prediction.

There is a certain extract in texts such as the Mahāparinibbāna Sutta,179
which describes how the Buddha sometimes visited the other world-systems
to teach the Dhamma, and commentaries on the Mahāvagga Suttanta,
Majjhimapaṇṇāsa, and Aṅguttaranikāya explain the significance of the said
extract thus:

“What was the objective of the Buddha in going and teaching the Dhamma
to the inhabitants of other world-systems, seeing that they did not have the
slightest idea who the teacher was — whether he was a human being or a
celestial being? To this question the answer is this: the Buddha did so to let
those inhabitants receive at least some impression in their minds. For, even
by listening to the discourse without understanding its meaning, the very
experience would, at some point in the future, *i.e.*, their future existences, stand them in good stead as a strong impression that helps in the understanding of the Dhamma. So, the Buddha taught for their future welfare."

Having been inspired by the Buddha’s words, those inhabitants would pass away to Deva realms from where, at the following existence, they would, in due course, be reborn in Jambudīpa, and, having acquired the necessary conditions for becoming virtuous ones, they would fulfil the perfections until they win enlightenment, as Disciples, Paccekabuddhas, or Buddhas, according to their aspirations and merits.

That indeed is so. In the beginningless *saṃsāra* no sentient being could be said to belong to this or that world-system. All are just drifting along here and there as their attachment to existence (*bhavanikanti*) assigns them.

The above statement has been borne out by the story of Rohitassa who set out to find the end of the world. He flew by supernormal power and after passing through a number of world-systems his life-span ran out; after passing away he was reborn in this world-system to which he had attachment.

Commenting on the Anamatagga Samyutta, the commentator says: “Beings at their death are liable to go from this world-system to other world-systems, and vice versa.”

This is a critical survey of the possibility or otherwise of the three classes of aspirants (*i.e.*, for Discipleship, for Paccekabodhi and for Perfect Enlightenment) for their taking rebirth after the prediction, in places other than this world-system and this continent, more particularly, this subcontinent called Middle Country (Majjhimadesa).

There are three regions of the Buddha, namely:–

1. The *visaya-khetta* where the Buddha’s Knowledge may extend, that is an infinite world-system;
2. The *āṇā-khetta*, comprising one trillion world-systems over which the Buddha’s word has powerful effect, especially the Paritta Suttas;
3. The *jātikhetta*, the region comprising ten thousand world-systems where a Buddha may be reborn in his final existence.

Out of those three regions it is only in the last one that a Bodhisatta in his last existence may be born, and out of those ten thousand world-systems, only this Jambudīpa is the right place, and within this continent, only the Middle Country with a circumference of nine leagues is the *Ariya-bhūmi* where the Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas, the Chief Disciples, some of the Great Disciples and ordinary disciples may be born.
This is stated in the commentaries on the Dīghanikāya, Majjhimanikāya, Aṅguttaranikāya, and Saṃyuttanikāya thus: “Manussaloke pi ... etc.” 181

There are certain Great Disciples, from among the eighty, who were born outside the Middle Country such as Puṇṇa Thera of Sunāparanta and Mahākappina Thera. As for ordinary disciples it goes without saying that some may be born outside of the Middle Country.

Now, what is meant by the so called Middle Country?

According to the Vinaya Mahāvagga 182 it is that extent of land bounded:–

1. In the East by the great Sal tree growing at the outskirts of the market town of Gajaṅgala;
2. in the South-East by River Sallavaṭī;
3. in the South by the market town of Setakaṇṇika;
4. in the West by the brahmin village of Thūṇa; and
5. in the North by Mount Usīraddhaja.

However, the commentaries call the entire Jambudīpa including Sīhala and the lesser islands the Middle Country. For instance, the Aṅguttaranikāya Aṭṭhakathā says: “Api ca upādāya ... etc.,” 183 and the Subcommentary thereon further explains: “Upādāyā pi ... etc.” 184

The Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā, Khuddakapāṭha Aṭṭhakathā, commenting on the Maṅgala Sutta, say: “Patirūpadesavāso nāma ... etc.” 185

From the above commentaries, we may reply to this Question saying that all Buddhas, Paccekabuddhas, the Chief Disciples, the Great Disciples and Ordinary Disciples are born only in the Middle Country. This is said with reference to the human plane of existence or the human world, i.e., in so far as humans are concerned. For with reference to Deva and Brahmā planes the Middle Country is a term referring to the ten world-systems that constitute the Jāti-khetta. The Jāti-khetta of ten thousand world-systems has been mentioned in commentarial literature, which record the following instances. Devas and Brahmās living in the ten thousand world-systems:–

1. Assembled on the occasion of future Gotama Buddha receiving the prediction;
2. Assembled when the Bodhisatta made his aspiration for Buddhahood; and when he was conceived in the royal womb of Queen Māyā Devī;
3. During the gestation period of nine months, forty-thousand kings of Catumahārāja Devas mounted watch over the royal mother;
4. Devas and Brahmās living in the ten thousand world-systems assembled at the birth of Prince Siddhattha, the Bodhisattva, at the great renunciation, and at the attaining of Buddhahood;
5. Devas and Brahmās living in the ten thousand world-systems headed by Brahmā Sahampati came in a body before the Buddha, dwelling at the foot of the Herdsman’s Banyan Tree, to plea for teaching the Dhamma to the world;
6. From the time of the first discourse, i.e., the Dhammacakka Sutta, and all later discourses, up to the last moment of the existence of the Buddha’s Teaching when the Buddha’s relics will be dissolved by phenomenal fire element, Devas and Brahmās living in the ten thousand world-systems, are wont to assemble, and win path knowledge in great numbers on every such occasion.

End of the answers to the remaining questions

After having framed the twenty questions as above, as undertaken at the outset, the great teacher concluded his tract by exhorting the would-be answerers to delve deep into all forms of canonical literature including the Text, Commentaries, Subcommentaries, etc., and to exert their utmost, putting aside all other business. In this he also explains his position in such terms as:

“It is usual with questioners ... (Mayaṃ codakānāma ...)” Also it is the custom of wise persons to praise the praiseworthy and to blame the blameworthy, so that the questioner extols the competent answerer in such glowing terms as “standard-bearers of the Buddha’s Teaching”, etc., and runs down the charlatan in such seething terms as “the tree-stump,” etc.

End of Explanatory Remarks on the Way of the Wise

Conclusion

1. At the present time there are elder bhikkhus, i.e., of over 10 years standing, the middle status bhikkhus of 5 to 10 years standing, and new bhikkhus of less than 5 years standing, who are proficient in the Tipiṭaka, i.e., Suttanta, Vinaya, and Abhidhamma; and most of them owe their excellent learning (pabhāva nibaddhā) to the intellectual might (byattibala) of our great teacher the Sankyaung Sayādaw, recipient of the royal title of Sudassanavara-dhammasāmi-mahādhamma-rājādhirājaguru.
2. Being one of those learned bhikkhus of the three grades of seniority who had been pupils of the great teacher, who is accustomed to clearing up knotty problems contained in the text, commentaries,
subcommentaries, etc., and who is imperturbable against the vicissitudes of life.

3. I, ṇāṇābhivaṃsa, the most senior lecturer in the Tipiṭaka in our monastery, having served reverentially at the feet of the great teacher, have, in the foregoing pages, tackled the twenty erudite questions posed by the great teacher. For this work of mine, written in 1241 Burmese Era when I had completed 14 Rains (vassa) of monkhood, I have earned vast merit. On account of that merit:–

4. May all rulers, moved by genuine concern about the welfare of the people, extend their protection over the whole world in accordance with righteousness. May the multitudes at all times have mindfulness, careful about good conduct, and win, according to their deserts, their goals by way of enlightenment in any one of the three classes. This is my concluding prayer.\textsuperscript{186}

\textit{Here ends the Pāramī Dīpanī}
Pāḷi Text References

Namo Tassa Bhagavato Arahanto Sammāsambuddhassa

Question One

Question Two

Question Three

Question Four
(a) Ye ca sammasambuddhā kappa-satasahassādhikāni cattāri vā asaṅkhyeyyāni atṭha vā asaṅkhyeyyāni solasava asaṅkhyeyyāni dānādīpāramiyo puretvā sammā sambodhīṃ pāpuṇāti.
(b) Ye ca paccekabuddhā kappa-satasahassadāhikāni dvē-asāṅkhyeyyāni dānādīpāramiyo puretvā paccekabodhīṃ pāpuṇāti.
(c) Ye ca aṭṭhasāvākā kappa-satasahassādikāni ekaṃ asaṅkhyeyyāṃ dānādīpāramiyo puretvā aṭṭhasāvakabodhīṃ pāpuṇāti.
(d) Ye ca mahāsāvākā kappa-satasahassāni dānādīpāramiyo puretvā mahāsāvakabodhīṃ pāpuṇāti.
(e) Ye ca paṭṭhānāvākā kappa-satavā vā dānādīpāramiyo puretvā paṭṭhānāvakabodhīṃ pāpuṇāti. Tesaṃ taṃ taṃ kālapariccheda taṃ taṃ icchita-bodhi-paṭṭhānato taṃ taṃ gaṇanupagā kim udahu laddha-vyākaranato.

Question Five
Taṃ taṃ bodhinīna laddha-vyākaranāva pāramiyo puretvā bujjheyyum kim atha laddha-vyākaranāpi.

Question Six
Teca laddha-vyākaraṇa aṭṭha aṇṇada aṇṇaṃ aṇṇam bodhi-pattheyyuṃ kim atha na pattheyyuṃ.

Question Seven

Question Eight

Question Nine
Yo paccekabodhiṃ patthetvā dānādīpāramiyo pureti so paccekabodhipāramiṇāṇa puṇṇapuṇṇakāle aṇṇambodhiṃ pattheyya kim. No vā pattheyya. Yadi pattheyya so labheyya kim no vā labheyya. Yadi labheyya so aparipuṇṇa-paramiṇiyaya pāramiyo puretvā labheyya kim. Atha navā navā pāramiyo puretvā labheyya.
Question Ten

Question Eleven
Paccekabuddha buddhasāvakāpi sammāsambuddhāviya paripūṇa tiṃsa pāramiyo puretvāva bujjheyyuṃ kiṃ atha aparipūṇa ekaccā paramīyava pāramīya puretvā bujjheyyuṃ.

Question Twelve
Pakatisāvakā sabbantima-paricchedena kītakaṃ pāramiyo puretvāva bujjheyyuṃ. Yathā vuttakalapa-paricchede meva pāramiyo puretvāva bujjheyyuṃ kiṃ.

Question Thirteen

Question Fourteen
Buddha paccekabuddha buddhasāvakā samānaṅga-sampannāva vyākaraṇaṃ labheyyuṃ kiṃ. Yathā vissāsida-āṅgasampannāva va vyākaraṇaṃ labheyyuṃ.

Question Fifteen
Buddhā eva vyākaraṇaṃ kītayaṃsū kiṃ atha paccekabuddha buddhasāvakā pi.

Question Sixteen
Buddhasāvakā viya deva-manussa-brahmāno pi yathā vuttakāla-paricchede meva pāramiyo puretvā bujjheyyuṃ kiṃ. Atha tatūnā pāramiyo puretvā bujjheyyuṃ.

Question Seventeen
Imasmiṃ yeva cakkavāle buddha paccekabuddha buddhasāvakā upajjeyyuṃ kiṃ. Atha aṅnasimipi cakkavāle.

Question Eighteen
Teca imasmiṃ yeva cakkavāle jāyamāna pāramiyo pūresuṃ kiṃ atha aṅnasimipi cakkavāle.

Question Nineteen
Teca imasmiṃ cakkavāle pi jambudīpe yeva jāyamāna pāramiyo pūresuṃ kiṃ. Udāhu sesadīpesupi.

Question Twenty
Teca imasmiṃ yeva jambudīpe majjhimadese yeva jāyamāna pāramiyo pūresuṃ kiṃ. Atha paccantadesepi.

Paṇḍita-dhammatā Vākya
(a) Mayaṃ codakānāma ekadesapekkhakā no sakalapekkhakā. Sace sakalapekkhakā bhaveyya ma esa pucchā na siyā. Bhavati ca etāya pucchāya diṭṭhāya taṃ vissajjanaṃ vattaritabbaṃ.
(b) Kasmā pucchāvissajjanānaṃ aññamaññaṇaṃ avinābhāvībhāvato. Yattha yattha pade vissajjane sati Tathā tatthuddharitabbā, pucchā tāva vibhāvinā ti Etissā gāthāya ekapassadassanena nayabhāvato ca.
(c) Yattha yattha pade vissajjane sati Tathā tatthuddharitabbā, pucchā tāva vibhāvinā ti Etissā gāthāya ekapassadassanena nayabhāvato ca.
(d) No ce vuttanayena vinodetum sakkothe. Khānukāviya ca indakhīta viya ca acalā pajjhāyantā patakhandhā tuṇhi bhāta hota.
(e) Kasmā hi tuṇhibhūtā tumhādesihi atissayapaññavā taṇā vissajjana samattho sīhanādesu kesarasīhoviya pariyaṭṭhiyaṃ atvisorado bahussata-kittisaddo purisavisesova vibhajja-cyākaraṇa-vissajjanena vissajjissati.

022 **Vissajjavaka ganthārambha**

(a) Subuddabodhi sambhāro, bodhesi bodhimuttamaṃ bodhaneyyaṃ subuddhena, boddhī sah detu me jayaṃ,
(b) Yā katā visātāpucchā, thereva thiracetasā tassatthāṃ kathayissāmi, sampuṇaṃ sa vissajjananti.

023 **Patisambhidāmagga Gaṇṭhi:**

Gānthārambhe satamatthaya-paṇāmaṃ yathārabhita-ganthassa antarārgena pariya pāpanatthāṃ evaṃ niṭṭhānaṃi ca buddhe katapanāmeneva hessaṭītī tinnannassati matibhumānā pacitipasada bahulattā buddhassesva namo kato.

024 **Ibid.**

Ratanatthayaassavā tathāgata-guṇena ekatthattā buddhe katapanāmo avasesanavassāpi katovā taṇā dvayassā savūpato paṇāmaṃ na karoti.

025 **Commentary on the Dhammacetiya Sutta:**

Tīsu hi ratanesu yattha kathacī cattikāre kate sabbattha kathaya hoti. (MA.iii.355)

026 **Commentary on the Āṅguttaranikāya:**

Desanā sisameva cetaṃ, iminā pana anāgāri sa ṭupri ratanesa saddhā adhippetā. Yassa hi buddhādīsu pasādo balavā, tassa padhānavīriyaṃ ijjhati. (AA.iii.257)

027 **Udāna:**

“Bāhitvā pāpaka dhamme, Yē caranti saddhā satā. Ḍhīṇasaṃyojanaṃ buddhā, te ve lokasmi brāhmaṇa’ti.” (Ud.4)

028 **Paramatthadīpanī, Commentary on the Udāna:**

Buddhāti catuccassammodhena buddhā te ca pana sāvakeuddhā, paccakabuddhā, sammāsambhidhāti tividhā, tesu idha sāvakabuddhā adhippetā. (UdA.58)

029 **Subcommentary on the Mahavagga, Dīghanikāya:**

Buddharatana sanātaranupatthāne heva dhammaratanupatthānasiddhīti. (MT.342)

030 **Patisambhidāmagga Gaṇṭhi:**

Elaborating on the explanation in the Commentary: Abhiṇādetvā ti pañcapatiṭṭhitena vanditvā defines the proper act of kneeling in worship thus: Pañcapatiṭṭhitena ti dvijānu dvihathananalaṭeti pañcahi patiṭṭham katvā.

031 **Sāratthadīpanī-ṭīkā on the Bhikkhunovāda Vagga:**

Antogāme vātā ādīsu yattha pañca anāgāri bhūmiyaṃ patiṭṭhapetvā vandituṃ na sakka hoti, tattha thitāya eva kāyaṃ purato nāmevā “Vandāmi ayyā” ti aṭṭhaliṃ paggayha gantumpi vaṭṭati.

032 **Vinayālāṅkāra-ṭīkā, quoting the Parivāra:**

Navakatarenupāli, bhikkhunāuddhatarassa bhikkhuno pāde vandantena pañca dhamme ajjhatam upaṭṭhapetoḥ pāda vanditabba.

033 **Commentary on the Theragāthā:**

Vandissāṃ bahukaṃ jananti puthumahājanaṃ diṭṭhadiṭṭhakāle vandiṃ sirasi aṭṭhaliṃ karonto paṇāmiṃ. (ThagA.ii.264)
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Therīgāthā:
Nihacca jānuṃ vanditvā sammukhā aṅjaliṃ akaṃ. (Thig.134)

Commentary on the above passage:
Nihacca jānuṃ vanditvā ti jānuṃ upavattā pathaviyānaṃ nihantaṃ pañcapiṭṭhinīṃ tād api vanditvā. Sammukhā aṅjaliṃ akanti satthu sammukhā dasanakhasodhānasamujjalaṃ aṅjaliṃ akāsiṃ. (ThigA.107)

Nidāna, Commentary:
Dasanakhasodhānasamujjalaṃ jalajamālāvikalakamala.

Maṅgala Buddhavaṃsa Commentary:
Dasanakhasodhānasamujjalaṃ vimalaka malamakulsamamaṅjaliṃ sirasi katvā vanditvā. (BuA.146)

Buddhavaṃsa:
Abhivādanaṃ thomanīca, vandanaṃ pasamsanaṃ;
Namassanaṃ pujīca, sabbārahaṃ tuvaṃ. (Bu.4)

Commentary on the Buddhavaṃsa:
Tatha abhivādananti aṅehi attano abhivādanakārāpanaṃ. Thomananti parammukhato thuti.
Vandananti paṇāmananti. Pasamsanaṃti sammukhato pasamsanaṃ. Namassanaṃti aṅjilikaraṇaṃ, manasā namassanaṇaṃ vā. Pujānanti mālāgandhavilepanādiṃ pujīnaṃ. (BuA.44)

Commentary on the Ākaṅkheyya Sutta, Mūlapaṇṇāsa:
Mahantaṃ vā lokiyasukhaṃ phalantīti mahapphalā. Mahato lokuttarasukhaṃ ca paccayā hontīti mahāniṃsāṃ. Sīlādiguṇayuttassa hi katacchūbhikkhiṃi pañcaraṭṭhatatthāya bhūmiyā pañṇasālāpi katvā dinnā anekāni kappasahassāni duggativipātato rakktī. pariyosāne ca amatāya parinibbāna dhatuyā paccayo hoti. (MA.i.159)

Bhūridatta Jātaka, Mahānipāta:
Tam vimānaṃ abhijjāya, amarānaṃ sukhesināṃ;
Uposathānāṃ upavasanto, semi vammikamuddhanti.”ti. (JA.vi.174)

Tattha abhijjāyāti pathhetvā.

Cariyāpiṭaka, Bhūridattacariya:
Tattha passitvāhaṃ deve, ekantamukhaṃ sukhassapitte;
Tam saggagamanathāya, sīlabbataṃ samādiyāti. (Cp.85)

Campeyya Jātaka:
“Na puttahetu na dhanassa hetu, na āyuno cāpi janinda hetu;
Manussayoniṃ abhipathayāno, tasmā parakkamma tape kāro mī”ti. (JA.iv.466)

Campeyya Jātaka and Saṅkhapāla Jātaka:
Ahañca laddhāna manussayoniṃ, kāhāmi jātimaraṇaṃ antaṃ. (JA.iv.467; JA.v.173)

Cariyāpiṭaka, Bhūridattacariya Commentary:
... bodhiparipācananti hoti, imasmiṃ devaloke uppaṭṭikārānaṃ bhavissati”ti cintetvā nāgabhavanaṃ gantvā matāpitaro āha: “Ammatātā, ahaṃ uposathakammamnaṃ karissāmi”ti. (CpA.116)

Jinālaṅkāra-ṭīkā:
Parāmiyoṭi pāram nibbānaṃ ayanti gachchhi etāti pāramiyo. Nibbūnasādahākāhi dānacetanādayo dharmā pāramiṭṭha vuccanti.
Manorathapūraṇī, Commentary on the Āṅguttaranikāya:
(a) Appamattakaṃ tiṇamuṭṭhi mattadānakusalaṃ vā hotu, mahantaṃ velādamādānikusalaṃ vā, sace vaṭṭasampatthāu pathhetvā vaṭṭanissitivasena micchā ṭhapitāṃ hoti, vaṭṭameva āharitum sakkoti, no vaṭṭaṃ. (AA.i.55)

Sāratthopakāsanī, Commentary on the Saṃyuttanikāya:
(a) “Idañ me dānāṃ āsavakkhayāvahaṃ hotu”ti evañ pana vaṭṭaṃ patthantena vaṭṭaṃvasena samā ṭhapitāṃ arahattampi paccekbodhiṇāṃampi sabbaṁvataṇāṃampi dātuṅ sakkoti yeva. (SA.ii.19)

Commentary on the Āṅguttaranikāya, Book of Ones:
Vivaṭṭavasena uppannacittameva cittaṃ. Tañhi mānusakasukhaṃ dibbasukhaṃ, dibbasukhato jhānasukhaṃ, jhānasukhato vipassanāsukhaṃ, vipassanāsukhato maggasukhaṃ, maggasukhato phalasukhaṃ, phalasukhato nibbānasukhaṃ adhvahati āharatīti sukhaṃadhvahaṃ nāma hoti. (AA.i.53)

Commentary on the Paṭṭhāna:
(a) Parittarammaṇattike appamāṇārammaṇā cetanāti sekkhānaṃ gotrabhu cetanā paccavekkhāna cetanātipī vaṭṭaṃ vaṭṭaṃ.
(b) Vipākānaṃ parittarammaṇānanti paṭisandhiyā kammam ārammanā katvā pavatte cakkhuvināṇādīyasena rupādārammanā katvā tadārammanāvasena javanena gatita-parittarammaṇānaṃ ārammanā katvā uppannānaṃ.

Yē pena gotrabhu cittaṃ naṭhi paṭisandhīti vadanti te iminā suttan paṭisedhetabbā.

Saddhanapakāsanī, the Commentary on the Paṭissambhidāmagga:
(a) Āyatiṃ paṭisandhiyā paccayo hotīti sankhārupekkhā sampayuttakammassa balavattā teneva sugati paṭisandhiyā diyyamāṇāya abhinandanā sankhāto lobha kilesa anāgāte kāmāvacarasugati paṭisandhiyā paccayo hoti. Yasmā kilesa saḥyaṃ kammam vipākaṃ janeti tasmā kammam janaṇakaccayo hoti kilesā upathambhikapaccayaṃ.
(b) Sekkhasa pana uttari paṭivedhassāti sakadāgāmi maggādīyasena saccappaṭivedhassa. Āyatiṃ paṭisandhiyā paccayo hoti sekkhasa sotappanasakadāgāminā anadhigatajhanānam sankhārupekkhākammena diyyamāṇāya kāmāvacara sugati paṭisandhiyā abhinandanakilesa paccayo hoti.
(c) Jhānalābhīnaṃ pana sotāpanna sakadāgāminā anāgāmissaca brahmalokeyeva paṭisandhidānato paccayo na hoti. Anulomagotrabhūhi ca diyyamāṇāya paṭisandhiyā ayameva kilesa paccayo hoti veditabbo.

Laddhaguṇasambhava Pucchā:
Yo vā pana lokiyā lokuttarasukhesu kiñeipi apatthetvā dānsdipāramiyā puṭṭi. Kiñ so lokiyasukhameva lokuttara sukheva va labheyya udāhu tadubhayasukhami labheyya no vā labheyya tatubhayasukhami.

Commentary on the Cakkavatti Sutta:
Mātapitūnaṃ puttadhitāsu sinhevasena muducittaṃ paṭṭadhitā naṃ mātāpitūsu sinhevasena muducittaṇca gānikusalaṃ nāma. Tassa pariyosānaṃ manussaloke cakkavattino sirīvihava ppaṭilābho.
Commentary on the Mūlapanṇasa and the Itivuttaka:

Tattha jānanā bahuvihā. Dabbajātiko eva hi koci bhikkhu chattaṃ kātuṃ jānāti, koci cīvarādīnas amaṃ karotanā, tassa idāsāni kammanā vattasīse  ṭhatvā karontassa sā jānāna “maggaphalānam padaṭṭhānam na hoṭi”ti na vattabbā. Yo pana sāsane pabbajitvā vejakammādīnas kātuṃ jānāti, tassevaṃ jānato āsavā asāva vaḍḍhantiyeva. (ItiA. ii.150).

Commentary on the Sankhārūpapatti Sutta, Uparipaṇṇāsa:

(a) Yassa saddhā sīla suta cāga paññā sankhātā pañcadhammā atthi, na patthanā tassā gati anibaddhā. Yassa patthanā atthi, na pañca dharmām tassass gati anibaddhā. Yasanī ubhayampi atthi tesaṃ gati nibaddhā.

(b) Yathā hi ākāse khittadaṇḍo aggena vā khandena (v. l. majjhena) vā bundenavā (v. l. mūlena) nipatissatīti niyamo natthi. Evaṃ saṅkhāranā paṭisandhiggahaṇaṃ aniyataṃ. Tasmā kusalaṃ kammaṃ katvā ekasmiṃ ṭhāne patthanaṃ kātuṃ vaṭṭati.

Paṭisambhidāmagga Āṭṭhakathā:

Pubbe ca katāpuṇīṭi pubbe upacitakusalakatā. Idameva cettha pamānaṃ. Yo esaṃ ubhayampi kataṃ hoti, tadeva kusalaṃ taṃ purisaṃ patirūpedese upaneti sappurise bhajāpeti, so eva puggalo attānaṃ sammā ṭhapeti.

Commentary on the Cariyapitaka:

Tayo pete vinā kālabhedena katābhinīhāra buddhānaṃ samtike laddhavyakaraṇa ca anukkamena pāramiyo paripūrṇaṃ yathākkamānaṃ yathā vuttakāle bhajāpeti, so eva puggalo attānaṃ sammā ṭhapeti.

Commentaries on the Suttanipāta and Theragāthā:

Manusaṭṭha liṅgasampatti vigatāsavaddassanaṃ.
Adhikhāro ca chandata ete abhinīhārakāraṇāti.
Ime pañcadhamme samodhānetvā katābhinīhāranānaṃ pāccekabodhidattānaṃ adhikāro chandata ti. Dvayaanigammanuṣṭhyāna paṭthanāya vasena katapariṇāmaṃ sāvakabodhisattvaṃ kattha tathā vuttakāle paricchedaṃ asampatvā anantarāve pāccekabodhiyā yathāvutta sāvakabodhiyā ca adhigamo natthi. Kasmā niñassa aparipaccanato va.

Samantabhaddikā, Commentary on the Anāgatavamsa:

Dhammatā eso bodhisattānaṃ abhinīhārato pubbeva tisso. Sampattiyo vuttaparicchedaṃ pūrṇaṃ kappasatasahassa pārami pūreṇaṃ.
Pāḷi Text References

061  Pāṭisambhidāmaga, Gaṇṭhi:
Ayampi bhagavā ati-ulārajñhāsayatāya pubbeva taṃ sambodhā hirottappāgama sampattipūraneṇa aṭṭhāgungā samodhānāya samiddhābhinnihāro.

062  Sotattakī, Tathāgatupatti, etc.
Cintetaṃ sattasaṅcheeyyaṃ navasancheeyya vācakaṃ kāyavācā catuchātaṃ buddhattaṃ paripūritaṃ.

063  Subcommentary on the Mahāvagga Samyutta:
Cattāri aṭṭha sasavā asanchoeyyāni idaṃ mahābodhisattānaṃ santāne bodhiparipācaka dhammadānām tikkhamajjhina mudabhāva siddhākule vasesadassanaṇa na ca kho mahābhinniharato paṭṭhāyāti vadanti.

064  Buddhā Apādana:
Ahampi pubbabuddhesu sambodhīmabhipathhāyin. Manussāyeva huvāna dhammarājā asankhaya.

065  Mahantagūna:
Khīṇasavā asanchoeyyā, paccekkā catusaṭṭhi kotiyo
Sammasambuddhā dvilakkanṭha, chanahutanīcisaṇṭaṃ asitisattakoṭiyo.

066  Commentary on the Gaṭhikāra Sutta:
Bodhisattā hi buddhānaṃ samuukhe pabbajjantī. Pabbajjītva ca pana ittarasattā viya patitasinga na honti, catupārisuddhisile pana supatiṭṭhāya tepitakam buddhavacanaṃ ugganhitva terasa dhutangāni samādāya arañṇāṃ pavisito gatapaccāgatavattāṃ pūrayāmaṇā samaṇadhāmmanā karontā vipassanāya vaddhevā yāva anulomānaṇā āhacca tiṭṭhanti. Maggaphalatthaṃ vāyanaṇa na karonti.

067  Comments on Pañcapakaraṇa and Puggalapaññatti:
Tato purinapurimesu pana bhavesu sabbāṇubodhisattu buddhasāsane pubbabuddhetvi tiṇi pitiṇe uggahetva gatapaccāgatavatta āruhāya kammaṭṭhāhānaṃ anuṇāmanā gotrabhūṇā āhacca ṭhapenti.

068  Jinālankāra-ṭikā:
Udayabbayānupassanā ṇānaṃ bhaṅgānupassanā ṇānaṃ bhayānupassanā ṇānaṃ āṭṭhakathāya tepitakaṃ buddhavacanaṃ ugganhitva terasa dhutangāni samādāya arañṇāṃ pavisito gatapaccāgatavattaṃ pūrayāmaṇā samaṇadhāmmanā karontā vipassanāya vaddhevā yāva anulomānaṇā āhacca tiṭṭhanti. Nevaṃ saccanulomikameva tasmā laddhapubbaṃ ṭhitam.

069  Pāṭisambhidamagga, Gaṇṭhi:
Anuloma gotrabhismāpantī anuloma gotrabhūnaṃ samīpe asanve pavatantiṃ sakhārūpekkhantī taṅca na sabbatimā javānavāra pariyāpannaṃ tampattassa anivattanato. Tena mandatikkha taravasena pavatantiṃ maccitukamayatī niṣattayaṇ vaṭtaṃ hoti. Mandapaṇño hi tesu pāḍhanyo patavo nivattati tikkhippan ṇo dutiyaṃ tikkhataraṇāṇo tatiyaṃ patovo ti.

070  Paccekabuddha Apādana:
Sūriṇaṃ pāṇidānca yathā nimittam, Āsevayitvā jinasāsanamhi Ye sāvakattantī na vajanti dhīrā, Bhavanti paccekaṇī sayambhū.

071  Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā:
Ekamante saṃñādhāmmanā katva dukkhabhoutaṃ karissamāti niṣesitàni banditvā ucaṃ pabbatasikharāṇu āruhitva ... (Pe) ... saṃñādhāmmanā ārabhīṣu.

072  Re: Q.7:
Kiñcāpi dubbāḷa yuttī nītāṭhāgama vajjītā Santabhūtangupathuddhā pāḷiva niccalā siyā.
Commentary on the Puggalapaññatti:

Katamo panesa puggalo ti osathaviriya puggalo. So hi kim me imasmi buddhakāle parinibbānena anāgata metteyasammāsambuddhakāle parinibbāyissanti visuddhisilo patipatthāna sīlo na pūreti so pi kimathā āyasmi patta vijaratī puthujjana gatāna anibbaddhā. Āyasmihi metteyasammāsambuddhassa sammuñhiḥvānā labheyasi na labheyāsi. Arahattathāya vipassanaṃ bhāvehi vāvātābbo.

Commentaries on the Brahmayu Sutta and the Anguttaranikāya:


Sotattakī:

Evampi bodhisattānaṃ sambharāmpi katanā bauṇṭu aladdhatā vyākaraṇā na bhavissenti.

Sāmaññaphala Sutta Ṭīkā:

(a) Ethā ha yadiraṅṇo kammantarāyā bhāve tasmāṃ yeva āsane dhammacakkhu uppajjissati kathāṃ anāyate pacceka-buddho huto parinibbāyissatiatha pacceka-buddho huto parinibbāyissati kathāṃ taddā dhammacakkhu uppajjissati nanuime sāvakabodhi pacceka-bodhi upanissayaṃ bhinnā nissayati.

(b) Nāyaṃ virodho. Itō pavato evassa pacceka-bodhisambhāraṇāṃ sambhāraṇiyato sāvakabodhiya būjhanakasattā hi asati tassā samavāyekālantare pacceka-bodhiya būjhihissanti katābhinnahu sambhavanto.

(c) Aparé pana bhaṅanti “Pacceka-bodhiya yevaṃ katābhinnīhāro. Katābhinnihāro hi sattha niyataṃ appattā tassā nānasso paripākām anupagatatha satthu sammuñhiḥbhave sāvakabodhiṇ pāpuṇissantāti bhagavā ‘sacāyaṃ bhikkhave rājā’ ti ādimāha.

(d) Mahābodhisattānaṃ eva ca ānantariyaparimutti na itara bodhisattānaṃ tathāhi pacceka-bodhiya niyato samāno devadatto ciraśāla-sambhutena lokanāthe āgātena ānantariyāni pasavi.

(c) Tasmā kamantarāyāyānāṃ anamāvetadassanābhīsamanā rājā pacceka-bodhiṇyāmena anāgata pacceka-buddho huto parinibbāyissati datthhabbanāt.

Commentary on the Suttanipāta:

Hetūti arahattassa upanissayasampatti. Yō hi tasmāṃ attabhāve vāyananto arahattāṃ pāpuṇitum samatho, tassa samijjhati, no itarassa, yathā sumedhaṇaṇaṭṭiṣa.

Commentary on the Jātaka, etc.

Purisāpi tasmāṃ attabhāve arahattapattiya hetusampannaṣeva pathatā samijjhati, no itarassa.

Commentary on the Cariyāpiṭaka:

(a) Evam pannāpanissayaṃ panassa imāni upanissayasampattiyaṃ lingāni bhavantī. Ye hi samannāgatassa sāvakabodhisattehi ca pacceka-bodhisattehi ca mahāvīsoso mahantaṃ nānākaranantī paññāyati indriyato patipattito kossallato ca.

(b) Ihi upanissayasampanno mahāpuriso yathā visadindriyo hoti visadanāṇo na tathā itare. Parahitāya patipanno hoti na attahitāya.
(c) Tātha hi so yathā bahujanahitīya bahujanasukhāya lokānukampāya atthāya hitāya sukhaṃ devamanussaṃ paṭipajjati na itare.
(d) Tātha ca kosallaṃ avahati thanupattaṭipaṭibhānena ṭhānāṭhānakusalatāya ca.

Commentary on the Jātaka:
(a) Guṇasampannelapi yena attano jīvitaṃ buddhānaṃ pariccattaṃ hoti, tassa ināna adhikārena adhikārasampannasseva samijjhati, na itarassa. Adhikārasampannassāpi yassa buddhakārakadhammānaṃ atthāya mahanto chando ca ussāho ca vāyāmo ca pariyeṭṭhi ca, tasseva samijjhati, na itarassa.
(b) Tatridāṃ chandamahantāya opammam
1. Sace hi evamassa yo sakalacakkavāḷaṃ adhikāraṇaṃ ekodakībhūtaṃ attano bāhubalena uttaritvā pāraṇa gantuṃ samatho so buddhattaṃ pāpuṇāti.
2. Yā vā pana sakalacakkavāḷaṃ velughumbasanchannaṃ vyāhetvā padasā gacchanto pāraṇa gantuṃ samatho so buddhattaṃ pāpuṇāti.
3. Yā vā pana sakalacakkavāḷaṃ sattiya akojetvā niranṭaraṃ sattihalaramākiṃnaṃ padasā akkamamānaṃ pāraṇa guntuṃ samatho so buddhattaṃ pāpuṇāti.
4. Yā vā pana sakalacakkavāḷaṃ vitacci taṅgarabhariṇaṃ pādeći maddamāno pāraṇa gantuṃ samatho so buddhattaṃ pāpuṇāti.

Yo etesu ekasmiṃpi attano dukkaraṇaṃ na maṇī. Aham etampi taritvā vā gantvāvā pāraṇa gamissāmi yo evam mahantena chandena ca ussāhena ca vāyāmena ca pariyeṭṭhiyā ca samannāgato hoti. Tassa patthanā samijjhati na itarassa.

An old adage:
Yaṃ vā taṃvā paramparā codako pana codeti so hi sabbāṇaṃ na passati.

Kathāvatthu, Anuṭīkā:

Sotattaki, etc.:
Evampi bodhisattānaṃ sambhārampi kataṃ bahum.
Aladdhaṃ vyākaraṇaṃ yāva niyataṃ nabhavissati.
Devadatto yathā aāāe sambhārāpi yathā bahu.
Pacehā akusulussannā parihāyanti bodhiyā.

N.B.: In the third line ‘Yathā bahu’ has sometimes appeared as ‘Katā bahu.’

Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā; Silakkhandhavagga-ṭīkā:
(a) Tesu yo ugghaṭitaṃ no sammasambuddhassa sammukhā catuppadikāṃ gāthāṃ suṇanto gāthāya tatiyapade aparīyosite eva chahi abhiññāhi saha paṭisasbhidhiḥ arohaṇatā adhigantuṃ samathūpanissayo hoti. Sace sāvakabodiyaṃ adhimutto siyā.
(b) Dutiyo bhagavato sammukhā catuppadikāṃ gāthāṃ suṇanto aparīyosite eva gāthāya catutthapade chahi abhiññāhi arohaṇatā adhigantuṃ samathūpanissayo hoti. Yade sāvakabodiyaṃ adhimutto siyā.
(c) Itaro pana bhagavato sammukhā catuppadikāṃ gāthāṃ sutvā parīyosīyi gāthāya chahi abhiññāhi arohaṇatā pattuṃ samathūpanissayo hoti. Atha sāvakabodiyaṃ adhimutto siyā.

Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā:
“Catuppadikāṃ gāthāṃ sutvā tassā atthaṃ vibhajitvā parīyosīti ...”

As per the unspecified textual reference:
Kim me aṇṇātavasena dhammaṃ sacchikate nidha.
As per the Commentaries:
Sacāhaṃ icceyyaṃ sabbakilese jhāpetvā samphanavako hutvā rammanagaraṃ paviseyyaṃ ahaṃ aṅātavasena pana kilese jhāpatvā nibbānapattiyā kiccaṃ natthi.

Commentary on the Mora Jātaka:
Pacekabuddhato ti sabbāññubodhisattānañña eva upāyapariggafehe nāṇaṃ mahantataranā hoti.

Subcommentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta:
Tattha “Aṅṇātavesaṇa”ti sadevakāṃ lokā na unādento buddho ahutvā kevalaṃ buddhānaṃ sāvaka bhāvupagamanacasena aṅṇātarūpena.

Kiṇi me ekena tiṭṭena purisena thāmadassinā sabbāññutaṃ pāpuṇitva saṅtāressaṃ sadevakāṃ.

Cariyāpitaka Āṭṭhakathā:
Tatrāyaṃ mahāpurisassā upanissayasampadā. Ekantenevassayathā ajjhāsayo sambodhininno hoti sambodhi poṇo sambodhi pabhāšo tathāsatānaṃ hitacariyā. Yatocānena purimabuddhānaṃ santike sammatasambodhiyā paṇidhānaṃ katuṃ hoti manasā vācāya ca.
Ahampi ediso sammāsambudhānaṃ hutvā samma deva sattānaṃ hitasukhaṃ nipphādeyyanti.

Re: The three classes of Perfection (Pāramī):
Cittapanidhito yāva vacapanidhī tāva pavattā sambhārāpāramiyo. Vaccumānubuddhoto yāva kāyapanidhī tāva pavattā upapāramiyo.āyapanidhīto pabhuti paramathāpāramiyo ti apare.

N.B: The Silakkhandha-ṭīkā also states likewise.

Apādana:
Ahampi pubbabuddhesu sambodhimabhiphatthayīṃ.
Manasā yeva hutvāna dhammarājāa asaṅkhaya.

Manisāramanījūsā:
Yāṃ yaṃ bhagavālā tiṇṇo tāreyyanti ādinā mano vaci kāya paṇidhānavasena icchitaṃ attahitaṃ perahitaṃ vā. Tassa paṇidhānānurūpeva nipphādane.

Concluding remarks in the Sotattākī:
Imanca pakaraṇaṃ nāma dhammarājena desitaṃ sotattakītīnāṃanti veditabbaṃ hi viññunā.

On authorship:
Garuhi dinnaṃmena Buddhaghosati vissuto kato therena tenāyaṃ nidāna buddhagocaro.

imesaṃ sattānaṃ maraṇaṃnāma ekantikaṃ meyā ekaṃ pabbajjaṃ upagantvā mokkhhamaggo gavesitabba.

Commentaries: Theragāthā Āṭṭhakathā 2
Saradatāpaso pana “Ahovatāhampi ayāṃ nisapattheviya anāgate ekassa buddhassa aggasāvako bhaveyyan”ti satthu desanākāle uppannaparivittakkataya aṅṇavihito hutvā magnaphalāni paṭivijjhipito nāsakhi.

Apādana on Moggallāna Thera:
Anomadassī bhagavā lokajītho narāsabho, vihāsi himavantamhi devasaṅghapurakkhato varuṇo nāma nāmeva nāgarājā ahaṃ tadā.
Kāmarupīvikubbāmi mahodādhi nivāsahaṃ.

Apādana on Subhūti Thera:
Kosiyo nāma nāmeva jātīlo uggatāpaso Ekiyeva adutīyo vasami nisabhe tadā.
On the Ten Perfection, (Pāramī);

Dasa kho sāriputta buddhakārakā dhammā. Katame dasa dānaṃ kho sāriputta buddhakārako dhammo sīlaṃ nekkhamā paññā viriyaṃ khanti saccā adhiṭṭhānaṃ mettā upekkhā buddhakārako dhammā. Ime kho dasa sāriputta buddhakārako dhammā.

Commentary on the Therāgāthā:

(a) Imesampi hi yathā mahābodhisattānaṃ dānādipāramīhi paribyūhitā paññāpāramī anukkamena gabbhaṃ ganhantī paripākaṃ gacchanti buddhañānaṃ paripūriṭi.

(b) Dānaparicayena hetu taṭṭhataḥ bhava ablohbajjāsayaṭṭhāya sabbattha asaṅga mānasā anapekkhacitta hutvā silapariccyana samvutakāyavācaṭṭaya parisuddhākāyaవacikammantā parisuddhājīva indriyesu guttaṃvārā bhojanemattānūnuno hutvā jāgariyaṃya jyogena samāpahantī svāyaṃ tesam jāgariyānuyogo gatapaccāgatikavatta vaṭsaṇa āvetoṭṭhāvā.

(c) Evam pana paṭipajjantānaṃ adhikārasampattiyā appakasīrenēvā atṭhasamāppatiyo pañcābhīṇnā chalabhiṇnā adhiṭṭhānabhūtā pubbhabhoga vipassanā ca haṭṭhagatāyeva honti. Viryādayopana tadantogadhāvā.


Carīyāṕiṭaka Aṭṭhakāṭhā and Silakkhandha-ṭīkā:

Lajjāsatimānāpassayānaṃ lokuttaradhammadhipatīnaṃ sīla sāmādhi paññā gacchanti tārita tariyaṃyaṃ anubuddha paccekbuddha sammāsambuddhānaṃ pāramī upaṇṇāmi paramathāpāramī hi bodhitaṃyaṭṭhitto yathā vuttavibhāgo kcci.

Ibid:

Aññe pana parapaṇṇānamodana vaṭsaṇa pavattā saṃbhārā pāramiyo paresaṃ kārāpana vaṭsaṇa pavattā upaṇṇāmiyo sayaṃ karanaṇasena pavattā paramathā pāramiyo vadanti.

Ekevāḍa:

Tathā bhavasukhāvaho puñṇānaṃyaṃsaṃbhārī pāramī. Attano nibbānaṃyaṃsaṃbhāraṃ pāramiyo paresaṃ tadbhavahumyaṃsaṃbhāraṃ paramathāpārami eke.

Carīyāṕiṭaka Aṭṭhakāṭhā and Silakkhandha-ṭīkā:


Buddhavaṃsa Aṭṭhakāṭhā:


Answer as per Visuddhavihaggā Aṭṭhakāṭhā:

(a) Emaihi puṇṇānaṃcha jahanā anussaranti tithiyā pakatisāvākā mahāsāvākā aggasāvākā paṭcakkubhā dādhiṭṭhāti.

(b) Tathā tithiyā cāṭṭāḷiṣigya ukeppa anussaranti na tato paraṃ. Kaṃsā dubbala puñṇattā. Tesaihi nāmaruṇapariccāgo viraṭhitāttah dubbalaṇṇṇṇṇṇṇṇo.

(c) Pakatisāvākā kappasaṭami kappasahassampi anussaranti yeva balavapānṇattā. Asīti mahāsāvākā satasaḥsakkappu anussaranti. Dve aggasāvākā ekaṃ asaṇcheyayā satasaḥsassaṇṇa. Eseva nayo sesapāramiṣupi.

Commentaries on the Suttas:

Theragāthā Āṭṭhakathā:
Ayampi purimabuddhesu katādhikāro. Tatthaṭhatthabhave puṭṭhāni upacinanto kassapa dasabalassakāle.

Samantapasadika Āṭṭhakathā and Visuddhimagga Āṭṭhakathā:
Bhagavā pana tiricchānāgatānampi anusāsanippadāṇena satthāyeva tepi hi bhagavato dharmassavanena upaniṣaya sampattīṇi patvā tāya eva upaniṣaya sampattīyā dutiye tatiyevā attabhāve maggaphalabhāgino honti. Maṇḍukadevaputtaṭdayo hi cethanidassanaṃ.

Mūlapanṇāsa Āṭṭhakathā on the Cūḷasaccaka Sutta:
Atha bhagavā yasmā licchavīhi saccakassa dinnaṃ, na bhagavato. Saccakena pana bhagavato dinnaṃ, tasā tamattam dipento yaṃ kho, aggivesanātiādimāhā. Iti bhagavā nigaṇṭhassa matena vināyeva attano dinnaṃ dakkhināma nigaṇṭhassa niyyātesi, sā cassa anāgata vāsanā bhavissati.

Mūlapanṇāsa Āṭṭhakathā on the Mahāsaccaka Sutta:
(b) Passati hi bhagavā, ‘imassa idāni upaniṣaya raṭṭhi, mayham pana parinibbānato samadhikānāṃ dvinnāṃ vassasatānaṃ acayena tambapannidiṭpe sāsanaṃ patiṭṭhahissati. Tatrayam kulaghare nibbattiṭṭvā sampatte kāle pabbajitvā tīṇi piṭṭakāni uggahetvā vipassanām vaddhetvā saha paṭisambhidāhā pariḥ Samantha matena vināyevā patvā kālabuddharakkhitā nāma mahākhīṇāsavo bhavissati’ti. Idam disvā anāgata vāsanatthāya hammaṃ desesi.

Milindapaṭṭhāna:
Na mahārāja dhutanuṣuṇe pubbāsevanaṃ vinā ekissāyeva jātiyā arahatta sacchikiriyā hoti.

Mahāsatipaṭṭhāna Sutta Ṭīkā:
Paccekabodhiyya upaniṣayasampadā kappānaṃ dve asaṅcheyyāni satasahassānca tajjāpuṇṇāṇasambhāra samabharaṇāṃ. Sāvacakabodhiyya aggasāvakānaṃ ekaṃ asaṅcheyyām kappasatasahassānca mahāsāvakānaṃ kappasatasahassameva. Itaresaṃ atītasu jātiṣu vívaṭṭhasannissayasena nibbattitaṁ nibbedhābhāgīyaṃ kusalaṃ.

Ṭīkā:
Upanissaya sampattāanti tihetuka paṭisandhiādikāṇaṃ maggaphalādhigamanassā balavakāraṇāṃ.

Vimativinodami Ṭīkā:
Sare nimittaṃ aggahesīti pubbabuddhuppādesu saddhammassavanaparicayena dhammo eso vuccatiti serekārāṃ ganhi. Pubbabhīyogavaseseva hi idisāṇaṃ tiricchānāṇaṃ dhammassavanādīsu pasādo uppajjati vaggulatthādīnaṃ viya.

Vimānavatthu Āṭṭhakathā on the Maṇḍukadevaputta:
Tasmiṃ khaṇe eko maṇḍuko pokkharāṇaṃ āgaṇτvā dhammo eso vuccatiti serekārāṃ gan hi. Pubbabhīyogavaseseva hi idisāṇaṃ tiricchānāṇaṃ dhammassavanādīsu pasādo uppajjati vaggulatthādīnaṃ viya.

Theragāthā Āṭṭhakathā and Apadāna Āṭṭhakathā:
Ayampi purimabuddhesu katādhikāro tatthaṭhatthabhave puṭṭhāni upacinanto kassapadasabalakāle kulaghare nibbatto.
Mahāpayana Sutta, Dīghanikāya:
Kicchena me adhigataṃ, halaṃ dāni pakāsituṃ;
Rāga dosapareteti, nāyaṃ dhammo susambudho.

Commentary on the Mahāpayana Sutta:

123 Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakatha:
Buddha paṭicca buddha samakkhato cattuppādika gāthāṃ suṭvā buddhānaṃ buddhasāvakānaṃ vā sammukhā sutāya cattuppādikāyapi gāthāṃ pariyoṃsane arahattaṃ pāpeti.

124 Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā:
Yesaṃhi purimesu sammāsambuddhesu paṭicca buddhaṃ suṭvā buddhaṃ buddhānaṃ buddhasāvakānaṃ vā sammukhā sutāya cattuppādikāyapi gāthāṃ pariyoṃsane arahattaṃ pāpeti.

126 Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā:

127 Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā:

128 Commentaries:
Api purimabuddhāsaṃ kathā saṃkittānaṃ tathā saṃkittānaṃ samaya apacchānaṃ, te sāpadāna.

129 Kesavaṃhi visayam eṣa paṭittamā ke labhissare vinā kammasamādānaṃ naṃsāmimahesinā.

130 Petakapadesa:
Duve hēti duve paccañā saṃvakassa sammādiṭṭhiyā uppādāya. Paratoca ghoso saccānusandhi
ajjhataṇīca yonisomanisikā. cf. Venerable Sutta, Mūlapaṇṇāsā:

130 **Commentary on the Venerable Sutta, Mūlapaṇṇāsā Aṭṭhakathā:**
(a) Sammadīṭṭhiyā uppādāyāti vipassanāsammadīṭṭhiyā ca maggasamām-diṭṭhiyā ca. Paratoghoso ti sappāyādhammassavaṇāṃ yoniso manasikāroti attano upāya manasikāro, tattha sāvakesu dhammasenaṇāpatino pi dve paccayā lañḍhuṃ vaṭṭantiyeva.
(b) Thero hi kappasadatasahassādhikāna ekāsa asaṅkhīeyyaṃ pāramiyo pūretpūpa attano dhammatāya anumattampi kileṣam paṭajātum nāsakkhi. "Yē dhammā hetuppabhavā "ti assajīthārato imāma gāthāṃ sutvāvassa paṭivedho jāto. Paccekauddānānaṃ pana saṁbaṇṇubuddhānaṇāca paratoghosā kamman nathhi, yonisomanisikārasmiṃ yeva tathā paccakabodiṇca saṁbaṇṇutaṇāṇāṇaṇca nibbattiṃ.

131 **Apādāna:**
Ito dutiyake kappe ... devilonāna sambuddhā ahiṇchi purato mama.

132 **Commentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta of the Dīghanikāya:**
Yasmā caṇṇa yasmini kappe buddhā uppajjanti tasmā yeva cakkavattino uppajjanti buddhā ca kadāci karahaci uppajjanti, tasmā dullaḥhadassanaṭṭhaṇāpi ratanaṃ.

133 **Buddhavamsa Aṭṭhakathā:**
Duvidho kappo suññakappo asaṅkhyeyyaṃ cāti. Tatthata suññakappo buddhacakkavattino ca na uppajjanti. Tasmā gunavantapuggala suññatā suññakappoti vuccati.

134 **Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā:**
Pacekabuddhā buddhe aṭṭhavāuddhānaṃ uppajjana kūleyeva uppajjanti.

135 **Sotattakī:**
(a) Suññā asaṅkhī asaṅkhyeyyaṃ pakāsitā. Asaṅkhyeyya ca asaṅkhī buddhappādhe mananditā.
(b) Buddhā pacekabuddhāca sasakā cakkavattino suññasmiṃ asaṅkhyeyye nuppajjanti mahiddhikā.

136 **Sāratthasaṅgaha:**
Paccekauddāna buddhe aṭṭhavāuddhānaṃ uppajjanti. Tasmā dullaḥhadassanaṭṭhaṇāpi ratanaṃ.

137 **Kālāṃ:**
Sandhaṇa vuttaṃ. Kasmā upālittherāpadanādisu ito dutiyakappapuci pacekabuddhānaṃ uppapatiṣṭaṇṇāyanato.

138 **Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā:**
Sabbauddhā sandhīsamāne kappe na uppajjanti. Vivaṭṭhamāne kappe uppajjanti. Pacekabuddhā buddhānaṃ antarā uppajjanakāle yeva uppajjanti.

139 **Mahāpadāna Sutta Aṭṭhakathā:**
Yasmini kappe buddhā uppajjanti tasmīmyeva cakkavattino uppajjanti.

140 “Saṅghaṃ samaggaṃ bhetvāna kappati nīrayamhi paccatti. Saṅgaṃ samaggaṃ katvāna kappati saggamhi medati.”

141 **Subcommentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta:**
Eight factors required for firm prediction as a future Buddha:
(a) Manusattaṃ liṅgasampatti hetu satthāradassanaṃ pabbajjā gunasampatti adhikāro ca chandatā. 
    Aṭṭhadhamma samodhanā abhinīhāro samijjhati.

Five factors required for firm prediction as a future Paccekabuddha:
(b) Manussataṃ liṅgasampatti vigatāsavadarassanaṃ adhikāroca chandatā ete abhinīhārakāraṇā.

Two factors required for firm prediction as a future Arahant Disciple (Sāvaka):
(c) Adhikāro ca chandatā.

Suttanipāta Āṭṭhakathā:
"Abhinīhāro"ti mūla padānassetāṃ adhivacanāṃ.

Cariyāpiṭaka: Āṭṭhakathā:
Evaṃ atthangasamannāgato panāyaṃ abhinīhāro athatto tesaṃ atṭhannaṃ aṅgānaṃ samodhānavasappavatto cittuppādoti veditabbo.

Buddhavamsa Āṭṭhakathā:
Abhinīhāro ti abhinīhāro nāma buddhabhāvatthaṃ mānasāṃ banditvā vyākaranānāl ādādhā na utthahissāmī ti viyāṃ adhiṭṭhaya nipajjanaṃ.

Patisambhidāmagga, Gaṇṭhi:
Abhinīharoti mūlapanīdhi paribhāvita puṇṇupayoca.

Suttanipāta Āṭṭhakathā:
Vigatāsavadarassananti buddha paccekabuddha buddhasāvakānaṃ yassa kassaci dassananti attho.

Pāṭha Jātaka Āṭṭhakathā:
Hetusampannassāpi jīvamānaka buddhasseva santike patthentassa patthanā samijjhati, parinibbhute buddhe cetiyasantike vā bodhimūle vā patthentassa na samijjhati.

Cariyāpiṭaka: Āṭṭhakathā:

Buddhavamsa Āṭṭhakathā:
Bhabbahabbake nātvā kammavipākaparicchedanāṇānaṃ paricchinditvā vyākātuṃ asamatthattā.

Suttanipāta Āṭṭhakathā and Apadāna Āṭṭhakathā:
Tatthā prekṣanamassāpi jīvamānaka buddhasseva santike patthentassa patthanā samijjhati, parinibbhute buddhe cetiyas antike vā bodhimūle vā patthentassa na samijjhati.

Theragāthā Āṭṭhakathā:
Tathāhi dve aggaśāvakehi mahāsāvakasaṃ antogathā. Tehi sāvaka pāramiṇānassa matthaka pattiyaśāvakasaṃ aṣṭasāvatthānaṃ aṣṭaṃ samijjhati, mahāsāvakātipi vuccanti.
katapaṇidhānā. Tato eva sātisayān abhiṇṇāsamāpaṭṭīsu vasino pabhinnapatiṣambhidā ca. Kāmaṁ sabbe pi arahanto sīlasuddhādike sampādetvā catūsu satipaṭṭhānesu patiṭṭhitacittā satta bojjhaṅge yathābhūtuṁ bhāveto maggaṇaṇupāṭipāṭī su anavasesato kileśa khepeto vā aggaphale patiṭṭhahanti, tathāpi yathā saddhāvinuttato dīṭṭhippattassa, paṭīṇāvinuttato ca ubhatobhāgavimuttassu pubbhāga bhāvanāvisesu addhā icchito viseso, evanā abhinibbaṇamahantaṭāpubbaya ggamahantaṭāhi attasantāne sātisayaguṇavisesassu nipphāditattā sīlādiguṇehi mahāsāvakā.

118

Buddhavamsa:
Yadimassa lokānāthassa virijjhissāma sāsanaṁ.
Anāgatamhi addhāne hessāma sammukhā imam.
Yathā manussā nadiṁ tarantā patiṭṭhitā virajjhīya.
Heṭṭhā titthaṃ gahetūna uttaranti mahānādim.
Evaneva mayaṁ sabbe yade muṇcāmi maṁ jinam.
Anāgatamhi addhāne hessāma sammukhā imam.

Pāṭha Jātaka Aṭṭhakathā, Jātattaki Nidāna:

119

Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā:
Evaṁ vivatta udissa uppadaṭṭhakaramāra satāshhaaddhika catu asāṅkhyijjya kāḷanī epī vimokkhadhigamassu upanissayo na hoti na sakka vatthuṁ.

120

Mahāpadāna Sutta Ṭīkā:
Yasmā ca buddhānāṃ desanā nāma desanāya bhājanabhūtānāṃ puggalānāṃ ṇāṇabalanurūpā. Na attano ṇāṇabalanurūpā. Tasmā tattha aggāsāvakānaṃ mahāsāvakānaṃ tādisānaṅca devabrahmānāṃ vasena desanā viṭthāritā. Idaṇā pakatissāvakānaṃ tādisānaṅca devatānaṃ pubbenivasānaṃ kathento sattānāmeva bhuddhānaṁ pubbenivasānam katheti.

121

Commentary on the Mahāpadāna Sutta, Mahā Vagga:
Bhagavā kira teṣaṁ iddhimaya paththo cīvarassupanissayaṁ olokoṭo anekāsu jātisu cīvaradānādīni disvā etha bhikkhu hoti adhipāsaṃ dakkhaṇāvato katheti.

122

Subcommentary on the above:
Cīvarā dīni ticīvarādi aṭṭhapatthi vā sotāpānādiariyassa upthujjanasseva vā silasampannassu datvā idaṁ parikkhāradānaṃ anāgata ehiḥbhikkhu bhāvāya paccaya hoti paṭṭhāṇaṇ paṭṭhapesi. Tassa ca sati adhikārassampattiyaṇaḥ buddhānaṇaḥ sammukhiḥ bhāve iddhimayaparikkhāre lābhāya samvattatīti veditabbaṁ.

123

Āyatana Yamaka, Mūlaṭīkā:
Na hi tāsaṁ sabbāsaṇaṃ tasmiṅaṃ bhave pavaṭte purisindriyaṁ na uppaṭṭhāṇi sīlāparivattanaṁ saṃbhāvā.

124

Indriya Yamaka:
Yā ca itthiyā eteneva bhāvena katicībhave dassetvā parinibbāya gissanti tāsaḥ upaṭṭhāṇīnām. Tāsaḥ itthindriyaṁ uppaṭṭhāṇi. No ca tāsaḥ purisindriyaṁ uppaṭṭhāṇi.
Mūla-ṭīkā referred to above:
Bhavantare tassa tassa āyatanasāntānassa yo ādi uppādo paṭisandhiyaṃ pavatteca bhavissati. So anāgatuppādo tabbhāve na vuccati. Addhā paccuppannānantogadhātā.

Anguttaranikāya Ekanipāta Aṭṭhakathā:

Milindapanhā:

Subcommentary on the Sonadaṇḍaa Sutta, Silakkhandha-ṭīkā:

Samantabhaddikā or Anāgatavaṃsa:
Catuvoṣati asaṅkhīyeyya sattacattālīsa koṭisatasahassasaṅkhā paricchinne veneyya passi.

Sotattakī, Tathāgatuppatti:
Catuvoṣati asaṅkhīyeyya, Saṭṭhiceva ca koṭiya Pāṇānisatasahassāni, eko buddho pamocati.

Jīnālaṅkāra:
Catuvoṣati asaṅkhīyeyya sattamāraṇe satte mārabandanato mocetvā anupādā parinibbānapattā buddhāyeva gaṇana visesoti eke.

Cariyāpiṭaka Aṭṭhakathā:

Jīnālaṅkāra-ṭīkā:
Asaṅkhīyānanti gaṇanapathamā atitānaṃ ida saṅchāsaddho aparimeyye vattati.

Udāna Aṭṭhakathā:
Tassa bhagavato hi dhammasakkapavattana suttantadesanāya aṅnātakonduṇṇapamukhā aṭṭhārassa brahma koṭiyu dhammaṃ paṭivijihmus. Evaṃ yāva subhaddhaparibbājaka vinayanā dhammapaṭividdhasattanāṃ gaṇanā natthi. Mahāsāmasuttaṃ magalasuttaṃ cālarāhulovādasuttaṃ samacittasuttanti imesaṃ catunnaṃ suttānaṃ desanākāle abhisamayapatto sattānaṃ paricchedo natthi.

Anguttara Aṭṭhakathā:
Buddhākāle ca pana ekekasmiṃ asaṅkhīyeyāpi sattā amataṃ āvādenti.

Sutta Nipāta Aṭṭhakathā, Khuddakapātha Aṭṭhakathā on the Maṅgala Sutta:
Evaṃca bhagavatā niṭṭhāpitāya desanāya pariyośā koṭisatasahassadevatayo arahattamā pāpuṇīmsu, sotāpatti sakadāgāmi anāgāmiphala sampattānaṃ gaṇanā asaṅkhīyeyā ahosi.
Mahāpadāna Sutta Aṭṭhakathā:

(a) Yathēva hi tāni caṭṭabhīṇi pupphāni evameva uggahitaṇīṇī vipaścitaṇīṇī neyyo padaparamoti caṭṭāro puggalā.

(b) Tattha yassa upgalassā saha udahatavālāya dhammābhismayō hotī. Ayam vuccati puggalo uggahitaṇīṇī
d(c) Yassa puggalassā saṃkittena bḥāsitassa vithārena atthe vibhajīyanāne dhammābhismayō hotī. Ayam vuccati puggalo vippaścitaṇīṇī
d(d) Yassa puggalassā uddesato paripucchato yonismanaśikaroto kalyāṇamīte sevato bhajato paśiruśaṭtato anupabbenā dhammābhismayō hotī. Ayam vuccati puggalo neyyo.

e) Yassa puggalassā bhaṇantassa bhaṃḍo suṇato bhaṃḍo dhārayato bhaṃḍo vācayato na tāya jātiya dhammābhismayō hotī. Ayam vuccati puggalo padaparamotro.

(f) Tattha bhagavā upplawanānā saḍaśaṇaśaḥsikadāḥthānām okento aṭṭhapupphanaṅkāni viya uggahitaṇīṇī. Sve pippaṅkāņi viya vippaścitaṇīṇī. Tatiyadivase pupphakāṇiṇī viya neyyo.

(g) Passanto ca etṭakā apparajikkhā. Ettakā mahārajaṇkkhā. Tatrāpi etṭakā uggahitaṇīṇīti evaṃ sabbā kārato addasa.

(h) Tattha tiṃnaṃ puggalaṇāṃ imasmiṇyeva atthāvē bhagavato dhammadesanāna mahādhammaṇaśaḥ derethī. Padaparamatīnaṃ anāgate vāsanātthāya hotī. Atha bhagavā iṃesāṭṭh uggalānaṃ atthavāhaṇaṃ dhammadesanānaṃ disvā desetukānantanaṃ uppādevī puna sabbesupī tīsu bhavesu sabbe satte bhabba bhavavasena deva koṭṭhāse akāsī.

(i) Tattha sabbe pāhuṇḍapuggalā pahāya pāhuṇḍapuggalā evaṃ nāṇena parigga hetvā etṭakā rāgacaritā ettakā dosa moха Vietakā saddha karitā ca koṭṭhāse akāsī. Evam katvā dhammaṃ desessāmīti cintesi.

Re: Questions 17-20: Mahāpadāna Sutta Aṭṭhakathā:

Cariyāpiṭaka: Aṭṭhakathā:
(a) Yassaṃ hi jātiyaṃ mahābodhisattena mahābodhiyakāṇi nibbattanti. Tato paṭṭhāya yāva carimattabhāvā na sānāma jāti upalabbhatī. Yā sabbena sabaṇṇa bodhiyakāṇi ijarāhīti siya antamasa dāmapāramiṃmattānaṃ upādāya. Ayam pātihāṭṭiṇaṃ bodhisattvānāṃ dhammatā.

(b) Yāva ca te kammādīnaṃ vasībhavānaṃ na pāpūnanti. Tāva sappadesamīṃ sambhāresu payogamāppajjanti. Yāva sabbas khoṭṭhānaṃ vasībhavapattā hontī. Atha tato paṭṭhāya nippadesamīṃ evaṃ kammādīnaṃ upāückenisaṃ sampajjati. Sakkaccakārītī pana sabbakāḷaṇī hotī.

Mahāpadāna Sutta-ṭīkā:
Yasmā purimabuddhānaṃ mahābodhisattvānaṃ pacceka-buddhānaṃ nibbattiyā sāvakabuddhānaṃ sāvakābodhiyā abhinibbānaṃ sāvakapāramiṇi sambharanā na tripariṇāmaṃ buddhakkhatthabhūte imasmiṃ cakkavālaṃ jambudīpe eva ijjhata na aṇṇathathā. Vineyāṇaṃ vinayaththo ca buddhappādōti aggasāvaka mahāsakkādi vineyyā vīsesā pekkhāya etasmiṃ jambudīpe eva buddhā nibbattantī. Na sesādīpesu.

Mahāparinibbāna Sutta:
Abhijānāmi kho panāhaṃ, ānanda, anekasataṃ khattiyaparisaṃ upasākaṃmitā. Tatrāpi mayā samāsimaṃ sammattadupphānaṃ ceva sallapitabuddhānaṃ sākacchā ca samāpajjitaṃ sākacchā. Tatrāpi āsita mayā saro hotī, tādāsa mayhaṃ vanno hotī. Yādāsato tesaṃ vanno hoti, tādāsa mayhaṃ vanno hoti.
Anamataggasamyuttam Aṭṭhakathā:

Imamhā cakkavāla sattā paracakkavālanā, paracakkavāla ca imaṅ cakkavālanā saṁsaraṇati. Tesu imasmiṃ cakkavāle

Commentaries on the Dīgha, Majjhima, Āṅguttara, and Saṁyuttanikāya:

Vinaya Mahāvagga (V.i.197):

Subcommentary on the above:

Suttanipāta Aṭṭhakathā, Khuddakapāṭhā Aṭṭhakathā, on the Maṅgala Sutta:

Conclusion:

2. Tesa maññiratere nassa, gaṁthipada visodhino. Pādamūlamhi therassa, nippacca dhāmmapādino.